Lee County Board Of County Commissioners Agenda Item Summary

Blue Sheet No. 20051808

- 1. ACTION REQUESTED/PURPOSE: Approve Purchase Agreement for the acquisition of the Marone parcel, located at 220 Ortiz Ave, Fort Myers in the amount of \$260,000, pursuant to the terms and conditions set forth in the Agreement. Authorize payment of costs to close and the Division of County Lands to handle and accept all documentation necessary to complete this transaction.
- 2. WHAT ACTION ACCOMPLISHES: The Board must accept all real estate conveyances to Lee County.

3. MANAGEMENT RECOMMENDATION: Management recommends Board approve the Action Requested. 4. Departmental Category: 6 5. Meeting Date: 01-24-2006 C6 B 6. Agenda: 7. Requirement/Purpose: (specify) 8. Request Initiated: \mathbf{X} Consent X Statute 125 Commissioner Administrative Ordinance Department Independent **Appeals** Admin. Code Division County Lands Public Other By: Karen L.W. Forsyth, Director Walk-On

9. Background:

Negotiated for: Department of Transportation

<u>Interest to Acquire</u>: Fee simple, including a single-family residential home with mother-in-law quarters.

Property Details:

Owner: Thomas Marone Location: 220 Ortiz Avenue

STRAP No.: 04-44-25-16-00005.0010

Purchase Details:

Purchase Price: \$260,000 (This property is for sale on the open market).

Costs to Close: Estimated to be \$4,000

Appraisal Information:

Company: Carlson, Norris & Associates, Inc.

Appraised Value: Salient data is attached for reference.

<u>Staff Recommendation</u>: Staff is of the opinion that the purchase price is acceptable and recommends the Board approve the action requested.

Account: 20407218823.506110

Attachments: Purchase Agreement; Appraisal (Location Map Included); Title Data; 5-Year Sales History

10. Review i	or Scheduling	ζ:								
Department Director	Purchasing or Contracts	Human Resources	Other	County Attorney		Budget	Services		Mana	ounty ger/P.W. ector
Kitubuki			Alled 30	Saylen-	Analyst	Risk	Grants	Mgr. 1	HS	ווסוסע
11. Comm	ission Action:	:	-	,	•	,,	- / · /	1	- 2	
	_Approved						Bos C	. by CoAtty		
	_Deferred		Ri		רסוג			. by concey	4	
	_Denied		CC	DUNTY ADMIN:			Dat	4	1	
	_Other		. 1	4.25			Tim S	16.10		
			CC PC	DUNTY ADMIN DRWARDED TO:)		For	warded To:		
					٠ .		11-1	Lange		
			· -			•	<u></u>		<i>) :</i>	1.

This document prepared by Division of County Lands

Project: Ortiz Avenue Widening, No. 4072

Parcel: Marone

STRAP No.: 04-44-25-16-00005.0010

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS LEE COUNTY AGREEMENT FOR PURCHASE AND SALE OF REAL ESTATE

THIS AGREEMENT for purchase and sale of real property is made thisday of
, 200, by and between Thomas Marone, a single person, hereinafter
referred to as SELLER, whose address is Post Office Box 61294, Fort Myers, Florida
33906, and Lee County, a political subdivision of the State of Florida, hereinafter referred
to as BUYER

WITNESSETH:

- 1. **AGREEMENT TO PURCHASE AND TO SELL:** SELLER agrees to sell and BUYER agrees to purchase, subject to the terms and conditions set forth below, a parcel of land consisting of 14,175 square feet, more or less, and located at 220 Ortiz Avenue, Fort Myers, Florida 33905, and more particularly described as Lots 1 and 2, Block 5, Parque De Leon, as recorded in Plat Book 6, Page 72, Public Records of Lee County, Florida; Less Road Right of Way, hereinafter called "the Property." This property will be acquired for the Ortiz Avenue widening project, hereinafter called "the Project."
- 2. **PURCHASE PRICE AND TIME OF PAYMENT:** The total purchase price ("Purchase Price") will be Two Hundred Sixty Thousand and No/100 (\$260,000), payable at closing by County Warrant.
- 3. **EVIDENCE OF TITLE:** BUYER will obtain at BUYER'S expense an American Land Title Association Form B Title Commitment and provide title insurance Owner's Policy in the amount of Purchase Price, from a title company acceptable to BUYER. The commitment will be accompanied by one copy of all documents that constitute exceptions to the title commitment. The commitment will also show title to be good and marketable with legal access, subject only to real estate taxes for the current year, zoning and use restrictions imposed by governmental authority, and restrictions and easements common to the area.

4. **CONDITION OF PROPERTY; RISK OF LOSS:** BUYER has inspected the Property and, except as is otherwise provided herein, accepts the Property in the condition inspected. Any loss and/or damage to the Property occurring between the date of this offer and the date of closing or date of possession by BUYER, whichever occurs first, will be at SELLER'S sole risk and expense. However, BUYER may accept the damaged property and deduct from the purchase price any expenses required to repair the damage, or BUYER may cancel this Agreement without obligation.

5. **SELLER'S INSTRUMENTS AND EXPENSES:** SELLER will:

- (a) provide a statutory warranty deed, and an affidavit regarding liens, possession, and withholding under FIRPTA in a form sufficient to allow "gap" coverage by title insurance;
- (b) pay utility services up to, but not including the date of closing;
- pay taxes or assessments for which a bill has been rendered on or before the date of closing;
- (d) pay release of mortgage fees, if any.
- (e) SELLER'S attorney fees, if any.

