1. REQUESTED MOTION:
ACTION REQUESTED: Adopt a Small Scale amendment to the Lee County Comprehensive Plan.

WHY ACTION IS NECESSARY: A majority of the entire Board of County Commissioners is required to adopt a Small Scale amendment.

WHAT THE ACTION ACCOMPLISHES: Changes the Airport Noise Designation from Zone 3 to Zone 2 for a specified 6.8+ acre parcel of land generally located at the northwest quadrant of the intersection of Daniels Parkway and Commonwealth Drive.

2. DEPARTMENTAL CATEGORY:
COMMISSION DISTRICT #5

3. MEETING DATE:
5:05 #1

4. AGENDA
CONSENT
- Administrative
- Appeals
PUBLIC

5. REQUIREMENT/PURPOSE:
(Specify)
X STATUTE 163.3187
X ORDINANCE
M CODE 13-6
OTHER

TIME REQUIRED: 5 Minutes

6. REQUESTOR OF INFORMATION:
A. COMMISSIONER
B. DEPARTMENT Community Development
C. DIVISION Planning COMMISSION

6/4/03
BY Paul O’Connor, AICP, Director

7. BACKGROUND:
Small Scale amendments to the County’s Comprehensive Plan may be proposed at any time during a calendar year. The applicant, John McGarvey, has requested a Small Scale amendment for a specified 6.8+ acre parcel as described by the Staff Report dated May 28, 2003. The applicant is proposing to develop a charter school on the subject site. The current designation of Airport Noise Zone 3 does not permit schools. The applicant is seeking an amendment to remove this designation from the property so as to allow the development of a charter school on the subject site.

Attachments
Staff Report
Adopting Ordinance

8. MANAGEMENT RECOMMENDATIONS:

9. RECOMMENDED APPROVAL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Department</th>
<th>Purchasing or Contracts</th>
<th>Human Rel.</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>County Attorney</th>
<th>County Administration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Director</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6/20/03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DMC 03
10/03
10/03

10. COMMISSION ACTION:

- APPROVED
- DENIED
- DEFERRED
- OTHER

RECOMMENDED
AGREEMENT

Rec. by CoAtty

Date: 6/20/03
Time: 1:37

Forwarded To:

S:\COMPREHENSIVE\Plan Amendments\03\CPA2003-03\adoption\blue sheet.wpd
AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE LEE COUNTY COMPREHENSIVE PLAN, COMMONLY KNOWN AS THE “LEE PLAN” AS ADOPTED BY ORDINANCE NO. 89-02, AS AMENDED, SO AS TO ADOPT SMALL SCALE AMENDMENT CPA2003-03 (PERTAINING TO THE REMOVAL OF A 6.8 ± ACRE PARCEL FROM THE AIRPORT NOISE ZONE 3 OVERLAY) APPROVED DURING A SMALL SCALE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AMENDMENT EFFORT; PROVIDING FOR AMENDMENT TO THE FUTURE LAND USE MAP SERIES, MAP 1; PURPOSE AND SHORT TITLE; LEGAL EFFECT OF “THE LEE PLAN”; GEOGRAPHICAL APPLICABILITY; SEVERABILITY, CODIFICATION, SCRIVENER’S ERRORS, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, the Lee County Comprehensive Plan (“Lee Plan”) Policy 2.4.1 and Chapter XIII, provides for adoption of amendments to the Plan in compliance with State statutes and in accordance with administrative procedures adopted by the Board of County Commissioners (“Board”); and,

WHEREAS, the Board, in accordance with Section 163.3181, Florida Statutes, and Lee County Administrative Code AC-13-6 provide an opportunity for private individuals to request amendment to the Future Land Use Map through a small scale amendment public hearing process and the LPA; and,

WHEREAS, the Lee County Local Planning Agency (“LPA”) held a public hearing pursuant to Florida Statutes and Lee County Administrative Code on May 28, 2003; and

WHEREAS, the Board held a public hearing for the adoption of the proposed amendment on June 24, 2003. At that hearing, the Board approved a motion to adopt proposed amendment CPA2003-03 pertaining to the removal of a 6.8 ± acre parcel from the Airport Noise Zone 3 Overlay Special Treatment Area depicted on page 3 of the Future Land Use Map. The subject parcel is located within the Gateway DRI, north of Daniels Parkway.
NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF LEE COUNTY, FLORIDA, THAT:

SECTION ONE: PURPOSE, INTENT, AND SHORT TITLE

The Board of County Commissioners of Lee County, Florida, in compliance with Chapter 163, Part II, Florida Statutes, and with Lee County Administrative Code AC-13-6, conducted a public hearing to review a proposed amendment to the Future Land Use Map Series of the Lee Plan. The purpose of this ordinance is to adopt the amendment to the Lee Plan discussed at that meeting and later approved by a majority of the Board of County Commissioners. The short title and proper reference for the Lee County Comprehensive Land Use Plan, as hereby amended, will continued to be the "Lee Plan." This amending ordinance may be referred to as the "Small Scale Amendment CPA 2003-03, Charter School Ordinance."

SECTION TWO: ADOPTION OF SMALL SCALE AMENDMENT TO LEE PLAN FUTURE LAND USE MAPS SERIES, MAP 1

The Lee County Board of County Commissioners hereby amends the existing Lee Plan, adopted by Ordinance Number 89-02, as amended, by adopting an amendment to the Future Land Use Map Series, Map 1, by removing a 6.8 ± acre parcel from the Airport Noise Zone 3 Overlay reflected on page 5 of the Future Land Use Map (Special Treatment Areas) and placing the subject property within Airport Noise Zone 2 Overlay. The subject parcel is located within the Gateway DRI, north of Daniels Parkway. The corresponding staff report and analysis, along with all attachments for this amendment, are adopted as "support documentation" for the Lee Plan.

SECTION THREE: LEGAL EFFECT OF THE "LEE PLAN"

No public or private development will be permitted except in conformity with the Lee Plan. All land development regulations and land development orders must be consistent
with the Lee Plan as amended.

SECTION FOUR: GEOGRAPHIC APPLICABILITY

The Lee Plan is applicable throughout the unincorporated area of Lee County, Florida, except in those unincorporated areas included in joint or interlocal agreements with other local governments that specifically provide otherwise.

SECTION FIVE: SEVERABILITY

The provisions of this ordinance are severable and it is the intention of the Board of County Commissioners of Lee County, Florida, to confer the whole or any part of the powers herein provided. If any of the provisions of this ordinance are held unconstitutional by a court of competent jurisdiction, the decision of that court will not affect or impair the remaining provisions of this ordinance. It is hereby declared to be the legislative intent of the Board of County Commissioners that this ordinance would have been adopted had the unconstitutional provisions not been included therein.

SECTION SIX: INCLUSION IN CODE, CODIFICATION, SCRIVENERS' ERROR

It is the intention of the Board of County Commissioners that the provisions of this ordinance will become and be made a part of the Lee County Code. Sections of this ordinance may be renumbered or relabeled and the word "ordinance" may be changed to "section," "article," or other appropriate word or phrase in order to accomplish this intention; and regardless of whether inclusion in the code is accomplished, sections of this ordinance may be renumbered or relabeled. The correction of typographical errors that do not affect the intent, may be authorized by the County Manager, or his or her designee, without need of public hearing, by filing a corrected or recodified copy with the Clerk of the Circuit Court.

SECTION SEVEN: EFFECTIVE DATE

The small scale Lee Plan amendment adopted by this ordinance will be effective 31 days after adoption unless challenged within 30 days after adoption. If challenged within
30 days after adoption, the small scale amendment to the Lee Plan will not be effective until the Florida Department of Community Affairs or the Administrative Commission issues a final order determining the small scale amendment is in compliance with Florida Statutes, Section 163.3184. No development orders, development permits, or land uses dependent on this amendment may be issued or commence before the amendment has become effective.

THE FOREGOING ORDINANCE was offered by Commissioner __________, who moved its adoption. The motion was seconded by Commissioner __________, and, when put to a vote, the vote was as follows:

   Robert P. Janes
   Douglas St. Cerny
   Ray Judah
   Andrew Coy
   John Albion

DONE AND ADOPTED this ___ of _______ 2003.

ATTEST:
CHARLIE GREEN, CLERK

LEE COUNTY
BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

BY: ________________________________
   Deputy Clerk

BY: ________________________________
   Chairman

DATE: ________________________________

Approved as to form by:

_________________________________
Donna Marie Collins
County Attorney's Office
CPA 2003-03
J. McGARVEY SMALL SCALE
AMENDMENT
TO THE

LEE COUNTY COMPREHENSIVE PLAN

THE LEE PLAN

Privately Sponsored Application
and Staff Analysis

BoCC Public Hearing Document
for the
June 24th Public Hearing

Lee County Planning Division
1500 Monroe Street
P.O. Box 398
Fort Myers, FL 33902-0398
(941) 479-8585

May 28, 2003
PART I - BACKGROUND AND STAFF RECOMMENDATION

A. SUMMARY OF APPLICATION

1. APPLICANT: John McGarvey, Mgr. Partner, Commonwealth Flex Associates, LLC., represented by Mr. Charles J. Basinait, Henderson, Franklin, Starnes & Holt, P.A.

2. REQUEST: Amend the Future Land Use Map series, Map 1, page 5 of 5 for a specified 6.8± acre parcel of land located in Section 19 Township 45 South, Range 26 East to change the boundary of Airport Noise Zone 3 to remove the subject property from that designation.

3. SUMMARY DISCUSSION
   The applicant is proposing to develop a charter school on the subject site. The subject site is depicted by the Lee Plan as being within Airport Noise Zone 3. Policy 1.7.1 does not permit schools, as well as other specified uses, within Airport Noise Zone 3. Policy 46.3.11 prohibits the location of schools "in the areas designated on the Future Land Use Map as Airport Noise Zone 3 or within other high noise impact areas. The applicant proposes through this amendment to remove this designation from the property so as to allow the development of a charter school on the subject site.
B. BACKGROUND INFORMATION

1. EXISTING CONDITIONS

SIZE OF PROPERTY: ±6.8 acres

PROPERTY LOCATION: 12850 Commonwealth Drive, at the northwest quadrant of the intersection of Commonwealth Drive and Daniels Parkway, in the Gateway/Airport Planning Community.

EXISTING USE OF LAND: Vacant, structure currently being developed on the site.

