

## Scoring Rubric

Sections	EXEMPLARY (8-10 PTS)	ADEQUATE (5-7 PTS)	MARGINAL (3-4 PTS)	MINIMAL OR MISSING (0-2 PTS)
<b>LEADERSHIP:</b> BOARD OF DIRECTORS OVERSIGHT COMPOSITION -- KNOWLEDGE, EXPERIENCE, ABILITIES, DIVERSITY & CUSTOMER RELATABILITY  <b>Maximum Points Available: 10</b>	The narrative and documentation regarding the Board demonstrates that overall the board has EXEMPLARY qualifications and engagement to guide the fiscal and operational aspect of the program.  The composition of the Board clearly reflects the diversity of participants served.	The narrative and documentation regarding the Board demonstrates that overall the board has ADEQUATE qualifications and engagement to guide the fiscal and operational aspect of the program.  The composition of the Board somewhat reflects the diversity of participants served.	The narrative and documentation regarding the Board demonstrates that overall the board has MARGINAL qualifications and engagement to guide the fiscal and operational aspect of the program.  The composition of the Board is not reflective of the diversity of participants served.	The narrative and documentation regarding the Board demonstrates that overall the board has MISSING OR MINIMAL qualifications and engagement to guide the fiscal and operational aspect of the program.  The composition of the Board is not reflective of the diversity of participants served.
Sections	EXEMPLARY (50-60 PTS)	ADEQUATE (40-49 PTS)	MARGINAL (21-39 PTS)	MINIMAL OR MISSING (0-20 PTS)
<b>PROGRAM DESIGN, SERVICE DELIVERY, AND EFFECTIVENESS:</b> Evaluates how well the application explains and defines the need for the services in the community; how the proposed services meet those needs; explains the target population and how the target population will be served by the proposed program. Specific attention should be given to data, needs assessments, goals and objectives that are provided.  <b>Maximum Points Available: 60</b>	The need in the community is well defined with facts and data that demonstrates the community need.  Provides an in-depth and thorough explanation to clearly explain the goals of the program, how the goals will be achieved and what the program will accomplish.	The need in the community is explained with some facts and data that demonstrates the community need.  Provides an adequate explanation to explain the goals of the program, but is not clear or detailed how the goals will be achieved and/or what the program will accomplish.	The need in the community is vaguely explained with little facts or data to demonstrates the community need.  Explanation provided marginally explains the goals of the program, and is not clear or detailed how the goals will be achieved and/or what the program will accomplish.	The need in the community is not explained and little or no facts or data provided which demonstrates the community need  Explanation regarding the goals of the program is not provided or is minimal. Little or no explanation of how the goals will be achieved and/or what the program will accomplish.
Sections	EXEMPLARY (15-20 PTS)	ADEQUATE (10-14 PTS)	MARGINAL (5-9 PTS)	MINIMAL OR MISSING (0-4 PTS)
<b>LOGIC MODEL, OUTCOME MEASURES, PROGRAM PERFORMANCE</b>  <b>Maximum Points Available: 20</b>	Provides an in-depth and thorough explanation of how program performance will be evaluated. Provides a valid Outcome Measure that is specific, achievable, measurable and clearly identifies how client will benefit from service.  Prior performance: Prior year outcomes were achieved or exceeded.	Provides an adequate explanation of how program performance will be evaluated but is not clear or in-depth. Outcome Measure identifies how client will benefit from service but is not clear as to how it will be achieved and/or measured.  Prior performance: Prior year outcomes were achieved.	Provides a vague explanation of how program performance will be evaluated. Outcome Measure ambiguous and does not clearly state how client will benefit from service or how it will be achieved and/or measured.  Prior performance: Prior year outcomes were not achieved.	No explanation is provided how program performance will be evaluated or is very unclear and confusing. Outcome Measure is not provide or does not state how client will benefit from service and/or how it will be achieved and/or measured.  Prior performance: Prior year outcomes were not achieved.
Sections	EXEMPLARY (8-10 PTS)	ADEQUATE (5-7 PTS)	MARGINAL (3-4 PTS)	MINIMAL OR MISSING (0-2 PTS)
<b>PROGRAM BUDGET/NARRATIVE</b> Evaluates how well the budget defines the unit of service, how unit was determined, if expenses are reasonable; if revenue is sufficient to cover expenses; if program remain viable if Lee County funding is not received.  <b>Maximum Points Available: 10</b>	Provides a concise definition of the unit of service and how it was determined. Expenses are reasonable and realistic. Revenue is sufficient to cover expenses and it is clear that program will remain viable if Lee County funding is not received.	Provides an adequate definition of the unit of service and how it was determined. Expenses are somewhat reasonable and realistic. Revenue may not be sufficient to cover expenses and it is unclear that program will remain viable if Lee County funding is not received.	Definition of the unit of service and how it was determined is unclear or questionable. Expenses are not reasonable and/or realistic. Revenue does not appear to be sufficient to cover expenses and it is questionable that program will remain viable if Lee County funding is not received.	Definition of the unit of service and how it was determined is missing, confusing and/or questionable. Expenses do not appear to be reasonable and/or realistic. Revenue does not appear to be sufficient to cover expenses and it appears that program will not be viable if Lee County funding is not received.
<b>Bonus Points: Each proposed program or service that is intended to benefit children or youth will receive 5 bonus points.</b>				
<b>Maximum Points Available: 5</b>				
				<b>TOTAL SCORE (MAX TOTAL SCORE = 105)</b>