6. BUYER'S INSTRUMENTS AND EXPENSES: BUYER will pay for:

- (a) Recording fee for deed;
- (b) documentary stamps on deed;
- (c) survey, (if desired by BUYER);
- 7. **TAXES**: SELLER will be charged for Real Estate taxes and personal property taxes (if applicable) up to, but not including the date of closing.
- 8. **DEFECTS IN TITLE AND LEGAL ACCESS:** Prior to closing, BUYER will have a reasonable time to examine the title and documents establishing legal access to the property. If title or legal access is found to be defective, BUYER will notify SELLER in writing of the defects and SELLER will make a prompt and diligent effort to correct such defects. If SELLER fails to make corrections within 30 days after notice, BUYER may elect to accept the Property in its existing condition with an appropriate reduction to the purchase price, or may terminate this Agreement without obligation.

- Mul

- 9. **SURVEY:** BUYER may order the Property surveyed at BUYER'S expense. SELLER agrees to provide access to the Property for the survey to be performed. If the survey shows a discrepancy in the size or dimensions of the Property, or shows encroachments onto the Property or that improvements located on the Property encroach onto adjacent lands, or if the survey identifies violations of recorded covenants or covenants of this Agreement, upon notice to the SELLER, the BUYER may elect to treat those discrepancies, violations or encroachments as a title defect.
- 10. **ENVIRONMENTAL AUDIT:** BUYER may perform or have performed, at BUYER'S expense, an environmental audit of the Property. If the audit identifies environmental conditions unacceptable to the BUYER, BUYER may elect to accept the Property in its existing condition with an appropriate abatement to the purchase price or BUYER may terminate this Agreement without obligation.
- 11. ABSENCE OF ENVIRONMENTAL LIABILITIES: The SELLER, to the best of SELLER'S knowledge, hereby warrants and represents that the Property is free from hazardous materials and does not constitute an environmental hazard under any federal, state or local law or regulation. To the best of SELLER'S knowledge, no hazardous, toxic or polluting substances have been released or disposed of on the Property in violation of any applicable law or regulation. The SELLER, to the best of SELLER'S knowledge, further warrants that there is no evidence that hazardous, toxic or polluting substances are contained on or emitting from the property in violation of applicable law or regulation. To the best of SELLER'S knowledge, there are no surface impoundments, waste piles, land fills, injection wells, underground storage areas, or other man-made facilities that have or may have accommodated hazardous materials. To the best of SELLER'S knowledge, there is no proceeding or inquiry by any governmental agency with respect to production, disposal or storage on the property of any hazardous materials, or of any activity that could have produced hazardous materials or toxic effects on humans, flora or fauna. To the best of SELLER'S knowledge, there are no buried, partially buried, or above-ground tanks, storage vessels, drums or containers located on the Property. To the best of SELLER'S knowledge, there is no evidence of release of hazardous materials onto or into the Property.

The SELLER, to the best of SELLER'S knowledge, also warrants that there have been no requests from any governmental authority or other party for information, notices of claim, demand letters or other notification that there is any potential for responsibility with respect to any investigation or clean-up of hazardous substance releases on the property. All warranties described herein will survive the closing of this transaction.

In the event the SELLER breaches the warranties as to environmental liability, SELLER agrees to indemnify and hold the BUYER harmless from all fines, penalties, assessments, costs and reasonable attorneys' fees resulting from contamination and remediation of the property.

- 12. **TIME AND BINDING AGREEMENT:** Time is of the essence for closing this transaction. The BUYER's written acceptance of this offer will constitute an Agreement for the purchase and sale of the Property and will bind the parties, their successors and assigns. In the event the BUYER abandons this project after execution of this Agreement, but before closing, BUYER may terminate this Agreement without obligation.
- 13. **DATE AND LOCATION OF CLOSING:** The closing of this transaction will be held at the office of the insuring title company on or before 30 days from the date this Agreement is made. The time and location of closing may be changed by mutual agreement of the parties.
- 14. **ATTORNEYS' FEES:** The prevailing party in any litigation concerning this Agreement will be entitled to recover reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.
- 15. **REAL ESTATE BROKERS AND BUYERS:** SELLER hereby agrees to indemnify and hold the BUYER harmless from and against any claims by a contractual buyer of the property and/or real estate broker claiming by or through SELLER.
- 16. **POSSESSION:** SELLER warrants that there are no parties in possession other than SELLER, unless otherwise stated herein, and no pending contracts for the sale of the Property. SELLER agrees to deliver possession of Property to BUYER at time of closing unless otherwise stated herein.
- 17. **TYPEWRITTEN/HANDWRITTEN PROVISIONS:** Typewritten and handwritten provisions inserted herein or attached hereto as addenda, and initialed by all parties, will control all printed provisions in conflict therewith.
- 18. **SPECIAL CONDITIONS:** Any and all special conditions will be attached to this Agreement and signed by all parties to this Agreement. SEE ATTACHED SPECIAL CONDITIONS.