CURRENT ZONING: PUD

CURRENT FUTURE LAND USE CLASSIFICATIONS: "New Community."

2. INFRASTRUCTURE AND SERVICES

WATER & SEWER: The property is located within the Lee County Utilities potable water franchise area and within the Gateway Utilities sewer franchise area.

FIRE: The property is located within the South Trail Fire District.

TRANSPORTATION: Access to the property is via Daniels Parkway and Commonwealth Drive.

SOLID WASTE FRANCHISE: The property is located within the Florida Recycling Services solid waste collection service area.

C. STAFF RECOMMENDATION AND FINDINGS OF FACT SUMMARY

1. RECOMMENDATION: Staff recommends that the Future Land Use Map series, Map 1, page 5 of 5 for the specified 6.8± acre parcel of land located in Section 19, Township 45 South, Range 26 East be amended to changed the subject property from Airport Noise Zone 3 to Airport Noise Zone 2.

Additionally, staff recommends that the property owner be required to execute an Avigation Easement that is to be recorded in the county records. This easement acknowledges that there will be noise generated by airport activities including overflight of aircraft and holds the Port Authority harmless as a result of these activities. This easement should be acceptable to the Port Authority and should be executed and recorded prior to the Board of County Commissioners adopting this amendment.
2. BASIS AND RECOMMENDED FINDINGS OF FACT:

- Utilization of this parcel for a charter school will eliminate the need for Lee County District Schools to construct these classrooms on district campuses.

- It alleviates the pressing need to meet increasing enrolments at this time in the East Choice Zone which is one of the districts fastest growing areas.

- There are currently three new schools under construction in the East Zone, but these facilities will not be open for two more years. The charter school is proposed to be opened this fall.

- The Port Authority staff and consultant believe that since the school site is on the extreme edge of Noise Zone 3, it is probable that the site would be removed from Noise Zone 3 following the completion of the FAR Part 150 Study Update which is expected in 2006.

- The desired use of the property, a school, is an envisioned land use within the New Community land use category.

- Existing utilities and infrastructure are in place or available to support the proposed charter school development. The proposed action will not require changes to future road network plans. The approved Development Order for an office building on the site and the approved Concurrency Certificate No. CNC2003-00936 are evidence of the adequacy of services to the parcel.

- The proposed action will result in no population capacity increase of the FLUM.

- The proposal is for a charter school, which could draw students from all over Lee County, thus the neighborhood school concept is not applicable.

PART II - STAFF ANALYSIS

A. STAFF DISCUSSION

INTRODUCTION

The applicant has requested a change in the boundary of Airport Noise Zone 3 to remove a ±6.8 acre parcel from that designation. The request has been submitted to accommodate the development of a charter school. The application provides that “Due to the inclusion of the subject property in Zone 3, the site is currently being permitted as an office use, but the eventual intent, if the small-scale amendment is approved, is to then amend the development order to permit the school function.”

The original Comprehensive Plan Amendment Application and Applicant Supplementary Information are attached hereto as “Attachment 1 - Applicant Supplementary Info"
PROJECT SUMMARY
The applicant proposes to develop the subject property with a 900 student charter school in an approximate 60,000 square foot building commercial uses that are consistent with a high traffic tourist area and workforce commute corridor. The requested future land use amendment would allow such development on this site to be consistent with the overall policies and considerations of the Lee Plan.

COMPREHENSIVE PLAN BACKGROUND
The subject property was designated "New Community" by the original Lee County Future Land Use Map, adopted in 1984. The New Community Future Land Use designation was meant to be applied to lands that are capable of being planned and developed as a cohesive free-standing community offering a complete range of land uses. The New Community land use category permits a full mix of housing types up to six dwelling units per gross acre, community commercial, industrial, office, and community facilities.

ADJACENT ZONING AND USES
The subject site is located within the Westlinks Business Park portion (Gateway Phase 15) of Gateway. Properties to the north and east of the subject site are within the New Community land use area and are zoned PUD. To the north of the subject parcel is a South Trail Fire Station and then several light industrial and commercial businesses. In general, the Westlinks area is characterized with light industrial and commercial businesses. To the South is Daniels Parkway and then the Southwest Florida International Airport property.

The lands to the west and northwest are designated Airport Commerce. Immediately to the west is the approved Airside Plaza DPI which is zoned CPD. The approved phasing schedule provides for up to 125,000 square feet of commercial uses, 140,000 square feet of office uses, 150 hotel/motel rooms, and 290,000 square feet of light industrial uses. The Airside Plaza site is currently vacant and utilized for passive agricultural uses.

POPULATION ACCOMMODATION CAPACITY DISCUSSION
The application notes that "the proposed change will have no effect upon the population projections." Staff concurs in that the request is not changing the underlying New Community Future Land Use Map category. Staff does note that the current restrictions in Airport Noise Zone 3 prohibit residential development on the subject site and the affect of removing this designation would allow the development of residential units on the subject site. Staff believes, however, that it is unlikely that residential uses will be developed on the site given the location of the parcel in an industrial/commercial area and that the parcel fronts on Daniels Parkway. Thus, given the location of the property and existing surrounding uses, it seems unlikely that the property owner will in the future develop the parcel with residential uses.

CONSISTENCY WITH THE "NEW COMMUNITY" LAND USE CATEGORY
The subject property is located within the "New Community" Future Land Use Category. The Lee Plan's Objective and Policy that describes this category is reproduced below:

**OBJECTIVE 1.6: NEW COMMUNITY.** Designate on the Future Land Use Map areas which are suitable for the development of large-scale multi-use communities developed pursuant to an overall master plan. This category is also considered a Future Urban Area.
POLICY 1.6.1: New Community areas are lands that are capable of being planned and developed as a cohesive unit in order to better achieve conservation of important environmental resources and to initiate areawide surface water management. New Community land must be located such that the area is capable of being developed with a balance of residential and non-residential uses and that major impacts of the development are internalized and/or alleviated by infrastructure that is existing or will be funded privately. New Community areas will be developed as freestanding economic units and will not impose negative fiscal impacts on the county (other than those associated with the delay in placing property improvements on the tax rolls).

New Communities will not exceed a residential density of six dwelling units per gross acre and must have at least the following characteristics:

1. The land will be developed under a well-conceived overall master plan;
2. The land can be served with all necessary facilities and services at no expense to the county. Uniform Community Development Districts and special taxing districts may be utilized toward achieving this objective;
3. Population, recreation, open space, educational, office, and research facilities are distributed in an orderly and attractive manner;
4. The land must be developed in such a manner as to protect environmentally sensitive areas;
5. The land must be developed as a free-standing community offering a complete range of land uses (e.g. a full mix of housing types for a range of household incomes, industrial and office employment centers, and community facilities such as fire departments, schools, law enforcement offices, public recreational areas, health care facilities, and community commercial areas);
6. Off-site impacts must be mitigated; and,
7. On-site levels of service must meet the county-wide standards contained in this plan.

The desired use of the property, a school, is an envisioned land use within the New Community land use category.

MAP 16 - PLANNING COMMUNITIES AND TABLE 1(b)
Map 16 and Table 1(b) do not regulate public facilities such as schools.

TRANSPORTATION ISSUES
Lee County Department of Transportation has reviewed the proposed action and provided a letter which states, “We have no objection to the above application since TAZ 626, in which the proposed project is located, includes a projected 1,800 students in the Lee County MPO’s 2020 Financially Feasible Plan Model, and the proposed project has only 900 students...We have determined the proposed project will not alter the future road network plans.”

SCHOOL IMPACTS
Lee County School District staff has reviewed the proposed amendment and provided an email to planning staff. This email provides that “This application would have a very positive impact on the Lee County School District, as it would eliminate the need to construct these classrooms on Lee County School District school campuses. It alleviates the pressing need to meet increasing enrollments at this time in the East Choice Zone which is one of the District’s fastest growing areas. Currently, three new schools are under construction in the East zone which will not open for two more years, thus this proposal will help offset the growth experienced in this choice zone while these new schools are under construction.” This email further provides that “In the future, improved coordination with Lee County planning, the charter school applicants, and the District’s Department of Construction and Planning should occur to assist in the location of these facilities and possibly avoid their future location in industrial parks or other commercial locations.”
The Lee Plan seeks to direct the location of schools (as well as other “noise sensitive” uses) away from areas impacted by noise, such as adjacent to the international airport. For example, Policy 1.7.1 provides that Airport Noise Zone 3 does not permit schools. Policy 46.3.11 also provides that it is the County’s policy to “Prohibit the location of schools in the areas designated on the Future Land Use Map as Airport Noise Zone 3 or within other high noise impact areas.”

The Lee Plan seeks to protect schools from incompatible uses:

**Policy 46.3.1:** Protect the integrity of schools so that educational functions are not disrupted by the intrusion of incompatible land uses.

**Policy 46.3.5:** Land uses and development will not be permitted to the extent that it could necessitate the relocation of schools due to pressures from incompatible uses.

**Policy 46.3.10:** Prohibit school sites that are or will be exposed to physical constraints, hazards, or nuisances which are detrimental to the health and safety of students and to the general operation of the school.

The Lee Plan, as provided in 46.3.6, encourages “the location of neighborhood elementary schools within walking distance of the residential areas they serve.” Staff notes that the nearest residential unit in Gateway is approximately 3,500 feet north of the subject site following existing roads through the Westlinks Business Park. As the proposal is a charter school, which could draw students from all over Lee County, the neighborhood school concept is not applicable.

**NOISE AND THE INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT**

The subject site is located just north of the Southwest Florida International Airport across Daniels Parkway. The site is located, as previously stated, within Airport Noise Zone 3. The specific location of the subject property in this Zone is on the western edge of the Zone. The portion of this Zone that the subject property is located within is a relatively small triangular shape that contains approximately 70 acres from the western edge of the Gateway development to Gateway Boulevard. The site has been identified in the high noise exposure area since the Airport opened.

The applicant has provided a brief noise study entitled “Acoustical Evaluation of Potential Noise Impact at planned Lehigh Charter School at Daniels Parkway & Commonwealth Dr.” The Lee County Port Authority noise consultants, Environmental Science Associates (ESA), have reviewed the proposed plan amendment and have provided comments (attached) dated May 19, 2003. This memorandum provides the following concerning the applicant’s noise study:

"The report is based primarily on short term monitoring conducted by the Consultant and did not indicate the number of aircraft departures that occurred during the noise monitoring period. However, with the lower noise levels from departing aircraft now using RSW, the LEQ values seem appropriate for aircraft generated noise or a combination of aircraft and Daniels Parkway traffic generated noise.

