		Lee Co	unty Bo	ard of Cour	ity Comm	nissione	rs	
	TED MOTION		Agen	ida Item Sui	mmary		Blue Shee	t No. 20030514
ACTION RE Advisory Cor Resource" Fi	nmittee to pursu uture Land Use	prove the rea e the acquis Map area lo	ition of a cated so	pproximatel	y 160 acre 82 and ea	es in the ast of Gre	"Density Reducti een Meadow Roa	and Stewardship on/Ground Water ad. Authorize the 20/20 Nomination
-	ON IS NECESS							
WHAT ACTION ACCOMPLISHES: Begins the process of negotiation and purchase of certain, identified environmentally sensitive lands as set forth by County Ordinance 96-12 and the Conservation 20/20 Program.								
2. DEPART	MENTAL CATE	GORY: 00	6			3.	MEETING	
	N DISTRICT #:	5	-	C6	F		05-7	3-2003
4. <u>AGENDA</u> :	<u> </u>	-	EMENT/PU	RPOSE:	6	. REQUES	STOR OF INFORMATI	
X CONSEN ADMINIS APPEALS PUBLIC WALK O	TRATIVE S	(Specify) STATU X ORDIN ADMIN OTHER	TE ANCE <u>96</u>	-12	E	. COMMIS . DEPARTI . DIVISION	MENT Independent	15 hR 16.11
TIME REQUIRED						<u>- rtaron</u>	<u>L. W. I (1991), Director</u>	hhll.
approved the ref lands within Lee evaluating land CLASAC recom way system that mitigation area). The Committee integral part of th necessary "mato must be similar in	Ferendum to levy ce County. The Cons nominations based mends that Conse t connects the Wild Preliminary title r has continued to r he program, but mil ch" for impacted we n nature and qualit	ertain millage fo ervation Land / d upon Board a rvation 20/20 N d Turkey Strand esearch has be recognize the p igation credits tlands for any g y as those on th	or the purpo Acquisition approved of Iomination d Preserve een compl potential for may not no given proje ne parcel(s	oses of acquiri and Stewards criteria and part 220 be pursure (Conservation leted on this p or off-site mitig ecessarily be o to the Count of off-site m	ng and rest ship Advisor rameters. ed for acqui on 20/20 pa arcel and n pation credi obtained for ty in a giver itigation cre	oring ident y Commit rcels 90 a o substan ts for this all acquis o sector. Ir dits to be a	ified environmentall ee (CLASAC) was f e parcel is a portion of nd 200) and the Imp tial title problems w recommended parco itions, as every parco npacted wetlands fr awarded by regulato	el. Mitigation is an cel may not have the om a County project bry agencies. Award
of any credits will be made at the time when County projects apply for them. This is consistent with the letter opinions from the South Florida Water Management District (June 17, 1996) and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (June 27, 1997). Funds to process and purchase Nomination 220 will come from Account No. 20-8800-30103.506110.								
8. MANAGEMENT RECOMMENDATIONS:								
9. <u>RECOMMENDED APPROVAL</u> :								
A Department	B Purchasing or	C Human	D Other	E County		F Budget S		G
_Director	Contracts	Resources		Attorney		Budget :		County Manager
K. Torsyth	N/A	N/A	5.00 9.09	Jun J Sugar 4-30-03	OA 1,30 03 4 30 03	M VIII	RISK GC	= US 130.03
10. COMM	ISSION ACTION	•		•	L			
DENII	RRED			Rec Dat Tin		, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	RECEIVED BY COUNTY ADMIN 4-30-03 4:30	4r
				For 41	warded to: ADH: 30/03 4PH	4	SH ST	47 2

SECONDARY REVIEW NOMINATION 220

		CRITERIA	SCO	DRE	COMMENTS
. SIZE	AND	CONTIGUITY			
1.	Size	e of Property			
	а.	≥ 500 acres	6		
	b.	400 to < 500 acres	5		
	с.		4		
	d.		3	_	
	е.	100 to <200 acres	2	2	
	f.	50 to <100 acres	1		
· ···		< 50 acres	0		160 acres. Asking \$3,500 per acre. \$560,000 total asking price.
2.		tiguous to:		T	
	а.	Coastal waters and other sovereignty submerged lands	4	0	
					Located 1/2 mile between the Wild Turkey Strand Preserve (Site
	5	Evisting pressnus area in a jump or refuse		0	200) and the Airport Mitigation Area. Large flow-way connects areas.
		Existing preserve area, c.e., wma or refuge Preserve areas officially proposed for acquisitior	4		Nomination 24 was withdrawn
		OR PLANTS AND ANIMALS	4	0	
				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
1.	Nati	ive Plant Cover	·		1
	a.	\geq 75 % of the property has native plant cover	8	<u>_</u>	
	b.	50% to < 75% has native plant cover	4		3 areas of abandoned farm fields succeeding to slash pines, wax
	с.	25% to <50% has native plant cover	2	2	myrtles and live oaks, remaining native habitats exhibit patchy
	d.	< 25% has native plant cover	0		exotic vegetation infestations.
2.	Sig	nificant for wide-ranging species		4	d
		Panther Habitat, wetlands, ponds, grass lands, etc.	2	2	migratory songbird species were seen during the site inspection and the cypress wetlands provide habitat for wide ranging wadin birds. Priority 2 panther habitat. Black bear habitat.
	Rar	e and Unique Uplands			
	a.	Scrub, hammock, old growth pine	2		
	b.	Mature, second growth pine flatwood	1	1	second growth pine flatwoods present
4.	Dive	ersity		-	
	a.	5 or more FLUCCS native plant community categories	2		
			1		1
	Ъ.	3 or 4 FLUCCS native plant community categories	1	[1	mesic and hydric pine flatwoods and cypress communities occur