Mal

WITNESSES:	SELLER:
Fory Keil Jones	Thomas Marone (DATE)
CHARLIE GREEN, CLERK	BUYER: LEE COUNTY, FLORIDA, BY ITS BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
BY:	BY:CHAIRMAN OR VICE CHAIRMAN
	APPROVED AS TO LEGAL FORM AND SUFFICIENCY
	COUNTY ATTORNEY (DATE)

SPECIAL CONDITIONS Page 1

BUYER: Lee County SELLER: Marone PARCEL NO. Marone

- 1. Buyer and Seller hereby covenant that the purchase price recited herein, except as noted below, includes payment for improvements, moving expenses, and all fixtures, including but not limited to, air conditioning unit, hot water heater(s), screen enclosures, windows, doors, floor covering, fencing and landscaping, as of the date of the Buyer's appraisal. (The SELLER may remove the range(s), prior to closing of the Property).
- 2. Buyer's authorized agent will inspect the house and all other real property and improvements prior to closing. Removal of any fixtures(s) by Seller may cause a delay in closing and a reduction in the purchase price. All additional costs associated with any breach of this covenant will be paid by the Seller. This covenant shall survive closing.
- 3. Upon the Buyer's written acceptance of this Agreement, SELLER(S) hereby give permission allowing entry to the premises by County Representatives, upon first receiving 48 hours prior notice, in order for the premises to be inspected for asbestos containing materials and to determine if relocating any or all real estate improvements is feasible subsequent to closing and the County taking possession of the property.

WITNESSES:	SELLER: Leurar (fa ear 12/16/09 Thomas Marone (DATE)
CHARLIE GREEN, CLERK	BUYER: LEE COUNTY, FLORIDA, BY ITS BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
BY: DEPUTY CLERK (DATE)	BY:CHAIRMAN OR VICE CHAIRMAN
	APPROVED AS TO LEGAL FORM AND SUFFICIENCY
	OFFICE OF THE COLINTY ATTORNEY (DATE)