Of importance in the report is that it indicates the construction materials for the proposed school will provide for a significant noise level reduction of 38 dBA. With the location of the proposed school being at the extreme fringe of the overlay zone, considering the noise levels that were monitored and with the type of construction being provided, the interior noise levels should be acceptable for school use.”

The ESA memorandum contains the following “Recommendations:”

"It should be recognized that, while the school building is currently under construction and the site will probably be removed from Zone 3, it is still influenced by aircraft departure noise. Furthermore, additional aviation
facilities will continue to be constructed on airport and future aviation activities will occur in reasonable proximity to the proposed school site. With these factors in mind the following are our recommendations. If it is determined that the school should be approved, the Port Authority should request that sufficient sound insulation be incorporated in the building construction to allow for a maximum 45 dB interior sound level. It appears from the Fegan report that the construction methods and materials will comply with this recommendation. Additionally due to the proximity of future aviation activities, the proposed school developer/property owner should sign an avigation easement, waiver of claim, or similar hold harmless indemnification document that certifies their knowledge of the proximity of the Airport. In addition, it should acknowledge the airport's future anticipated growth and recognize the fact that there is potential for airport-related noise exposure at the school site. In order to assist in the regulation of compatible land uses in the airport area, avigation easements, waivers of claim, or similar hold harmless indemnification documents should also be signed by all future developers/owners within Noise Overlay Zones 2 and 3 as was recommended and approved in the 1995 Federal Aviation Regulations Part 150 Noise Compatibility Study.

The uniqueness of the school site that is the subject of this letter compared to other areas of noise Zone 3 is that it is on the fringe of Noise Overlay Zone 3 and within a currently developed community. We would hope in the future that the integrity of the Noise Overlay Zones will be maintained until the FAR Part 150 Study Update is completed.

The ESA memorandum also provides that new noise contours were developed as part of the Master Plan Update for the airport. The memorandum notes that the departure flight tracks in the vicinity of the school site have remained the same. The memorandum also notes that the noise contours have reduced in size “from those previously published.” The memorandum provides the following explanation:

This reduction in contour size is primarily the result of the elimination of Stage 2 aircraft from the national air carrier fleet (effective January 1, 2000). The proposed school area in question was likely included within Noise Zone 3 due to the influence from departure noise by Boeing 727 aircraft and by other Stage 2 aircraft. Now that all Boeing 727 aircraft have been either retrofitted to meet noise standards or retired altogether, the departure noise in the area in question has reduced. Since the school site is on the extreme edge of Noise Zone 3, it is probable that the site would be removed from Noise Zone 3 following the completion of the FAR Part 150 Study Update in 2006. However, since the location of flight corridors is a decision making element of Noise Zone status, a final determination of the status of the site could not be made until the Part 150 Study Update is completed.

PRECEDENTIAL NATURE OF THE REQUEST
The proposed amendment is the first private amendment to ever propose amending the Airport Noise Zone Overlay. Staff is aware of only one other request to place “noise sensitive” uses within Airport Noise Zone 3. Miromar Lakes DRI initially requested time share units in the vicinity of Ben Hill Griffin Parkway in Airport Noise Zone 3. Ultimately these units were not approved.

SOILS
The applicant has provided information indicating that soils present on the site are Felda fine sand and Felda fine sand, depressional. A “Soils Map” and a description of the soils are included in the original application material. The application notes that “the entire site, however, has been cleared and filled, so that the natural conditions no longer apply.”

HISTORICAL AND ARCHAEOLOGICAL IMPACTS
The application provides that “There are no historic districts or sites located on the subject property or on adjacent properties.” The subject parcel is not located on either Level 1 or Level 2 zones of archaeological sensitivity.
ENDANGERED SPECIES
The application provides that “the subject property is currently under construction, has been cleared and filled, and contains no species that are threatened, endangered, or are of special concern.”

County Environmental Sciences (ES) staff are familiar with the property and have verified that the property was previously cleared and that no listed species are present. ES staff further verified that the wetland area in the southeast corner of the property shown on application Exhibit 1 was permitted to be impacted through the South Florida Water Management District permit.

PARKS, RECREATION AND OPEN SPACE
The Department of Public Works has reviewed the proposal and determined “that existing and proposed support facilities provided by Lee County Parks and Recreation will not be impacted by the proposed amendment.” Public Works staff notes that this is so because the request will not have any impact on population projections for the County.

DRAINAGE/SURFACE WATER MANAGEMENT
The application does not provide an existing and future conditions analysis for surface water/drainage basins. Staff notes that this issue has been dealt with through various permitting activities that have taken place concerning the subject site.

MASS TRANSIT
Lee County Transit Division has reviewed the proposed action and provided a letter of response which states that Lee Tran staff has reviewed the proposed amendment and "has determined that the proposed amendment to the future land use map would have no impacts on existing or planned services Lee Tran provides, nor would it have any impact to the budget of Lee County’s transit division."

UTILITIES
Concerning utilities, the applicant has provided supplemental information that provides the following:

"Upon inquiry with the engineers (Hole Montes, Inc.), I was informed that the subject property is in the Gateway Utilities service district...I am informed that when the original subdivision was platted, provisions for utilities were made and approved by the County for all parcels in the subdivision, including the subject property. I can provide copies of the approved development order for the subdivision if that is deemed necessary, but I believe that the existence of the plat, a copy of which was provided as part of the application materials, should suffice as evidence that all improvements, including utilities, were either constructed or bonded in accordance with the County’s requirements. Thus, the County has already approved the design and capacity issues associated with the utilities for the subject property, and there should be no question regarding whether this infrastructure is available to the site."

Staff agrees that availability of utilities to the site has previously been addressed. In fact, the provision of most infrastructure issues have been adequately addressed. The approved Development Order for an office building on the site and the approved Concurrency Certificate No. CNC2003-00936 are evidence of the adequacy of services to the parcel.

B. CONCLUSIONS
Staff finds that the necessary infrastructure is in place to accommodate the desired use. Staff also finds that there is a need for additional classroom space to accommodate the ever growing student population. Both the applicants noise consultant and the Port Authority noise consultant agree that
the noise contours associated with airport activities have been reduced as Stage 2 aircraft have been replaced by quieter Stage 3 aircraft. The Port Authority staff and consultant believe that since the school site is on the extreme edge of Noise Zone 3, it is probable that the site would be removed from Noise Zone 3 following the completion of the FAR Part 150 Study Update which is expected in 2006. The subject property while currently in Airport Noise Zone 3 is outside of the actual 65 dBA noise contour. Noise contours generally do not follow recognizable boundaries but were essentially "squared off" to allow easy identification on the ground. Considering the above conclusions, the removal of the Airport Noise Zone 3 and inclusion of the subject site within Airport Noise Zone 2 would not violate the purpose and intent in establishing the noise zones, but would allow for the construction of needed classrooms.

C. STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends that the Future Land Use Map series, Map 1, page 5 of 5 for the specified 6.8± acre parcel of land located in Section 19, Township 45 South, Range 26 East be amended to changed the subject property from Airport Noise Zone 3 to Airport Noise Zone 2.

Additionally, staff recommends that the property owner be required to execute an Avigation Easement that is to be recorded in the county records. This easement acknowledges that there will be noise generated by airport activities including overflight of aircraft and holds the Port Authority harmless as a result of these activities. This easement should be acceptable to the Port Authority and should be executed and recorded prior to the Board of County Commissioners adopting this amendment.
PART III - LOCAL PLANNING AGENCY REVIEW AND RECOMMENDATION

DATE OF PUBLIC HEARING: May 28, 2003

A. LOCAL PLANNING AGENCY REVIEW
Planning staff provide a brief summary of the proposed amendment. The applicant’s agent also presented the request to the LPA. No members of the public appeared to testify concerning the proposal.

B. LOCAL PLANNING AGENCY RECOMMENDATION AND FINDINGS OF FACT SUMMARY

1. RECOMMENDATION: The LPA recommends that the Board of County Commissioners adopt the proposed amendment.

2. BASIS AND RECOMMENDED FINDINGS OF FACT: The LPA accepted the findings of fact as advanced by the staff report.

C. VOTE:

NOEL ANDRESS       AYE
SUSAN BROOKMAN     AYE
MATT BIXLER        AYE
RONALD INGE        AYE
GORDON REIGELMAN   ABSENT
DAN DELISI         ABSTAINED
ROBERT PRITT       AYE
PART IV - BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
HEARING FOR ADOPTION OF PROPOSED AMENDMENT

DATE OF ADOPTION HEARING: June 24, 2003

A. BOARD REVIEW:

B. BOARD ACTION AND FINDINGS OF FACT SUMMARY:

1. BOARD ACTION:

2. BASIS AND RECOMMENDED FINDINGS OF FACT:

C. VOTE:

JOHN ALBION

ANDREW COY

RAY JUDAH

JOHN MANNING

DOUG ST. CERNY
MEMO TO: Matthew Noble  
Lee County Planning  

FROM: William Home  
Planning/Environmental Compliance  

DATE: May 20, 2003  

SUBJECT: CPA 2003-00003  
Lehigh Charter School  
Noise Zone 3 Lee Plan Amendment  

Environmental Science Associates (ESA), noise consultants for the Port Authority, have reviewed the Lee Plan Amendment proposing to remove the Lehigh Charter School site from Noise Overlay Zone 3. The site is located across the street from the Southwest Florida International Airport on Commonwealth Drive in Gateway and currently does not allow noise sensitive land uses. Our consultant’s recommendation is supported by the Port Authority and is included in the attached letter.

If you have any questions, please contact me.

WBH/nt  
Attachment  

cc: Charles J. Basinait, Esq.,  
Robert M. Ball, Executive Director  
Edmund J. Henke, Asst. Executive Director  
Mark Fisher, Development  
Emily Underhill, Development  
Gregory S. Hagen, Legal Services
May 19, 2003

Mr. William Homer
Lee County Port Authority
16000 Chamberlin Parkway, Suite 8671
Fort Myers, Florida 33913

Reference: Proposed Lee Plan and LDC Amendments to Noise Overlay Zone 3
Lehigh Charter School Site Noise Considerations

Dear Mr. Homer:

Per your request we have reviewed the information that you provided regarding the construction of the Charter School proposed to be developed off Daniels Parkway.