CLASAC Approved Recommendation

SECONDARY REVIEW NOMINATION 220

C. SIC	GNI	IFICANCE FOR WATER RESOURCES			COMMENTS
	1.	Serves or can serve as flow-way			
		Site contains a primary flow-way, creek, river, wetland corridor; large contributing watershed; possibly identified in the Lee County Surface Water Master Plan, South Lee County Study, or	1		
		a. by staff	4		
		Site contains flow-way, through tributary, medium size watershed, or just a portion of system/one side of conveyance,			
		b. may not be identified in study	3	3	
				- J	
· ·		c. Same as b., smaller watershed, not as defined, disconnected	2		
		d. Site conveys runoff, minimal area	1	_	
		e. Site provides no conveyance of surface water	0		wetland flow-way system, upper portion of watershed
	1	f. Add 2 points if conveyance is natural (not man-made)	+2	1	natural system intact surrounded by ag
	2.	Strategic to Flood Management		-	
		Well defined flood-way within parcel, possibly identified in FEMA			
		or other study, both sides of conveyance, large upstream area,	•		
		a. protection from encroachment critical or known flooding history	4		
[b. Same as a., portion of floodway (one side) or within floodplain	3		
		Medium size watershed, need for floodplain protection, possibly	1	• •	
		c. serves as an outfall for a road or development	2	2	
		d. Small watershed, minimal flooding	1		
		e. No significant flood issues	0		provides floodplain protection for contributing area
	3.	Protect a water supply source.	<u> </u>	1	<u>, </u>
		Parcel is within area designated high potential productivity of the	ľ		
		Water Table, Sandstone or Tamiami Aquifer and also within			
		a. area of current or proposed wellfield development	2	2	
		Not within above mentioned zones, but advantageous due to proximity to existing water supply facilities or identified as			
		b. potential water storage area	4		
		C. No recharge or potential water supply opportunities	_1		within area of high potential productivity of the water table and
		Offset Damage to or Enhance Water Quality.	U		sandstone aquifers
	.	Presence of wetland, retention, or lake that is currently providing		i	
		a. water quality benefits	2	2	
		Same as a., but achieved through some alterations to existing		<u>-</u>	
		b. site or very limited in contributing watershed	1		
		c. No existing or potential water quality benefits	0	1	wetland flow-way system provides water quality benefits
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•		

SECONDARY REVIEW NOMINATION 220

1. Good Access for Public Use and Land Managemen			:
Parcel can be accessed from a freeway, expressway, arterial	11	T	1
street, or major collector	3		
Parcel can by accessed from a minor collector or local street	2	+	
Parcel can be accessed from a privately-maintained road that is		· ·	
dedicated for public use	1		
Parcel can only be accessed by a private road or does not have		-	Green Meadow Rd. is a privately maintained road that is not
physical or legal access	0	0	dedicated for public use.
2. Recreation/Eco-Tourism Potential		-	i
Score depends upon the variety or uniqueness of potential		-	
public uses. Examples of appropriate public uses include			site could provide hiking opportunities, especially for local
paddling sports, fishing, hiking, equestrian, mountain biking,			residents. Eventually it could become a staging area for pub
photography, nature study, and environmental education	0-2	1	use at the Wild Turkey Strand Preserve.
3. Land Manageability			
75% or greater of the perimeter of site is surrounded by low			
impact land uses	3	3	
50%-75% of the perimeter of site is surrounded by low impact	_		
land uses	2		
25%-50% of the perimeter of site is surrounded by low impact land uses			
Less than 25% of the perimeter of the site is surrounded by low	1		site is completely surrounded by Wetlands and DR/GR Future
impact land uses	0		Land Uses
4. Development Status (Maximum 4 points)			······································
The Parcel is Approved for Development or is Exempt from			
a. Clearing Regulations	4	4	Agricultural exemption
b. The Parcel is Zoned for Intensive Use	2		AG-2
c. Future Land Use Map: Intensive Land Use Category	1		Density Reduction/Groundwater Resource
TOTAL POINTS		26	
			with the WTSP to the west and the airport mitigat

RECOMMENDATION: Pursue for acquisition to reconnect and restore flow-way system

CLASAC Approved Recommendation

