



Procurement Management Department
 2115 Second Street, 1st Floor
 Fort Myers, FL 33901
 Main Line: (239) 533-8881
 Fax Line: (239) 485-8383
www.leegov.com/procurement

Posted Date: April 30, 2025

Solicitation No.: B250106LND

Solicitation Name: Orange River Blvd. Sidewalk and Watermain Replacement

Subject: Addendum Number 3

The following represents clarification, additions, deletions, and/or modifications to the above referenced bid. This addendum shall hereafter be regarded as part of the solicitation. Items not referenced herein remain unchanged, including the response date. Words, phrases or sentences with a strikethrough represent deletions to the original solicitation. Underlined words and bolded, phrases or sentences represent additions to the original solicitation.

1. UPDATED BID RESPONSE FORM

The Bid Response Form has been updated, and a revised Bid Response Form has been uploaded to the solicitation webpage on the Lee County Procurement website.

Please ensure that your firm has downloaded the revised Price Proposal Form and have been able to successfully use the Excel formals. Any firm having compatibility issues or difficulty downloading the Price Proposal Form needs to contact the Procurement Analyst for this project at their earliest convenience. Do not wait until submission day to download. Procurement is not required to extend a closing due to Vendor delay or difficulty in receipt of download of documents.

Proposers MUST use the Addendum 3 Revised Bid Response Excel Form when submitting their proposal. Failure to do so will result in Proposer being deemed non-responsive and therefore ineligible for award.

2. QUESTIONS/ANSWERS

1.	The plans do not scale correctly. Please advise.
Answer	Set printer scale to 100%, not fit to paper for correct scale.
2.	Please reference Sheet 04, Pavement Restoration Detail. Please advise if Type B-12.5 can be substituted?
Answer	Please bid as specified.

3.	Please reference Sheets 15 through 22. Please advise if all existing culvert pipes under roadways and driveways, and all existing mitered end sections are to be removed (not indicated on the plans). If so, will removals be paid for under the pay item for the corresponding size of the proposed new pipe crossing?
Answer	Please refer to note 9 on sheet 14. Payment for removal of existing drainage structures and pipe is included under clearing and grubbing.

4.	Please reference Sheets 15 thru 22 ‘Sidewalk Plan and Profile’ and Sheets 64 thru 68A ‘Signing & Pavement Marking Plans. Please indicate if the signs noted on Sheets 64 thru 68A will be the final configuration post construction. Please advise if all other existing signs not indicated to be relocated on Sheets 15 thru 22 and Sheets 64 thru 68A, are to be removed. Are existing signs removed to be returned to LCDOT?
Answer	For most instances, the signs shown in the plans will be the final configuration post construction. There are a few spots where signs will need to be adjusted. Stop signs will need to be adjusted to be adjacent to stop bars, speed limit signs are to be adjacent to the sidewalk, etc. Per note 7 and 10 on sheet 14, existing signs are to be removed and returned to LCDOT unless otherwise noted.

5.	Please reference Sheet 15. Will the existing gas line marker be relocated by Teco gas, approx. STA +/-12.40? Appears to be in conflict with the proposed sidewalk.
Answer	Per previous coordination with Teco throughout the design phase, all existing facilities are to remain. With this said, if adjustments are necessary, additional coordination will be necessary. Either Lee County, the design engineer, and/or the CEI will notify the public utilities again of the construction commencement. Contractor to coordinate with utility company for adjustments as needed.

6.	Please reference Sheet 15. Will existing water main valve & valve box at approx. STA +/-12.40 need to be relocated outside of the proposed sidewalk? Appears to be in conflict with the proposed sidewalk.
Answer	Existing valve and valve box are to remain and are to be adjusted to finished grade. Please reference note 14 on sheet 68C. Item is incidental to sidewalk construction.

7.	Please reference Sheets 15, 16 & 17. On the north side of the north Boatways Road, sidewalk improvements have been constructed with the new Starbucks (4030 Boatways Road). Please advise if proposed sidewalk can be tied into the recent sidewalk construction from the Starbucks site improvements.
Answer	The proposed sidewalk is to be tied into the recent sidewalk from the Starbucks site. Additional coordination with the CEI and design engineer will be required during construction to meet ADA requirements. Additional concrete removal has been included in the bid schedule to tie into the recently constructed sidewalk. Please see updated bid schedule item 110-4-10 Removal of Existing Concrete.

8.	On the south side of the north Boatways Road, please confirm existing sidewalk (approx. STA 20+00) is to be removed to construct the proposed sidewalk improvements (not indicated on plans, no summary of quantities for removal of existing sidewalks, and not included in pay item for removal of existing concrete). Also on Sheet 15, for 7 SY removal of existing sidewalk at approx. STA +/-10.30.
Answer	Existing sidewalk is to be removed. 21 SY of concrete removal is included in the total concrete removal quantity.

9.	On the north side of the north Boatways Road there is an existing Lumens vault box that is not shown on 'Attachment D - Utility Plan Lumen' to be adjusted / relocated. Please advise.
Answer	Per previous coordination in the design phase, existing facilities are to remain and field adjusted during construction, however this box appears to be recently installed. Either Lee County, the design engineer, and/or the CEI will notify the public utilities again of the construction commencement. If in conflict, the utility will be required to move or relocated. Contractor to coordinate with utility company for adjustments as needed.