**Project Site and Development Plan**

The proposed school site is located in the Gateway area lying north of Daniels Road and within Noise Zone 3. We understand the school site has been cleared and the walls of the building are being erected under an early work permit from the County. We also understand the developer has submitted applications to repeal the site from Noise Zone 3 from the Comp Plan and the Land Development Code.

**Overlay Zone Status**

The proposed school site is currently included in Noise Zone 3 and our firm is aware that the site was included in Noise Zone 3 following approval of the original FAR Part 150 Study completed around 1990. In fact, we understand from Authority staff that the site has been identified in the high noise exposure area since the Airport opened.

In the update to the FAR Part 150 study in 1995, Noise Zone 3 was expanded to incorporate the flight corridors and noise contours projected to occur from a parallel runway. During that study, the areas included in the Noise Zones established in 1990 (related to the existing runway) were maintained. Following the approval of the 1995 updated Noise Zones, the County Commission directed that a review of the noise zones be made through an Update to the FAR Part 150 Study to be completed by 2006. This Update would be conducted to determine if a change (reduction or expansion) of the noise zone areas should occur.

**Recent Noise Studies**

Recently new noise contours were developed as part of the Master Plan Update for Southwest Florida International Airport (RSW). Although the departure flight tracks in
the vicinity of the school site have remained the same, the noise contours have reduced in size from those previously published. This reduction in contour size is primarily the result of the elimination of Stage 2 aircraft from the national air carrier fleet (effective January 1, 2000). The proposed school area in question was likely included within Noise Zone 3 due to the influence from departure noise by Boeing 727 aircraft and by other Stage 2 aircraft. Now that all Boeing 727 aircraft have been either retrofitted to meet noise standards or retired altogether, the departure noise in the area in question has reduced. Since the school site is on the extreme edge of Noise Zone 3, it is probable that the site would be removed from Noise Zone 3 following the completion of the FAR Part 150 Study Update in 2006. However, since the location of flight corridors is a decision making element of Noise Zone status, a final determination of the status of the site could not be made until the Part 150 Study Update is completed.

Per your request we have also reviewed the report by Fegan Acoustical Consultants related to the Charter School.

The report is based primarily on short term monitoring conducted by the Consultant and did not indicate the number of aircraft departures that occurred during the noise monitoring period. However, with the lower noise levels from departing aircraft now using RSW, the LEQ values seem appropriate for aircraft generated noise or a combination of aircraft and Daniels Parkway traffic generated noise.

Of importance in the report is that it indicates the construction materials for the proposed school will provide for a significant noise level reduction of 38 dBA. With the location of the proposed school being at the extreme fringe of the overlay zone, considering the noise levels that were monitored and with the type of construction being provided, the interior noise levels should be acceptable for school use.

**Recommendations**

It should be recognized that, while the school building is currently under construction and the site will probably be removed from Zone 3, it is still influenced by aircraft departure noise. Furthermore, additional aviation facilities will continue to be constructed on airport and future aviation activities will occur in reasonable proximity to the proposed school site. With these factors in mind the following are our recommendations. If it is determined that the school should be approved, the Port Authority should request that sufficient sound insulation be incorporated in the building construction to allow for a maximum 45 dB interior sound level. It appears from the Fegan report that the construction methods and materials will comply with this recommendation. Additionally due to the proximity of future aviation activities, the proposed school developer/property owner should sign an avigation easement, waiver of claim, or similar hold harmless indemnification document that certifies their knowledge of the proximity of the Airport. In addition, it should acknowledge the airport’s future anticipated growth and recognize the fact that there is potential for airport-related noise exposure at the school site. In order
to assist in the regulation of compatible land uses in the airport area, avigation easements, waivers of claim, or similar hold harmless indemnification documents should also be signed by all future developers/owners within Noise Overlay Zones 2 and 3 as was recommended and approved in the 1995 Federal Aviation Regulations Part 150 Noise Compatibility Study.

The uniqueness of the school site that is the subject of this letter compared to other areas of Noise Zone 3 is that it is on the fringe of Noise Overlay Zone 3 and within a currently developed community. We would hope in the future that the integrity of the Noise Overlay Zones will be maintained until the FAR Part 150 Study Update is completed.

Should you need anything else from us at this time, please give me a call.

Sincerely,

ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE ASSOCIATES

Richard D. Alberts, P.E.
Vice President
MEMORANDUM

from the
TRANSIT DIVISION

DATE: May 1, 2003

To: Matthew Noble

FROM: Steve Myers

RE: CPA 03-03 – Gateway Charter School Zone 3 Small Scale-Lee Plan Map Amendment

Lee Tran staff has reviewed the above referenced Lee Plan amendment and has determined that the proposed amendment to the future land use map would have no impacts on existing or planned services Lee Tran provides, nor would it have any impact to the budget of Lee County’s transit division.

If you have any further questions regarding this amendment, please call me at 277-5012.
Memorandum

To: Paul O'Connor, Division of Planning
From: Lili Wu, Senior Planner
Date: April 25, 2003
Subject: Lee Plan Small Scale Amendment – Gateway Charter School

We have no objection to the above application since TAZ 626, in which the proposed project is located, includes a projected 1,800 students in the Lee County MPO's 2020 Financially Feasible Plan Model, and the proposed project has only 900 students. According to the School Board plans, there are no other schools being planned in TAZ 626. We have determined the proposed project will not alter the future road network plans.

LW/mlb

Cc: David Loveland
    Andy Getch
    Central File – Administrative
From: Howard Wegis
To: Noble, Matthew
Date: 4/23/03 5:00PM
Subject: Re: Lee Plan Small Scale Amendment  Gateway Charter School

I did not see the applicant address B: Public Facilities Impacts, 2: Existing and Future condition analysis. That is they did not address water and sanitary sewer. Are they required to?
MEMORANDUM
FROM THE
DEPARTMENT OF
PUBLIC WORKS

To: Matt Noble
Principal Planner

RE: Lee County Comprehensive Plan Amendment
Gateway Charter School
Strap #'s 19-45-26-05-0000C .0020 and 19-45-26-05-0000C .0050

Staff has reviewed your request for comments regarding the adequacy of existing and proposed support facilities relative to the proposed plan amendment referenced above.

Based on the information provided in the application for this request, the subject parcel (approximately 6.8 ± acres) is currently zoned Planned Unit Development (PUD) and located in the New Community and Wetlands future land use categories. The applicant has indicated that they are requesting an amendment to remove the subject property from the Airport Noise Zone 3 Overlay classification. The amendment is being requested to permit the construction of a school which is otherwise prohibited within the Noise Zone 3 classification. The underlying future land use designation of the subject property will not change as a result of this amendment. Since the proposed amendment will not have any impact on population projections, it is our determination that existing and proposed support facilities provided by Lee County Parks and Recreation will not be impacted by the proposed amendment.

Should you have any questions or require any additional information, please do not hesitate to contact me directly at 479-8762.

cc: John Yarbrough, Director, Lee County Parks and Recreation
Jim Lavender, Director, Department of Public Works
ES staff has reviewed the submittal. There are no environmental issues in regard to the proposal. I verified that the wetland area in southeast corner of the property shown on Exhibit 1 was permitted to be impacted through the SFWMD permit (DOS2003-00017 file).

Kim Trebatoski  
Principal Environmental Planner  
DCD - Planning/Environmental Sciences  
trebatkm@leegov.com  
239-479-8183  
FAX 239-479-8319

>>> Matthew Noble 04/23/03 03:20PM >>>
Planning staff has received the attached proposed Lee Plan Amendment. Staff is asking your help in the review of this application. Planning staff requests comments by May 5th if possible...

CC: O'Connor, Paul
From: Roland Ottolini
To: Noble, Matthew
Date: 4/23/03 3:37PM
Subject: Re: Lee Plan Small Scale Amendment - Gateway Charter School

I don't see any problems from our perspective

>>> Matthew Noble 04/23/03 03:20PM >>>
Planning staff has received the attached proposed Lee Plan Amendment. Staff is asking your help in the review of this application. Planning staff requests comments by May 5th if possible...
This application would have a very positive impact on the Lee County School District, as it would eliminate the need to construct these classrooms on Lee County School District school campuses. It alleviates the pressing need to meet increasing enrollments at this time in the East Choice Zone which is one of the District's fastest growing areas. Currently, three new schools are under construction in the East zone which will not open for two more years, thus this proposal will help offset the growth experienced in this choice zone while these new schools are under construction.

In the future, improved coordination with Lee County planning, the charter school applicants, and the District's Department of Construction and Planning should occur to assist in the location of these facilities and possibly avoid their future location in industrial parks or other commercial locations. In addition, such coordination would assist in reviewing comprehensive plan issues and other related matters. If I may be of further assistance, please give me a call.

>Stephanie Keyes, AICP
>Lee County School District
>Facilities Planner, Department of Construction and Planning
>3308 Canal Street
>Fort Myers, FL 33916
>239-479-4205
>Fax 239-334-8637
>

Planning staff has received the attached proposed Lee Plan Amendment. Staff is asking your help in the review of this application. Planning staff requests comments by May 5th if possible...

CC: Paul O'Connor <OCONNOPS@leegov.com>, "Martin, Keith" <KeithM2@lee.k12.fl.us>, "Patak, Tyler" <TylerP@lee.k12.fl.us>, "Humbaugh, William" <WilliamH2@lee.k12.fl.us>, "Potter, Lynn" <LynnP@lee.k12.fl.us>
APPLICATION

MATERIALS
April 14, 2003

Ms. Mary Gibbs, AICP
Director, Lee County Dept. of Community Development
P. O. Box 398
Ft. Myers, FL 33902-0398

Subject: Charter School Zone 3 Lee Plan Amendment

Dear Ms. Gibbs:

Attached please find six (6) copies of an application for a small-scale Lee Plan Future Land Use Map amendment. Requested is an amendment to change the boundaries of Zone 3, Map 1, Page 5 of the FLUM, eliminating the +/- 6.8 acre proposed Lehigh Charter School site from the Zone 3 Overlay. The subject property is located approximately ½ mile from the 60 noise contour according to the Port Authority maps, and as such need not be considered as in need of noise restricted uses. The owner has agreed to provide avigation easements to the Port Authority as needed, and it is my understanding that the County Attorney is working with Mr. Charles Basinait, the owners attorney, to craft the required language for such an easement.