Uniform Residential Appraisal Report

STA#31, Project 4072
File # 227280

There are 5 comparat	de properties currently	y offered for sale in	the subject neighborh	ood ranging in	price	from \$ 250,000			_	000
			n the past twelve mon				0			04,900
FEATURE Address SEC. O. 1	SUBJECT		BLE SALE # 1			E SALE # 2				E SALE # 3
Address 220 Ortiz Ave 04-44-25-16-000	NOS 0040	103 Shaw Blvd.	145 0400	175 Circle 0		E A 0025		lew Yo		
Proximity to Subject	705.00 TO	34-43-25-03-000		1.08 miles r				mile no		24.0010
Sale Price				1.08 (1)						
Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area	\$ sq.ft.	\$ 110.19 sq.ft		\$ 139.04	so.ft.		\$	105.45	sa.ft.	A MARINE AND A MARINE
Data Source(s)	Service Control of the Control of th	OR 2005000156	854	OR 200500				842 PG		
Verification Source(s)	THE RESIDENCE OF SHIP	MLS/Win2Date/				ublic Records	·	_		ublic Records
VALUE ADJUSTMENTS	DESCRIPTION	DESCRIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment	DESCRIPTION	ON	+ (-) \$ Adjustment	DE	SCRIPTIO	N	+ (-) \$ Adjustment
Sales or Financing		Conv.\$244,000		Conventions	al			entlona	ıl	
Concessions		+ \$61,000		\$222,800	$\overline{}$		\$184			
Date of Sale/Time		12/07/05		09/21/05			08/11			
Leasehold/Fee Simple	Parque De Leon Fee Simple	Fee Simple	 	Morse Shor			_	ıma Gr.	er	- · <u></u>
Site	14,175 Sq.Ft.	12,500 Sq.Ft.		Fee Simple 13,100 Sq.F				<u>imple</u> 4 Sq.Ft	.—	·
View	Residential	Residential		Residential				lential		
Design (Style)	Ranch	Ranch		Ranch			Ranc			
Quality of Construction	CBS/Average	CBS/Average		CBS/Averag	16			PETEVA	е —	
Actual Age	Eff=14, A=55	Eff=14, A=18		Eff=14, A=4				8, A=45		+20,200
Condition	Above Average	Above Average		Above Aver			Inferi	or		+20,200
Above Grade		Total Bdrms. Balhs		Total Barms.	Baths		_	Bdrms. E		
Room Count	9 4 2	8 4 3	-3,000		_2_		8	4	3	
Gross Living Area Basement & Finished	2,720 sq.ft.	2,767 sq.ft.	-2,800	1,780	sq.ft.	+56,400		1,944	sq,ft.	+46,600
Rooms Below Grade	None Loc.Obsol.	None	-30,000	None		25.000	None			24.000
Functional Utility	Adequate	Adequate	-30,000	Adequate		-25,000	Adeq	unto _	-	-21,000
Heating/Cooling	Central	Central		Central	$\neg \neg$		Centr			
Energy Efficient Items	Typical	Typical		Typical			Typic			
Garage/Carport	2 Car Garage	2 Car Garage		1 Carport		+8,000			•	+10,000
Porch/Patlo/Deck	397sf Cov.Porch	348sf Scr.Porch		312sf Gov.Po	erch		396sf	Scr.Po	rch	
Other features	None	None		286sf Shed		-2,000	120sf	Shed		-1,000
Pool	None	None		<u>Pool/Spa/Ca</u>	age		None			
Not Adjust and Catal	Under Windschaft aus der Alle			K2 . =		<u> </u>				
Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price		+ ⊠ - Net 11,7 %	\$ 35,800	<u> </u>	_	\$ <u>17,400</u>	_¤		- %	72,000
of Comparables		Gross 11,7 %	\$ 269,100	Net 7.0 Gross 45.0		\$ 264,900		et 35,1		\$ 277,000
	the sale of transfer hist		perty and comparable s			204,900	QI O	33.3	70	<u> </u>
			ubject property for the	three years prio	r to the	effective date of this	appraisa	ıl.		
	Public Records a									
			comparable sales for the	e year prior to th	re date	of sale of the compar	able sale			
	county Public Reco	or cale or transfer blat	on/ of the cubiect prope	why and administ	rable ea	loc (report additional)	riar pal	OF OR B00	2)	
Report the results of the research and analysis of the prior sale or transfer history of the subject property and comparable sales (report additional prior sales on page 3). ITEM SUBJECT COMPARABLE SALE #1 COMPARABLE SALE #2 COMPARABLE SALE #3										
Date of Prior Sale/Transfer	10/25/05		No prior sale was			or sale was noted	_			e was noted
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer	\$165,000		ecorded in last 12			ed in last 12 mon	_			last 12 months
Data Source(s)	per County p		other than above.			han above.		other th	_	
Effective Date of Data Source(s)	12/6/2006		12/6/2006	12/6/2006			12/6/2006			
Analysis of prior sale or transfer to				prior sale of	the s	ubject for \$165,0	00 in 0	October	200	5 was an
assignment sale, whereby	the seller had ent	ered into a purch	ase agreement wi	th the assign	nor, <u>D</u>	<u>esoto Holdings, y</u>	which_	subseq	uenti	y assigned the
contract to Thomas Maron							arded	sale p	ric <u>e.</u>	The existing
contract for \$280,000 inc	inges a seller co	ncession paying	a 10,000 of the b	uyar s clos	ing co	05ts				
Summary of Sales Comparison Ap	proach See Ad	ditional Commen	ts on the sales. D	ue to the limi	ited n	umber of recent s	ales	f house	as ar	lequately similar
In livable area, Sales #2 at										
not adversely affect the fin	al value estimate.									
	_ <u></u>				_					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·										
Indicated Value by Sales Comparis	ton Anotrach \$ 28	5.000							7	
Indicated Value by Sales Comparis		5,000	cost Approach (If develop	eloned) \$ cherole	27.264	Income Approx	mach	II davale	nad)	S NIA
Indicated Value by: Sales Com	parison Approach S	265,000 0	ost Approach (If dev		57,26°					
Indicated Value by: Sales Com The Sales Comparison An	parlson Approach S alysis reflects the	265,000 C								
Indicated Value by: Sales Com	parlson Approach S alysis reflects the	265,000 C								
Indicated Value by: Sales Com The Sales Comparison An	parison Approach S alysis reflects the to the lack of inco	265,000 C actions and attitu ome data.	ides of participant	s in the mark	ketpla	ce. The Cost App	proach	is supp	portiv	ve. The GRM
Indicated Value by: Sales Com The Sales Comparison An analysis is not utilized due This appraisal is made \(\sqrt{a} \) "as I completed, \(\sqrt{a} \) subject to the f	parlson Approach S alysis reflects the to the lack of inco s", Subject to collowing repairs or all	265,000 Cactions and attitume data. completion per plans berations on the bask	and specifications or	s in the mark the basis of a	ketpla a hypo e repair	ce. The Cost App thetical condition that s or alterations have	t the in	is suppopulation	ents h	ave been
Indicated Value by: Sales Com The Sales Comparison An analysis is not utilized due This appraisal is made \(\sqrt{a} \) "as I completed, \(\sqrt{a} \) subject to the following required inspection has	parison Approach S alysis reflects the to the lack of inco s", subject to ollowing repairs or all ed on the extraordinal	265,000 Cactions and attituence data. completion per plans brations on the baskry assumption that the	and specifications or	s in the mark the basis of a	ketpla a hypo e repair	ce. The Cost App thetical condition that s or alterations have	t the in	is suppopulation	ents h	ave been
Indicated Value by: Sales Com The Sales Comparison An analysis is not utilized due This appraisal is made \(\times \) "as i completed, \(\times \) subject to the f following required inspection bas analysis. This is a Sumr	parison Approach salysis reflects the to the lack of income. s", subject to a collowing repairs or all ed on the extraordinal many Appraisal References.	265,000 Cactions and attituence data. completion per plans by assumption that the port.	and specifications or and specifications or s of a hypothetical co- le condition or deficie	s in the mark the basis of a ndition that the	ketpla a hypo e repair equire a	ce. The Cost App thetical condition that s or alterations have offeration or repair.	t the in	is supported ached a	ents b	ve, The GRM ave been subject to the ional limiting
Indicated Value by: Sales Com The Sales Comparison An analysis is not utilized due This appraisal is made \(\times \) "as i completed, \(\times \) subject to the f following required inspection bas analysis. This is a Sumr	parison Approach salysis reflects the to the lack of income. s", subject to a collowing repairs or all ed on the extraordinal many Appraisal References.	265,000 Cactions and attituence data. completion per plans by assumption that the port.	and specifications or and specifications or s of a hypothetical co- le condition or deficie	s in the mark the basis of a ndition that the	ketpla a hypo e repair equire a	ce. The Cost App thetical condition that s or alterations have offeration or repair.	t the in	is supported ached a	ents b	ve, The GRM ave been subject to the ional limiting
Indicated Value by: Sales Com The Sales Comparison An analysis is not utilized due This appraisal is made \(\sqrt{a} \) "as I completed, \(\sqrt{a} \) subject to the following required inspection has	parlson Approach S alysis reflects the to the lack of inco s", subject to collowing repairs or all ed on the extraordinal many Appraisal Re inspection of the inspection, my (our	265,000 actions and attituations data. completion per plans terations on the bask by assumption that the port. terior and exterior of the many opinion of the many opinion of the many actions.	and specifications or and specifications or s of a hypothetical co- le condition or deficie	s in the mark the basis of a ndition that the ncy does not re t property, de ned, of the re	a hypoi e repair equire a fined s	thetical condition that so or alterations have alteration or repair. Secope of work, state perty that ie the second	t the in been to bee att	is supported ached a	ents b	ve, The GRM ave been subject to the ional limiting