10.	Please reference Sheet 17. Existing sidewalk from Starbucks site improvements is not constructed as indicated on the drawings (ref. photos 2871, 2883, and 2891). Please advise if any layout adjustment(s) will be needed on the proposed sidewalk.
Answer	The proposed sidewalk stub out to the existing sidewalk from the Starbucks site will not be necessary at this location.

11.	Please reference Sheets 18 & 19. Can Full Depth Restoration be an acceptable option for contractors means & methods to perform the proposed pavement restorations areas on Orange River Blvd, Sabal Lane, Palm Lane and Oasis Park Drive? Please advise.
Answer	Please bid as specified. See Sheet 03 for Pavement Restoration information.

12.	Please reference Sheets 18 thru 22. Can ADS pipe be substituted with RCP? Please advise.
Answer	Substitution is not allowed in this instance. Please bid as specified.

13.	Please reference Sheet 19. Plan shows a note at approx. STA +/-110+50 to relocate existing fence. Please provide total length and proposed location of fence to be relocated. Please advise which pay item on the bid schedule this work is to be paid for.
Answer	Fence in question has already been relocated prior to construction and this is not necessary under this contract.

14.	Please reference Sheet 21. To install the proposed 55 LF of 38" x 60" ERCP at approx. STA +/-120+14, and remove exist 38" x 60" CMP at approx. STA +/-128+90, please advise if contractor can temporarily reroute east and west bound traffic on Orange River Blvd via Ottumwa Avenue and Muskegon Avenue, performing the installation beginning on a Friday evening after 6 pm and to be completed re-opening to traffic on Orange River Blvd before noon on Sunday. Removal of exist 38" x 60" CMP at approx. STA +/-128+90, will require a closure on Orange River Blvd just east of Muskegon Avenue and west of Sunkist Way (depth of existing pipe not indicated on the profile). The contractor proposes to perform the removal beginning on a Friday evening after 6 pm and to be completed re-opening to traffic on Orange River Blvd before noon on Sunday. Primary concern is safety to the public to perform the installation and removal on one-half the roadway at a time, even with barrier wall. The excavations will be too deep, and large equipment will be required. Please advise if flowable fill will be allowed to backfill the crossing from the spring line of the pipe to the bottom of asphalt at the installation location, and from bottom of trench at the removal location.
Answer	Once contract is awarded, Contractor will submit a signed and sealed MOT plan to LCDOT for review and approval. With that plan, Contractor will suggest their options for lane closures and detouring traffic. At this time, LCDOT will not allow a detour through a neighborhood due to the narrow streets. See Sheet 68B for Temporary Traffic Control Notes.

15.	Please reference Sheets 18 & 91. There is an existing Quantum fiber optic pedestal on the northwest corner of Sabal Lane & Orange River Blvd that will be in conflict with the proposed removal and replacement of 18" RCP that is not indicated to be adjusted / relocated by Centurylink / Quantum. Please advise.
Answer	Engineer has had no previous correspondence with Quantum. CenturyLink has existing facilities to remain in that location. It appears that the pedestal in question was recently installed. Either Lee County, the design engineer, and/or the CEI will notify the public utilities again of the construction commencement. If in conflict, the utility will be required to move or relocated. Contractor to coordinate with utility company for adjustments as needed.

16.	Please reference Sheet 72. Can the proposed 51 LF 8" DIP CL250 WM at approx. STA 104+83 on Orange River Blvd at Sabal Lane, be performed using 10" DR11 via directional drill? Please advise.
Answer	Directional drills are not wanted at this site, per LCU. Please bid as specified.

17.	Are we able to isolate the existing lines for tie ins on this project via existing gate valves or will linestops be required?
Answer	Linestops are not anticipated to be required.

18.	On sheet 21 between structures S-236 and S-239 there is a run of 14' of 14"X23" ERCP. There does not appear to be a bid tab item for this pipe.
Answer	The pipe call out for the 14x23 RCP in plan view should be 18" RCP. The 14' of 18" RCP was accounted for in the Summary of Drainage Structures and Quantities.

19.	I do not see a pay item for the proposed concrete separator. Is there an item for this?
Answer	Please see updated bid schedule. Item 520-5-16 Traffic Separator Concrete has been added.

20.	Can you confirm that this is a Buy America project?
Answer	This is not a Buy America project. There are no special requirements for this project as this is not State or Federally funded.

21.	Is this a Davis Bacon project?
Answer	This is not a Davis Bacon project. There are no special requirements for this project as this is not State or Federally funded.

BIDDER/PROPOSER IS ADVISED, YOU ARE REQUIRED TO ACKNOWLEDGE RECEIPT OF THIS ADDENDUM WHEN SUBMITTING A BID/PROPOSAL. FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH THIS REQUIREMENT MAY RESULT IN THE BIDDER/PROPOSER BEING CONSIDERED NON-RESPONSIVE.

ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE SOLICITATION DOCUMENTS ARE AND SHALL REMAIN THE SAME.



 Lilla Davis
 Procurement Analyst Direct Line: 239-533-8857
 Lee County Procurement Management