As you may know, the parcel is currently under review by the Division of Development Services for the necessary development order, and the site is part of the existing Westlinks commercial subdivision. All of the requisite infrastructure is in place to service the proposed school, and the owner is making the associated site improvements for the school as part of his design and permitting efforts. Due to the inclusion of the subject property in Zone 3, the site is currently being permitted as an office use, but the eventual intent, if the small-scale amendment is approved, is to then amend the development order to permit the school function.

The real difficulties involved in the request are twofold: first, the Airport is concerned that amending Zone 3 may create a precedent for future changes;
and second, the timing of the request is critical in that the school must receive a certificate of occupancy not later than July 14, 2003, in order for the School Board to take possession for the coming school year. The owner has been assured that the physical improvements can be completed in accordance with code requirements in time to receive the CO. The only timing problem then becomes the speed at which the small-scale plan amendment can be processed. It is my understanding that Paul O’Connor believes that the request can be placed on the May agenda of the LPA, and come before the Commission in June. This would allow enough time for any appeal period to expire prior to the July 14 date, if approved. The question of the Airport and the precedential nature of the request is one that will be addressed in meetings with the Port Authority Staff and at the various public hearings. I would like to state that all concerns have been addressed in that area, but I cannot at this time. I am hopeful, however, that the Airport’s difficulties will be addressed prior to the LPA hearing, and I can assure you that meetings with Port Authority Staff are on tap in the very near future. I will coordinate with Mr. O’Connor and his staff in the event that they wish to attend any of those meetings.

Thank you for your assistance in this matter, and if there are any questions, comments, or additional concerns, please do not hesitate to call either myself, or Mr. Basinait.

Regards,
Morris-Depew Associates, Inc.

David W. Depew, AICP
President

cc: Charles J. Basinait, Esq.
    John McGarvey
Small Scale Lee Plan Amendment
J. McGarvey Development Co., Inc.
Gateway Westlinks Charter School
Application Form
APPLICATION FOR A
COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AMENDMENT

(To be completed at time of intake)

DATE REC'D ______________________ REC'D BY: ______________________
APPLICATION FFF ______________________ TIDE MARK NO: ______________________

THE FOLLOWING VERIFIED:
Zoning ■ Commissioner District ■
Designation on FLUM ■

(To be completed by Planning Staff)

Plan Amendment Cycle: ■ Normal ■ Small Scale ■ DRI ■ Emergency
Request No: ______________________

APPLICANT PLEASE NOTE:
Answer all questions completely and accurately. Please print or type responses. If additional space is needed, number and attach additional sheets. The total number of sheets in your application is: ______________________

Submit 6 copies of the complete application and amendment support documentation, including maps, to the Lee County Division of Planning. Additional copies may be required for Local Planning Agency, Board of County Commissioners hearings and the Department of Community Affairs' packages.

I, the undersigned owner or authorized representative, hereby submit this application and the attached amendment support documentation. The information and documents provided are complete and accurate to the best of my knowledge.

DATE: 4/11/03
SIGNATURE OF OWNER OR AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE
## I. APPLICANT/AGENT/OWNER INFORMATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Applicant/Agent/Owner Information</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Applicant</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John McGarvey, Mgr. Partner, Commonwealth Flex Associates, LLC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Address</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27300 Riverview Center Boulevard</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Agent</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Charles J. Bashait, Henderson, Franklin, Starnes &amp; Holt, P.A.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Owner(s) of Record</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commonwealth Flex Associates, LLC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Name, address and qualification of additional planners, architects, engineers, environmental consultants, and other professionals providing information contained in this application.</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* This will be the person contacted for all business relative to the application.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Morris-Depew Associates, Inc.
2216 Altamont Avenue
Fort Myers, Florida 33901
Tel: (239) 337-3993
Fax: (239) 337-3994
II. REQUESTED CHANGE (Please see Item 1 for Fee Schedule)

A. TYPE: (Check appropriate type)

☐ Text Amendment  X Future Land Use Map Series Amendment
(Maps 1 thru 20)
List Number(s) of Map(s) to be amended
Map #1, Page 5 of 5

B. SUMMARY OF REQUEST (Brief explanation):
A change in the boundary of Airport Hazard Zone 3 is requested to remove
the subject property from that designation.

III. PROPERTY SIZE AND LOCATION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY
(for amendments affecting development potential of property)

A. Property Location:

1. Site Address: ______________________________________________________

2. STRAP(s): 19-45-26-05-0000C.0010; 19-45-26-05-0000C.0020

B. Property Information

Total Acreage of Property: +/- 6.8 acres
Total Acreage included in Request: +/- 6.8 acres
Area of each Existing Future Land Use Category: +/- 6.8 acres
Total Uplands: +/- 6.8 acres
Total Wetlands: 0
Current Zoning: PUD
Current Future Land Use Designation: New Community
Existing Land Use: Vacant
C. State if the subject property is located in one of the following areas and if so how does the proposed change affect the area:

Lehigh Acres Commercial Overlay: No
Airport Noise Zone 2 or 3: Yes, Zone 3
Acquisition Area: No
Joint Planning Agreement Area (adjacent other jurisdictional lands): No
Community Redevelopment Area: No

D. Proposed change for the Subject Property:
Removal from Airport Noise Zone 3

E. Potential development of the subject property:

1. Calculation of maximum allowable development under existing FLUM:
   - Residential Units/Density: N/A
   - Commercial intensity: 60,000 S.F.
   - Industrial intensity: N/A

2. Calculation of maximum allowable development under proposed FLUM:
   - Residential Units/Density: N/A
   - Commercial intensity: 60,000 S.F.
   - Industrial intensity: N/A

IV. AMENDMENT SUPPORT DOCUMENTATION

At a minimum, the application shall include the following support data and analysis. These items are based on comprehensive plan amendment submittal requirements of the State of Florida, Department of Community Affairs, and policies contained in the Lee County Comprehensive Plan. Support documentation provided by the applicant will be used by staff as a basis for evaluating this request. To assist in the preparation of amendment packets, the applicant is encouraged to provide all data and analysis electronically. (Please contact the Division of Planning for currently accepted formats)

A. General Information and Maps
   NOTE: For each map submitted, the applicant will be required to provide a reduced map (8.5" x 11") for inclusion in public hearing packets.
The following pertains to all proposed amendments that will affect the development potential of properties (unless otherwise specified).

1. Provide any proposed text changes.

2. Provide a Future Land Use Map showing the boundaries of the subject property, surrounding street network, surrounding designated future land uses, and natural resources.

3. Map and describe existing land uses (not designations) of the subject property and surrounding properties. Description should discuss consistency of current uses with the proposed changes.

4. Map and describe existing zoning of the subject property and surrounding properties.

5. The legal description(s) for the property subject to the requested change.

6. A copy of the deed(s) for the property subject to the requested change.

7. An aerial map showing the subject property and surrounding properties.

8. If applicant is not the owner, a letter from the owner of the property authorizing the applicant to represent the owner.

B. Public Facilities Impacts

NOTE: The applicant must calculate public facilities impacts based on a maximum development scenario (see Part II.H.).

1. Traffic Circulation Analysis

The analysis is intended to determine the effect of the land use change on the Financially Feasible Transportation Plan/Map 3A (20-year horizon) and on the Capital Improvements Element (5-year horizon). Toward that end, an applicant must submit the following information:

Long Range – 20-year Horizon:

a. Working with Planning Division staff, identify the traffic analysis zone (TAZ) or zones that the subject property is in and the socio-economic data forecasts for that zone or zones;

b. Determine whether the requested change requires a modification to the socio-economic data forecasts for the host zone or zones. The land uses for the proposed change should be expressed in the same format as the socio-economic forecasts (number of units by type/number of employees by type/etc.).
c. If no modification of the forecasts is required, then no further analysis for the long range horizon is necessary. If modification is required, make the change and provide to Planning Division staff, for forwarding to DOT staff. DOT staff will rerun the FSUTMS model on the current adopted Financially Feasible Plan network and determine whether network modifications are necessary, based on a review of projected roadway conditions within a 3-mile radius of the site;

d. If no modifications to the network are required, then no further analysis for the long range horizon is necessary. If modifications are necessary, DOT staff will determine the scope and cost of those modifications and the effect on the financial feasibility of the plan;

e. An inability to accommodate the necessary modifications within the financially feasible limits of the plan will be a basis for denial of the requested land use change;

f. If the proposal is based on a specific development plan, then the site plan should indicate how facilities from the current adopted Financially Feasible Plan and/or the Official Trafficways Map will be accommodated.

Short Range – 5-year CIP horizon:
a. Besides the 20-year analysis, for those plan amendment proposals that include a specific and immediate development plan, identify the existing roadways serving the site and within a 3-mile radius (indicate laneage, functional classification, current LOS, and LOS standard);

b. Identify the major road improvements within the 3-mile study area funded through the construction phase in adopted CIP’s (County or Cities) and the State’s adopted Five-Year Work Program;

Projected 2020 LOS under proposed designation (calculate anticipated number of trips and distribution on roadway network, and identify resulting changes to the projected LOS);

c. For the five-year horizon, identify the projected roadway conditions (volumes and levels of service) on the roads within the 3-mile study area with the programmed improvements in place, with and without the proposed development project. A methodology meeting with DOT staff prior to submittal is required to reach agreement on the projection methodology;

d. Identify the additional improvements needed on the network beyond those programmed in the five-year horizon due to the development proposal.

2. Provide an existing and future conditions analysis for:
   a. Sanitary Sewer
   b. Potable Water
   c. Surface Water/Drainage Basins
   d. Parks, Recreation, and Open Space.

Analysis should include (but is not limited to) the following:
- Franchise Area, Basin, or District in which the property is located;
• Current LOS, and LOS standard of facilities serving the site;
• Projected 2020 LOS under existing designation;
• Projected 2020 LOS under proposed designation;
• Improvements/expansions currently programmed in 5 year CIP, 6-10 year CIP, and long range improvements; and
• Anticipated revisions to the Community Facilities and Services Element and/or Capital Improvements Element (state if these revisions are included in this amendment).