Form 1004 — "TOTAL for Windows" appraisal software by a \ln mode, \ln o. — 1-800-ALAMODE

Uniform Residential Appraisal Report

STA#31, Project 4072 File # 227280

PURPOSE, FUNCTION AND SCOPE OF THE APPRAISAL										
The number of the unpraised is to estimate market value of the subject on the subject of the supplied. The final supplied to the subject of the supplied to the subject of the supplied to the										
The purpose of the appraisal is to estimate market value of the subject as of the effective date of the appraisal. The function (use) of the appraisal is for providing the Lee County Commissioners with sufficient data to make an informed decision regarding the possible purchase of the property.										
COMMENTS ON THE SITE VALUE ESTIMATE	COMMENTS ON THE SITE VALUE ESTIMATE									
There are very limited land sales in the subject's market area which is maturely developed. Among the most recent are										
the below listed sales:		ocin dro								
D										
Parcel #04-44-25-03-00012.5040+5050, 100x135 (2 lot site), 10/11/05 for Parcel #09-44-25-07-00007 0060+0070, 142x303 (2 lot site), 07/28/05 for	\$45,000 per OR 2005000065745.									
Percel #09-44-25-07-00007,0060+0070, 142×303 (2 lot site), 07/26/05 for \$45,000 per QR 4813 PG 0769. Percel #04-44-25-05-00017,0020, 50x135 (1 lot site), 07/01/05 for \$41,000 per QR 4780 PG 2769										
Parcel #04-44-25-03-00006.2390, 50x140 (1 lot site), 06/08/05 for \$37,200 per OR 4744 PG 3435										
COMMENTS ON THE SALES										
Z COMMENTS ON THE SALES										
The subject is compared to three closed sales in the immediate area. All being on high traffic arterials.	The subject is compared to three closed sales in the immediate area. All sales are adjusted downward for the more appealing locations due to not being on high traffic arterials.									
Estimation of effective ages and resulting conditions of the sales are base	ed on the degree of maintenance and u	updating completed prior	to sale.							
Comparable #1 was a somewhat larger house in Morse Shores. Included	was an additional full bath.									
Comparable #2 was a smaller house in Morse Shores which included a le	ss appealing carport. Other imroveme	ents included a caged po	ol/spa and							
a 288sf shed. Comparable #3 was a smaller house in Alabama Grove Terrace which lac	had covered parkles (soluded 2-	d full both and a 120-f at	nod.							
Comparable #1 is the most recent and similar and receives the greatest e supportive.	mohasis in support of the final value e	stimate. Sales#2 and #3	are							
COMMENTS ON THE LOCATION										
COMMENTS ON THE LOCATION	 ·									
Although the subject fronts on Garcia Avenue, the location is a corner lot	on a heavily traveled arterial along the	east boundary. This roa	d produces							
a greater than normal amount of traffic and therefore noise. No recent sa	les directy along Ortiz Avenue were av	/ailable. The subject is c	ompared to							
sales not on busy streets. The subject is adjusted downward 10% for its	ess appealing location.									
OF THE MINISTER CONTROL OF STATE OF THE MARKET AND A STATE OF THE STAT	a po man negal memper regis melle and se and cape ne street and a second season records a second of the second	de l'about fin de vanda como also de monte a milionale altri	Stand for 1 2 A.M. Nat							
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calcul	((notireduled by Famile Mae)									
Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land safes or other methods for		Additional Comments s	ection for							
land sales in support of the site value estimate.										
	<u></u>	,								
ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR K REPLACEMENT COST NEW	OPINION OF SITE VALUE									
Source of cost data See the below for source date on costs.	DWELLING 2,720 Sq.Ft. @ \$		40.000							
Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data 12/01/05	200 200	90.00 =\$	40,000 244,800							
	Cov.Porches 397 Sq.Ft. @ \$	90.00 =\$ 12.00 =\$								
Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)		12.00 =\$ =\$	244,800 4,764							
No functional inadequacies were noted. See the attached page for a	Garage/Carport 513 Sq.Ft. @\$	12.00 =\$ =5 36,00 =\$	244,800 4,764 18,468							
No functional inadequacies were noted. See the attached page for a floorplan. Costs are based on local known builders costs as well as cost data in appraisals of new construction sales. Depreciation is based on		12.00 =\$ =\$	244,800 4,764							
No functional inadequacies were noted. See the attached page for a floorplan. Costs are based on local known builders costs as well as cost data in appraisals of new construction sales. Depreciation is based on the Economic Age/Life Method. Estimated remaining economic life is 56	Garage/Carport 513 Sq.Ft. ② \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciation 28,671	12.00 —\$ —5 36.00 —\$ —5 Sternal 26,800 —\$	244,800 4,764 18,468 268,032 55,471)							
No functional inadequacies were noted. See the attached page for a floorplan. Costs are based on local known builders costs as well as cost data in appraisals of new construction sales. Depreciation is based on the Economic Age/Life Method. Estimated remaining economic life is 56 years.	Garage/Carport 513 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciation 28,671 Depreciated Cost of Improvements	12.00 = \$ =\$ 36.00 = \$ =\$	244,800 4,764 18,468 268,032 55,471) 212,561							
No functional inadequacies were noted. See the attached page for a floorplan. Costs are based on local known builders costs as well as cost data in appreisals of new construction sales. Depreciation is based on the Economic Age/Life Method. Estimated remaining economic life is 56 years. The Cost Approach estimates Replacement Cost new not	Garage/Carport 513 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciation 28,671	12.00 —\$ —5 36.00 —\$ —5 Sternal 26,800 —\$	244,800 4,764 18,468 268,032 55,471)							
No functional inadequacies were noted. See the attached page for a floorplan. Costs are based on local known builders costs as well as cost data in appreisals of new construction sales. Depreciation is based on the Economic Age/Life Method. Estimated remaining economic life is 56 years. The Cost Approach estimates Replacement Cost new not Reproduction Cost new.	Garage/Carport 513 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciation 28,671 Depreciated Cost of Improvements	12.00 = \$ =\$ 36.00 = \$ =\$	244,800 4,764 18,468 268,032 55,471) 212,561 14,700							
No functional inadequacies were noted. See the attached page for a floorplan. Costs are based on local known builders costs as well as cost data in appreisals of new construction sales. Depreciation is based on the Economic Age/Life Method. Estimated remaining economic life is 56 years. The Cost Approach estimates Replacement Cost new not Reproduction Cost new.	Garage/Carport 513 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciation 28,671 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-Is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH	12.00 =\$ =\$ 36.00 =\$ =\$ External 26,800 =\$(=\$	244,800 4,764 18,468 268,032 55,471) 212,561 14,700 267,261							
No functional inadequacies were noted. See the attached page for a floorplan. Costs are based on local known builders costs as well as cost data in appraisals of new construction sales. Depreciation is based on the Economic Age/Life Method. Estimated remaining economic life is 56 years. The Cost Approach estimates Replacement Cost new not Reproduction Cost new. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) N/A Years Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ N/A X Gross Rent Multiplier	Garage/Carport 513 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciation 28,671 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-Is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH	12.00 =\$ =\$ 36.00 =\$ =\$ External 26,800 =\$(=\$	244,800 4,764 18,468 268,032 55,471) 212,561 14,700 267,261							