3. Provide a letter from the appropriate agency determining the adequacy/provision of existing/proposed support facilities, including:
   a. Fire protection with adequate response times;
   b. Emergency medical service (EMS) provisions;
   c. Law enforcement;
   d. Solid Waste;
   e. Mass Transit; and
   f. Schools.

In reference to above, the applicant should supply the responding agency with the information from Section's II and III for their evaluation. This application should include the applicant's correspondence to the responding agency.

C. Environmental Impact

Provide an overall analysis of the character of the subject property and surrounding properties, and assess the site's suitability for the proposed use upon the following:

1. A map of the Plant Communities as defined by the Florida Land Use Cover and Classification system (FLUCCS).

2. A map and description of the soils found on the property (identify the source of the information).

3. A topographic map with property boundaries and 100-year flood prone areas indicated (as identified by FEMA).

4. A map delineating wetlands, aquifer recharge areas, and rare & unique uplands.

5. A table of plant communities by FLUCCS with the potential to contain species (plant and animal) listed by federal, state or local agencies as endangered, threatened or species of special concern. The table must include the listed species by FLUCCS and the species status (same as FLUCCS map).
D. Impacts on Historic Resources
List all historic resources (including structure, districts, and/or archeologically sensitive areas) and provide an analysis of the proposed change's impact on these resources. The following should be included with the analysis:

1. A map of any historic districts and/or sites, listed on the Florida Master Site File, which are located on the subject property or adjacent properties.

2. A map showing the subject property location on the archeological sensitivity map for Lee County.

E. Internal Consistency with the Lee Plan
1. Discuss how the proposal affects established Lee County population projections, Table 1(b) (Planning Community Year 2020 Allocations), and the total population capacity of the Lee Plan Future Land Use Map.

2. List all goals and objectives of the Lee Plan that are affected by the proposed amendment. This analysis should include an evaluation of all relevant policies under each goal and objective.

3. Describe how the proposal affects adjacent local governments and their comprehensive plans.

4. List State Policy Plan and Regional Policy Plan goals and policies which are relevant to this plan amendment.

F. Additional Requirements for Specific Future Land Use Amendments
1. Requests involving Industrial and/or categories targeted by the Lee Plan as employment centers (to or from)
   a. State whether the site is accessible to arterial roadways, rail lines, and cargo airport terminals,
   b. Provide data and analysis required by Policy 2.4.4,
   c. The affect of the proposed change on county's industrial employment goal specifically policy 7.1.4.

2. Requests moving lands from a Non-Urban Area to a Future Urban Area
   a. Demonstrate why the proposed change does not constitute Urban Sprawl. Indicators of sprawl may include, but are not limited to: low-intensity, low-density, or single-use development; 'leap-frog' type development; radial, strip, isolated or ribbon pattern type development; a failure to protect or conserve natural resources or agricultural land; limited accessibility; the loss of large amounts of functional open space; and the installation of costly and duplicative infrastructure when opportunities for infill and redevelopment exist.
3. Requests involving lands in critical areas for future water supply must be evaluated based on policy 2.4.2.

4. Requests moving lands from Density Reduction/Groundwater Resource must fully address Policy 2.4.3 of the Lee Plan Future Land Use Element.

G. Justify the proposed amendment based upon sound planning principles. Be sure to support all conclusions made in this justification with adequate data and analysis.

**Item 1: Fee Schedule**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Fee</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Map Amendment Flat Fee</td>
<td>$2,000.00 each</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Map Amendment &gt; 20 Acres</td>
<td>$2,000.00 and $20.00 per 10 acres up to a maximum of $2,255.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small Scale Amendment (10 acres or less)</td>
<td>$1,500.00 each</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Text Amendment Flat Fee</td>
<td>$2,500.00 each</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**AFFIDAVIT**

1. John McGarvey certify that I am the owner or authorized representative of the property described herein, and that all answers to the questions in this application and any sketches, data, or other supplementary matter attached to and made a part of this application, are honest and true to the best of my knowledge and belief. I also authorize the staff of Lee County Community Development to enter upon the property during normal working hours for the purpose of investigating and evaluating the request made through this application.

Signature of owner or owner-authorized agent

Date

Typed or printed name

STATE OF FLORIDA )
COUNTY OF LEE  )

The foregoing instrument was certified and subscribed before me this ______ day of ______ 19____, by ____________________________, who is personally known to me or who has produced ____________________________ as identification.

(SEAL) ____________________________
Signature of notary public

Printed name of notary public
Supporting Documentation
Applicant's Amendment Support Documentation:
J. McGarvey Development Company, Inc.
Small-Scale Lee Plan Map Amendment

A. General Information and Maps.

1. Provide any proposed text changes: None proposed.

2. Provide a Future Land Use Map showing the boundaries of the subject property, surrounding street network, surrounding designated future land uses, and natural resources. Please see attached Exhibit 1 for an 8.5" X 11" map.

3. Map and describe existing land uses (not designations) of the subject property and surrounding properties. Description should discuss consistency of current uses with the proposed changes. Please see attached Exhibit 2 for 8.5" X 11" map. Directly to the south and southwest of the subject property is Daniels
Parkway and the Southwest Florida Regional Airport property. To the southeast of the subject property, across Gateway Commonwealth Drive, is vacant commercial property owned by Gateway Flex Associates, L. L. C. To the north of that parcel, and directly east of the subject property, is Gateway Commonwealth Drive and a second vacant commercial parcel owned by Gateway Flex Associates. Just east of that property is an industrial use, warehousing, owned by Baader North America Corporation. Directly north of the subject property is a fire station owned by the South Trail Fire Protection and Rescue Services District. To the northeast of the South Trail station is a third vacant commercial parcel owned by Gateway Flex, while directly north of the station is a light manufacturing (industrial) facility owned by Power of Nature, L. L. C. To the northwest and west of the subject property is a vacant commercial planned development owned by David C. Brown and currently being used for pasture land (agriculture).

4. Map and describe existing zoning of the subject property and the surrounding properties. Please see attached Exhibit 2 for an 8.5" X 11" map of surrounding zoning. The subject property is part of the Gateway PUD/DRI To the north and east are other parcels that are part of the Gateway
PUD/DRI. Exhibit 2 denotes the zoning boundaries for these parcels. To the west is the Brown CPD, currently vacant and used for pasture. To the south is the Airport, zoned AOPD.

5. The legal description of the property subject to the requested change. The legal description for the parcel is as follows: Lot 1, Block C, Gateway Phase 15 according to the plat thereof recorded in Plat Book 53 at pages 15 through 21 of the public records of Lee County, Florida, AND the south one-half (S 1/2) of Lot 2, Block C, Gateway Phase 15, as recorded in Plat Book 53, Pages 15 through 21, of the public records of Lee County, Florida.

6. A copy of the deed for the property subject to the requested change. Attached please find Exhibit 3, the deed for the subject property.

7. An aerial map showing the subject properties and the surrounding properties. Attached please find Exhibit 4, an aerial photograph of the subject property and surrounding properties.

8. If applicant is not the owner, a letter from the owner of the property authorizing the applicant to represent the owner. Applicant is the owner of the subject property.

B. Public Facilities Impacts.

   i. Identify the traffic analysis zone (TAZ) in which the property is located and the socio-economic data forecasts for that zone. The subject property is in Zone 626.
   ii. Determine whether the requested change requires a modification to the socio-economic data forecasts for the host zone or zones. The land uses for the proposed change should be expressed in the same format as the socio-economic forecasts (number of units by type/number of employees by type/etc.). No modification of the data forecasts are required. The use proposed for the site (charter school) is consistent with the data forecasts for the TAZ.
   iii. If the proposal is based on a specific development plan, then the site plan should indicate how the facilities from the current adopted Financially Feasible Plan and/or the Official Trafficways Map will be accommodated. The proposal is indeed predicated upon a specific development plan. The Lehigh Charter School is being proposed for the subject property, and the Master Site Plan prepared by Hole Montes is attached as Exhibit 5. Pursuant to LDC requirements, the proposed site plan has accommodated all site related impacts associated with the proposed development plan. There are no facilities on the Financially Feasible Plan or the Official Trafficways Map that have
not been accommodated in the proposed site development plan attached as Exhibit 5.

2. Traffic Circulation Analysis, Short-Range, 5-year CIP Horizon:
   i. Identify existing roadways serving the site and within a 3-mile radius. Primary access is provided by Gateway Commonwealth Drive. That street intersects with Daniels Parkway which proceeds northeast to an intersection with Gateway Boulevard, the main entrance to the Gateway development. Further east and north Daniels Parkway extends to intersect with S. R. 82. To the west on Daniels Parkway is Chamberlain Parkway and the entrance to the Southwest Florida Regional Airport. Further west is the intersection with Treeline Boulevard and I-75.
   
   ii. Identify the major road improvements within the 3-mile study area funded through the construction phase in adopted CIP’s (County or Cities) and the State’s adopted Five-Year Work Program. Currently funded for construction is Treeline/Ben Hill Griffin Parkway between Daniels Parkway and Alico Road. Additionally, the northerly extension of Treeline to S. R. 82 is projected to begin construction in F. Y. 2006. Also projected to begin construction in F. Y. 2006 are improvements to I-75, south of the Daniels Parkway interchange. No other improvements to the road network have been identified within the 3-mile radius from the subject property.
   
   iii. For the five-year horizon, identify the projected roadway conditions with the programmed improvements in place, with and without the proposed development project. Please see attached Exhibit 6, a Traffic Impact Statement prepared by Hole Montes.
   
   iv. Identify the additional improvements needed on the network beyond those programmed in the five-year horizon due to the development proposal. No additional improvements are needed on the network beyond those programmed due to the development of the proposed charter school.

C. Environmental Impacts.

1. A map of the plant communities as defined by the Florida Land Use Cover and Classification system (FLUCCS). See attached Exhibit 7. Please note that the entirety of the site has been cleared, and there are no environmentally sensitive areas left on the property.

2. A map and description of the soils found on the property. According to the USGS Soils Atlas of Lee County, the subject property is composed of soil type 12, Felda fine sand, and soil type 49, Felda fine sand, depressional. The soils of the Felda series are loamy, siliceous, hyperthermic Arenic Ochraqualfs. They are deep, poorly drained, moderately permeable soils
that formed in sandy and loamy marine sediments. Felda fine sand is a nearly level, poorly drained soil found in broad, nearly level sloughs. Slopes are smooth to concave and range from 0 to 2 percent. Typically the surface layer is dark gray fine sand about 8 inches thick. The subsurface layer is light gray and light brownish gray fine sand about 14 inches thick. In most years, under natural conditions, this soil has a water table within 10 inches of the surface. Felda fine sand, depressional, is also nearly level and poorly drained. Slopes are concave and less than 1 percent. In most years, under natural conditions, the soil is ponded for about 3 to 6 months or more. The water table is within a depth of 10 to 40 inches for 4 to 6 months. The entire site, however, has been cleared and filled, so that the natural conditions no longer apply. Please see Exhibit 8 for a map of the soils series on the subject property.