No functional inadequacies were noted. See the attached page for a floorplan. Costs are based on local known builders costs as well as cost data in appraisals of new construction sales. Depreciation is based on the Economic Age/Life Method. Estimated remaining economic life is 56 years. The Cost Approach estimates Replacement Cost new not Reproduction Cost new. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUO and VA only) N/A Years N/A X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Because	Garage/Carport 513 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciation 28,671 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-Is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH (Indicated Cost of Improvements) N/A = \$ N/A se this area is typically owner occupies	12.00 =\$	244,800 4,764 18,468 268,032 55,471) 212,561 14,700 267,261							
No functional inadequacies were noted. See the attached page for a floorplan. Costs are based on local known builders costs as well as cost data in appreisals of new construction sales. Depreciation is based on the Economic Age/Life Method. Estimated remaining economic life is 56 years. The Cost Approach estimates Replacement Cost new not Reproduction Cost new. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUO and VA only) N/A Years N/A X Gross Rent Molithilier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Becauteliable GRM. Therefore, the Income Approach is not utilized in this report	Garage/Carport 513 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciation 28,671 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-Is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH (Total United By Estimate Mae) N/A = \$ N/A est his area is typically owner occupied	12.00 =\$	244,800 4,764 18,468 268,032 55,471) 212,561 14,700 267,261							
No functional inadequacies were noted. See the attached page for a floorplan. Costs are based on local known builders costs as well as cost data in appreisals of new construction sales. Depreciation is based on the Economic Age/Life Method. Estimated remaining economic life is 56 years. The Cost Approach estimates Replacement Cost new not Reproduction Cost new. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUO and VA only) N/A Years N/A X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Becauteliable GRM. Therefore, the Income Approach is not utilized in this report	Garage/Carport 513 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciation 28,671 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-Is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH (TOC) FOUR OF STANDER May N/A = \$ N/A Se this area is typically owner occupied t.	12.00 =\$	244,800 4,764 18,468 268,032 55,471) 212,561 14,700 267,261							
No functional inadequacies were noted. See the attached page for a floorplan. Costs are based on local known builders costs as well as cost data in appreisals of new construction sales. Depreciation is based on the Economic Age/Life Method. Estimated remaining economic life is 56 years. The Cost Approach estimates Replacement Cost new not Reproduction Cost new. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) N/A Years N/A X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Becauterliable GRM. Therefore, the Income Approach is not utilized in this report	Garage/Carport 513 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciation 28,671 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-Is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH (FOCIEPTING DYESTIME Mae) N/A = \$ N/A se this area is typically owner occupied t. FOR 2005 ((Fapplicable)	12.00 =\$	244,800 4,764 18,468 268,032 55,471) 212,561 14,700 267,261							
No functional inadequacies were noted. See the attached page for a floorplan. Costs are based on local known builders costs as well as cost data in appraisals of new construction sales. Depreciation is based on the Economic Age/Life Method. Estimated remaining economic life is 56 years. The Cost Approach estimates Replacement Cost new not Reproduction Cost new. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) N/A Years N/A Years N/A X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Becauted GRM. Therefore, the Income Approach is not utilized in this report is the developer/builder in control of the HOL Legal Name of Project	Garage/Carport 513 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciation 28,671 Depreciated Cost of improvements "As-Is" Value of Site improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH (TOC SPULIF OF ESTIMATE May) N/A = \$ N/A se this area is typically owner occupied t. FOR PUDS ((Farpilicable)) No Unit type(s) Detached Attack A and the subject property is an attached dwelling	12.00 =\$	244,800 4,764 18,468 268,032 55,471) 212,561 14,700 267,261							
No functional inadequacies were noted. See the attached page for a floorplan. Costs are based on local known builders costs as well as cost data in appraisals of new construction sales. Depreciation is based on the Economic Age/Life Method. Estimated remaining economic life is 56 years. The Cost Approach estimates Replacement Cost new not Reproduction Cost new. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) N/A Years N/A X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Recause of Income Approach in not utilized in this report is the developer/builder in control of the Horneowners' Association (HOA)? Provide the tollowing information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOLegal Name of Project Total number of phases Total number of units N/A	Garage/Carport 513 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciation 28,671 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-Is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH ((10) Equitive Dysamine Mae) N/A = \$ N/A se this area is typically owner occupied to the company of	12.00 =\$	244,800 4,764 18,468 268,032 55,471) 212,561 14,700 267,261							
No functional inadequacies were noted. See the attached page for a floorplan. Costs are based on local known builders costs as well as cost data in appreisals of new construction sales. Depreciation is based on the Economic Age/Life Method. Estimated remaining economic life is 56 years. The Cost Approach estimates Replacement Cost new not Reproduction Cost new. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) N/A Years N/A X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Because reliable GRM. Therefore, the Income Approach is not utilized in this report in the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Provide the tollowing information for PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOLegal Name of Project Total number of units for sale N/A Total number of units for sale	Garage/Carport 513 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciation 28,671 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-Is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH (Indicated Cost of Improvements N/A = \$ N/A se this area is typically owner occupied to the subject property is an attached dwelling Total number of units sold Data source(s)	12.00 =\$	244,800 4,764 18,468 268,032 55,471) 212,561 14,700 267,261							