3. A topographic Map with property boundaries and 100-year flood prone areas indicated (as identified by FEMA). Please see attached Exhibit 9. Please note that the site is not within the 100-year flood prone area.
4. A map delineating wetlands, aquifer recharge areas, and rare and unique uplands. The entirety of the site has been cleared and filled. There are no wetlands, no aquifer recharge areas, and no rare and unique uplands. There is some native vegetation in the southwest corner of the site, as can be seen on the aerial photograph (Exhibit 4) that is proposed for preservation according to the site plan prepared by Hole Montes (Exhibit 5).

5. A table of plant communities by FLUCCS with the potential to contain species (plant and animal) listed by federal, state or local agencies as endangered, threatened or species of special concern. The table must include the listed species by FLUCCS and the species status (same as FLUCCS map). The subject property is currently under construction, has been cleared and filled, and contains no species that are threatened, endangered, or are of special concern. The attached Exhibit 5 shows the extent of development on the subject property and demonstrates that there are no areas where such habitat still exists.

D. Impacts on Historic Resources

1. A map of any historic districts and/or sites, listed on the Florida Master Site File, which are located on the subject property or adjacent properties. There are no historic districts or sites located on the subject property or on adjacent properties.

2. A map showing the subject property location on the archeological sensitivity map for Lee County. See attached Exhibit 10.

E. Internal Consistency with the Lee Plan.

1. Discuss how the proposal affects established Lee County population projections, Table 1 (b) (Planning Community Year 2020 Allocations), and the total population capacity of the Lee Plan future Land Use Map. The proposed change will have no effect upon the population projections. The removal of the subject property from the Zone 3 designation will not change any acreage in the Year 2020 Allocation Table. There will be no impact upon the total population capacity of the Future Land Use Map in that the site is already under development as a Charter School.

2. List all goals and objectives of the Lee Plan that are affected by the proposed amendment. This analysis should include an evaluation of all relevant policies under each goal and objective. Policy 1.7.1 defines the various Airport Noise Zones and describes the uses permitted in each. The removal of the subject property from Zone 3 will have no effect upon this policy. Policy 46.3.11 states, "Prohibit the location of schools in the areas designated on the Future Land Use Map as Airport Noise Zone 3 or within other high noise impact areas." The removal of the subject property will serve to implement Policy
46.3.11 in that the proposed Lehigh Charter School will be removed from Zone 3. Additionally, attached as Exhibit 11, is a noise study that demonstrates the subject property is not subject to high noise impacts. Also attached, as Exhibit 12-1, is the 1994 Noise Contour Map prepared for the Lee County Port Authority demonstrating that the subject property is outside of the 60 noise contour line. Additionally, Exhibit 12-2 shows the future noise contours, and again the subject property is outside the 60 contour. Finally, Exhibit 12-3 shows the Zone 3 boundary and the location of the subject property. It is noted that the subject property is on the westerly edge of the Zone 3 designation north of Daniels Parkway, and is approximately one-half mile from the 60 contour line that delineates restrictions based upon noise sensitive uses. Thus, there is no scientific basis for placing the noise restriction upon the subject property, and the removal of this parcel from Zone 3 will serve to implement Policy 46.3.11.

3. Describe how the proposal affects adjacent local governments and their comprehensive plans. The proposal will have no impact upon local governments. The proposal will, however, aid in the establishment of a Charter School, promoting the educational resources of the County at large.

4. List State Policy Plan and Regional Policy Plan goals and policies which are relevant to this plan amendment. Goal 1 of the Regional Policy Plan’s Economic Development element states that the RPC will seek, “A well-maintained social, health, and educational infrastructure to support business and industry.” As a strategy, the Council will, “Continually improve the educational system to produce an educated and trained work force.” The transfer of the subject property from Zone 3 into Zone 1 supports this Goal and strategy as articulated in the Regional Policy Plan. In the State Comprehensive Plan, Health Policy 2.d. states, “All Florida students should be provided with comprehensive, continuous health education in prekindergarten through grade 12 settings.” The proposed amendment will assist in the implementation of this Policy in that it will allow for the provision of educational opportunities for children grades K through 12. Land Use Policy 7 states, “Provide educational programs and research to meet state, regional, and local planning and growth management needs.” The proposed amendment will serve to promote this policy through the ability to establish the Charter School on the subject property.

F. Additional Requirements for Specific Future Land Use Amendments.

1. Requests involving industrial and/or commercial categories targeted by the Lee Plan as employment centers. Not applicable.

2. Requests moving lands from a Non-Urban Area to a Future Urban Area. Not applicable.
3. Requests involving lands in critical areas for future water supply must be evaluated based on Policy 2.4.2. **Not applicable.**

4. Requests moving lands from Density Reduction/Groundwater must fully address Policy 2.4.3 of the Lee Plan Future Land Use Element. **Not applicable.**

G. Justify the proposed amendment based upon sound planning principles. Be sure to support all conclusions made in this justification with adequate data and analysis.

The subject property is a +/- 6.8 acre parcel located at the extreme westerly edge of the Zone 3 designated land north of Daniels Parkway. It is part of a platted commercial subdivision, a copy of which is attached as Exhibit 13. A review of the noise contour maps (composite Exhibit 12) demonstrates that the subject property is located well outside the lowest noise sensitivity designation by a distance of approximately one-half mile. The underlying land use is ‘New Community’ and the growth anticipated for this planning district between now and 2020 is significant. The proposed Charter School will support the growth in the proximate area, as well as throughout the County, through the provision of unique educational opportunities for the County’s children. The site is well located in regard to infrastructure, and there are no environmental issues associated with the location of the facility. The attached noise study undertaken by the owner demonstrates that the site is not negatively affected by airport operations, and the existing contract between the owner and the Lee County School Board demonstrates that the location of the facility meets the long-term needs of the school system. The subject property does not meet the definition of the Zone 3 designation, so the removal of this parcel from Zone 3 does not create an inconsistency in the Lee Plan. Because it does not meet the definition of Zone 3 property, re-designation would be consistent with generally accepted planning principles and practices.
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THIS INSTRUMENT PREPARED WITHOUT OPINION OF TITLE BY:

Jeffrey S. Keegan, Esquire
Pierce Wright Mehta & Reiter LLP
3801 Pelican Bay Boulevard, Suite 300
Naples, Florida 34108-2709

Parcel I.D. No.: Parcel II: 19-45-26-05-0000C.0010
Parcel III 19-45-26-000C.0020

WARRANTY DEED

THIS INDENTURE, Made effective the 26th day of February 2003, by GATEWAY FLEX ASSOCIATES, LLC, a Florida limited liability company, Grantor, to COMMONWEALTH FLEX ASSOCIATES, LLC, a Florida limited liability company, whose address is 27300 Riverview Center Boulevard, Suite 201, Bonita Springs, Florida 34134, Grantee.

(Wherever used herein the terms “Grantor” and “Grantee” shall include singular and plural, heirs, legal representatives, and assigns of individuals, and the successors and assigns of corporate entities, wherever the context so admits or requires)

WITNESSETH, that the Grantor, for and in consideration of the sum of Ten Dollars ($10.00) and other good and valuable considerations, receipt whereof is hereby acknowledged, hereby grants, bargains, sells, assigns, creates, transfers, conveys and confirms unto the Grantee all that certain land situated and being in Lee County, Florida, to wit:

See Attached Exhibit “A” and make a part hereof.

SUBJECT TO restrictions, reservations, and easements of record, if any, and taxes for 2002 and subsequent years.

Said Grantor does hereby fully warrant the title to said land, and will defend the same against the lawful claims of all persons whomsoever.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, Grantor has hereunto set Grantor’s hand the day and year first written above.

Witnesses:

[Signature]

[Signature]

[Print Name]
[Print Name]

GATEWAY FLEX ASSOCIATES, LLC,
a Florida limited liability company

By: _________________________________

JOHN S. McCARVEY, As IM Managing
Member

STATE OF FLORIDA
COUNTY OF LEE

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this 26th day of February 2003, by JOHN S. McCARVEY, as the Managing Member of GATEWAY FLEX ASSOCIATES, LLC, a Florida limited liability company, who executed the foregoing instrument on behalf of the partnership, and [✓] who is personally known to me or [ ] who produced a driver’s license as identification.

[Signature]

Notary Public - State of Florida
My Commission expires: 2/17/06

Exhibit 3
Exhibit "A"
Legal Description

**PARCEL II:**

LOT 1, BLOCK C, GATEWAY PHASE 15 ACCORDING TO THE PLAT THEREOF RECORDED IN PLAT BOOK 53 AT PAGES 15 THROUGH 21 OF THE PUBLIC RECORDS OF LEE COUNTY, FLORIDA.

AND

**PARCEL III:**

SOUTH ONE HALF (5 ½) OF LOT 2, BLOCK C, GATEWAY PHASE 15, AS RECORDED IN PLAT BOOK 53, PAGES 15 THROUGH 21, OF THE PUBLIC RECORDS OF LEE COUNTY, FLORIDA.
Exhibit 5
PROPOSED WESTLINKS CHARTER SCHOOL USA
- Lot 1 Block C, GATEWAY PHASE 15 PLAT-

GENERAL STATEMENT: included herein are traffic generation calculations for a 900 student charter school. The Charter School is a privately run part of the public school system in which students enroll as part of the school choice program. Students selected to attend the school will be picked up by buses run by the school just as any other public school. The total project for Lot 1, Block 'c' consists of the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CHARTER SCHOOL</th>
<th>60,000 S.F.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>= 60,000 S.F.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TRAFFIC CALCULATIONS: Traffic generation calculations are based upon I.T.E. Trip Generation Manual, 6th edition using Land Use #520 “Elementary School” & 522 “Middle School/Junior High School”, based upon estimated student population of 900 students (540 elementary, 360 middle school). The ITE manual estimates that 60 percent of the student population will arrive to the school by bus with the remaining 40% to be delivered by their parents or by walking. It is assumed that no students will be walking to the school even though there are residential developments within walking distance of the school.