No functional inadequacies were noted. See the attached page for a floorplan. Costs are based on local known builders costs as well as cost data in appraisals of new construction sales. Depreciation is based on the Economic Age/Life Method. Estimated remaining economic life is 56 years. The Cost Approach estimates Replacement Cost new not Reproduction Cost new. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) N/A Years N/A X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Recause of Income Approach in not utilized in this report is the developer/builder in control of the Horneowners' Association (HOA)? Provide the tollowing information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOLegal Name of Project Total number of phases Total number of units N/A	Garage/Carport 513 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciation 28,671 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-Is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH ((10) Equitive Dysamine Mae) N/A = \$ N/A se this area is typically owner occupied to the company of	12.00 =\$	244,800 4,764 18,468 268,032 55,471) 212,561 14,700 267,261							
No functional inadequacies were noted. See the attached page for a floorplan. Costs are based on local known builders costs as well as cost data in appreisals of new construction sales. Depreciation is based on the Economic Age/Life Method. Estimated remaining economic life is 56 years. The Cost Approach estimates Replacement Cost new not Reproduction Cost new. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUO and VA only) N/A Years N/A X Gross Rent Multiplier Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ N/A X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Becaute reliable GRM. Therefore, the Income Approach is not utilized in this reporting the tellowing information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HO Legal Name of Project Total number of units for sale Was the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data Source	Garage/Carport 513 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciation 28,671 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-Is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH (Indicated Cost of Improvements N/A = \$ N/A se this area is typically owner occupied to the subject property is an attached dwelling Total number of units sold Data source(s)	12.00 =\$	244,800 4,764 18,468 268,032 55,471) 212,561 14,700 267,261							
No functional inadequacies were noted. See the attached page for a floorplan. Costs are based on local known builders costs as well as cost data in appreisals of new construction sales. Depreciation is based on the Economic Age/Life Method. Estimated remaining economic life is 56 years. The Cost Approach estimates Replacement Cost new not Reproduction Cost new. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUO and VA only) N/A Years N/A X Gross Rent Multiplier Estimated Monthly Market Rent S N/A X Gross Rent Multiplier Estimated Monthly Market Rent S N/A X Gross Rent Multiplier Estimated Monthly Market Rent S N/A X Gross Rent Multiplier Estimated Monthly Market Rent S N/A X Gross Rent Multiplier Estimated Monthly Market Rent S N/A X Gross Rent Multiplier Estimated Monthly Market Rent S N/A X Gross Rent Multiplier Estimated GRM. Therefore, the Income Approach is not utilized in this reported by the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the tellowing information for PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HO Legal Name of Project Total number of units for sale N/A Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data Source	Garage/Carport 513 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciation 28,671 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-Is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH (For Equive Deviation of Cost of Co	12.00 =\$	244,800 4,764 18,468 268,032 55,471) 212,561 14,700 267,261							
No functional inadequacies were noted. See the attached page for a floorplan. Costs are based on local known builders costs as well as cost data in appraisals of new construction sales. Depreciation is based on the Economic Age/Life Method. Estimated remaining economic life is 56 years. The Cost Approach estimates Replacement Cost new not Reproduction Cost new. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) N/A Years N/A X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Becauterable GRM. Therefore, the Income Approach is not utilized in this reported in the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Provide the tollowing information for PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOL Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale N/A Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Ves No Data Source Are the units, common elements, and recreation facilities complete? Yes No	Garage/Carport 513 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciation 28,671 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-Is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH (TOTAL STATE OF THE MASS OF T	12.00 =\$	244,800 4,764 18,468 268,032 55,471) 212,561 14,700 267,261							
No functional inadequacies were noted. See the attached page for a floorplan. Costs are based on local known builders costs as well as cost data in appraisals of new construction sales. Depreciation is based on the Economic Age/Life Method. Estimated remaining economic life is 56 years. The Cost Approach estimates Replacement Cost new not Reproduction Cost new. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) N/A Years N/A X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Becauterable GRM. Therefore, the Income Approach is not utilized in this reported in the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Provide the tollowing information for PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOL Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale N/A Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Ves No Data Source Are the units, common elements, and recreation facilities complete? Yes No	Garage/Carport 513 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciation 28,671 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-Is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH (For Equive Deviation of Cost of Co	12.00 =\$	244,800 4,764 18,468 268,032 55,471) 212,561 14,700 267,261							
No functional inadequacies were noted. See the attached page for a floorplan. Costs are based on local known builders costs as well as cost data in appraisals of new construction sales. Depreciation is based on the Economic Age/Life Method. Estimated remaining economic life is 56 years. The Cost Approach estimates Replacement Cost new not Reproduction Cost new. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) N/A Years N/A X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Becauterable GRM. Therefore, the Income Approach is not utilized in this reported in the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Provide the tollowing information for PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOL Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale N/A Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Ves No Data Source Are the units, common elements, and recreation facilities complete? Yes No	Garage/Carport 513 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciation 28,671 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-Is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH (TOTAL STATE OF THE MASS OF T	12.00 =\$	244,800 4,764 18,468 268,032 55,471) 212,561 14,700 267,261							

Freddie Mac Form 70 March 2005

Page 3 of 6

Fannie Mae Form 1004 March 2005

Location Map

Borrower/Client MARONE, Thor	nas		
Property Address 220 Ortiz Ave			
City Fort Myers	County Lee	State FL_	Zip Code 33905-3674
Lender Lee County - County L	ands		



Division of County Lands

Ownership and Easement Search

Search No. 21943/D Date: February 20, 2002

Parcel: N/A

Project: Ortiz Avenue Right of Way

Study #4072

To:

Karen L. W. Forsyth

From:

Shelia A. Bedwell, CLS

Director

Real Estate Title Examiner

STRAP:

04-44-25-16-00005.0010

November 31 2005

Effective Date: February 7, 2002, at 5:00 p.m.

Subject Property: Lots 1 and 2, Block 5, PARQUE DE LEON, as recorded in Plat Book 6, Page 72, Public Records of Lee County, Florida, less road right of way.

Title to the subject property is vested in the following:

John R. Buchanan and Willie Frances Buchanan, Trustees of the Buchanan Trust dated Conveyed to Thomas Marona 10-26-05

March 30, 1999

by that certain instrument dated March 30, 1999, recorded April 14, 1999, in Official Record Book 3103, Page 3037, Public Records of Lee County, Florida.

Easements:

None found of record.

No search has been made regarding the status of the assessments for the East Lee County Sewer District.

esortage to Art Landing Corp. \$133750 Anstrument No 2005 000 086022 Recorded 10-11

Tax Status: NOTE: Tax and assessment information is not indicated on this report. It will be provided at a later date, if determined as being necessary by the end user of this report.

(The end user of this report is responsible for verifying tax and/or assessment information.)

The Division of County Lands has made a diligent search of the Public Record. However, this report contains no guarantees nor warranty as to its accuracy.

5-Year Sales History

Parcel: Marone

Ortiz Avenue Widening Project No. 4072

Grantor	Grantee	Price	Date	Arms Length Y/N
John R. Buchanan, Trustee	Marone, Thomas	\$165,000*	10/26/05	Y

^{*}Sale price does not reflect and assignment fee of \$10,000 and additional closing costs assumed by the Grantee. The Grantee has completed significant improvements to the subject property.

The subject property was under contract to close in late November, when the Grantee was informed of the proposed County acquisition. The Grantee subsequently canceled the contract and agreed to sell the property voluntarily to Lee County.