The weekday a.m. peak hour of the generator typically coincides with the peak hour of the adjacent street traffic. The weekday p.m. peak hour is between 3:00 p.m. & 4:00 p.m.

ELEMENTARY SCHOOL, LAND USE CODE #520
AVTE WEEKDAY: \( \ln (T) = 1.007 \ln(X) - 0.086 \) (50% ENTER, 50% EXIT)
\( X = 540 \) Students
\( \ln (T) = 1.007 (6.29) - 0.086 = 518 \)
\( T = 518 \) (259 ENTER, 259 EXIT)

AVTE PEAK A.M.: Average Rate = 0.30 (58% ENTER, 42% EXIT)
\( T = 0.30 \times 540 \text{ Students} = 162 \)
\( T = 162 \) (94 ENTER, 68 EXIT)

AVTE PEAK P.M.: Average Rate = 0.26 (46% ENTER, 54% EXIT)
\( T = 0.26 \times 540 \text{ Students} = 140 \)
\( T = 140 \) (64 ENTER, 76 EXIT)

MIDDLE SCHOOL, LAND USE CODE #522
AVTE WEEKDAY: \( \ln (T) = 1.559 \ln(X) - 3.507 \) (50% ENTER, 50% EXIT)
\( X = 360 \) Students
\( \ln (T) = 1.559 (5.886) - 3.507 = 292 \)
\( T = 292 \) (146 ENTER, 146 EXIT)

Exhibit 6
AVTE PEAK A.M.: Average Rate = 0.45 (57% ENTER, 43% EXIT)
   \[ T = 0.45 \times 360 \text{ Students} = 162 \]
   \[ T = 162 (92 \text{ ENTER, 70 EXIT}) \]

AVTE PEAK P.M.: Average Rate = 0.29 (51% ENTER, 49% EXIT)
   \[ T = 0.29 \times 360 \text{ Students} = 104 \]
   \[ T = 104 (53 \text{ ENTER, 51 EXIT}) \]

TOTAL TRAFFIC MOVEMENTS
AVTE WEEKDAY:
   - Elementary School = 518 (259 Enter, 259 Exit)
   - Middle School = 292 (146 Enter, 146 Exit)
   - Total = 810 (405 Enter, 405 Exit)

AVTE PEAK A.M.:
   - Elementary School = 162 (94 Enter, 68 Exit)
   - Middle School = 162 (92 Enter, 70 Exit)
   - Total = 324 (186 Enter, 138 Exit)

AVTE PEAK P.M.: (between 3:00 p.m. & 4:00 p.m.)
   - Elementary School = 140 (64 Enter, 76 Exit)
   - Middle School = 104 (53 Enter, 51 Exit)
   - Total = 244 (117 Enter, 127 Exit)

QUICK CHECK OF ITE MANUAL ASSUMPTIONS:

School Population: 900 students (60% Bussed, 40% Dropped Off)
   - (540 Students Bussed, 360 Dropped Off)
   - 60 Employees (100% Cars)

Assumptions Based on School Information from Internet:
   - Average Bus Length – 40 feet
   - Average Number of Students per Bus – 30

Information from Mike Carroll:
   - Bus Trips equal 1.7 Car Trips

Assumptions for Student Loading of Cars:
   - 1.7 Students per Car

Trip Movements
   - 540 students / 30 students per bus = 18 buses
   - 18 buses * 1.7 car trips per bus = 31 car trips
   - 360 students / 1.7 students per car = 212 Cars
   - 60 employees * 1 car trip per employee = 60 car trips
   - Total Number of Estimated Trips 303 Trips (ITE Peak Trips 324 AM, 244 PM)
The project will have three (3) points of ingress/egress onto Commonwealth Drive. Commonwealth Drive is a private local access street with a posted speed limit of less than 35 mph.

The site distribution utilized a weighted share for each driveway of 80% for the closest access and 20% for the other available access of peak hour trips for both the A.M. and P.M. peak hours. Please refer to the attached exhibit which depicts peak trips for each access. A regional project distribution of 88% to Daniels Road and 12% to S.R. 82 was also utilized. Each access yields less than the allowable turn lane warrant of 60 vph for a private local street. Therefore, due to site generation analysis and distributions - no on-site turn lanes are warranted for the project.
The purpose of the following analysis is to determine that the existing eastbound Daniels Parkway left-turn lanes at Commonwealth Drive is adequate for the AM peak hour traffic derived from Gateway Westlinks Business Park in a built-out condition based upon the existing developments and those development approved for construction as of this date. The built-out condition includes the Charter School.

The proposed Westlinks Charter School is located in Gateway Westlinks Business Park. The business park was platted as Gateway Phase 15 plat of subdivision (P.B. 53, pages 15 through 21). The plat is comprised of lots A1 thru A4, lots B1 thru B3 and Lots C1 thru C5. The total land area excluding rights-of-way tracts and conservation area is 60.185 acres. The platted subdivision is located in Gateway DRI. According to the Gateway PUD, a wide variety of commercial, office, industrial and institutional uses can be developed on each of the lots. Some of the existing landuses occupying the business park include: DSI Laboratories; South Trail Fire Station #4; Baader Foods; and various office and flexible lease industrial uses. Approximately 716,000 sq. ft. of gross floor area has been either constructed, or permitted for construction within the business park; including the subject Charter School. Currently, there are no businesses such as restaurant, banking, medical or dental office uses.

**TRAFFIC GENERATION CACULATIONS:**
Land Use Code #710: GENERAL OFFICE

AM Peak Hour Trip Ends per 1000 sq. ft. Gross Floor Area

Where X = 716

\[ \ln (T) = 0.797 \ln (X) + 1.558 \] (88% enter, 12% exit)

\[ \ln (T) = 0.797 \ln (716) + 1.558 \]

\[ T = 895 \] (788 enter, 107 exit)

**TRAFFIC DISTRIBUTION CALCULATIONS:**
Gateway DRI utilizes a distribution of 80% to Daniels Parkway, and 20% to SR 82

Trip Ends to Daniels Parkway = T (enter) x 0.88 = 788 x 0.88 = 693

Traffic distribution from the business park has been estimated based upon the 2002 DRI monitoring report for Gateway as follows:

- Westlinks/Daniels Access – 80%
- Commonwealth/Daniels – 20%
- From Eastbound Daniels – 83%
- From Westbound Daniels – 17%
ANALYSIS OF DANIELS ROAD LEFT-TURN LANE:

Daniels Parkway posted speed limit is 50 MPH. Utilizing FDOT Index 301, the allowable deceleration length for a left-turn lane is 240 feet for Urban Conditions.

According to the Lee County Traffic Counts report for Daniels Parkway between Gateway Blvd. and Chamberlain Parkway: the projected 2002 peak direction traffic volume is 1,236 vehicles. This value is for the peak directional flow, and includes traffic generated from the Westlinks Business Park. Therefore, the net peak directional flow for opposing traffic used in Figure N-1 for each location has been reduced by 105 (refer to Traffic Generation Calculations - Exiting AM peak Hour Trip Ends). The net opposing traffic volume is 1,131.

COMMONWEALTH DIRECTIONAL LEFT-TURN LANE:
The existing eastbound directional left-turn lane geometry at Daniels Parkway and Commonwealth Parkway is approximately 450 feet in length. The resulting storage, less 240 feet deceleration length, is 210 feet. Refer to Figure N-1; the required turn-lane storage is approximately 350 feet. The existing left-turn lane storage is not acceptable.

COMMONWEALTH LEFT-TURN LANE MITIGATION:
The existing turn lane no longer has acceptable storage for automobiles, trucks and the estimated school bus traffic that will use the turn lane. It will need to be extended from its existing length of 450 feet (240 feet deceleration/210 feet storage) to a minimum length 680 feet (240 feet deceleration/440 feet storage) based on table N-1. It is assumed that no more then 6 to 7 busses will be staged in the Left Turn Lane at any one time. The average bus length is 40 ft, assuming 6 feet from the vehicle in front of them, this will leave 118 feet for other vehicular traffic.

100TH Highest Hour Analysis
Analysis assumes build-out during year 2003
The Charter School is required to be open in July for the 2003-2004 school year.

Analysis for Daniels Road
Growth Rate Over Last Five Years = (18000/9700)\(^{0.25}\) = 1.1671
Estimated 2004 Peak Direction Traffic = (1.1671)\(^2\) \times 1236 = 1,684

Additional Trips derived from Charter School = 0.88 \times 186 = 164
Assume School Distribution at 70% coming from the West and 30% from the East
Peak Direction at 70% = 164 \times 0.7 = 115

2004 Estimated Peak Direction Volume at Daniels Road = 1684 + 115 = 1799
Peak Hour Direction LOS C = 1,970 (OK)
Analysis for S.R 82

Growth Rate Over Last Five Years = \((9700/7200)^{0.25}\) = 1.0774
Estimated 2004 Peak Direction Traffic = \((1.0774)^2 \times 753\) = 874

Additional Trips derived from Charter School = 0.12 \times 186 = 22
Assume School Distribution at 70% coming from the North and 30% from the South
Peak Direction at 70% = 22 \times 0.7 = 15

2004 Estimated Peak Direction Volume at Daniels Road = 874 + 22 = 896
Peak Hour Direction LOS E = 1350 (OK)

Performed By;
Hole Montes, Inc.

Charles L. Krebs
FL #56835
Exhibit 8
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Soils Map
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April 7, 2003

To Whom It May Concern:

I performed a site survey at 12850 Commonwealth Drive, Ft. Myers, FL on April 7, 2003 from 10:55 - 11:15am. During that time the average sound pressure level during take off/landing of eight airplanes was 60.1db. Only two aircraft exceeded 60db. The average level due to traffic on the adjacent highway was 54.82db. During that time five trucks exceeded 60db.

In reviewing the construction of the building it appears that the minimum barrier to sound is presented by the windows which have a STC of approximately 30, bringing average sound pressure levels inside the building to below 30db. The recommended NC (noise criteria curve) for school rooms is 25, which equals to a sound level meter reading of 35.

It appears from this study that this space will meet the noise requirements for a school room.

Sincerely,

Michael Chafee
Michael Chafee Enterprises

MC/pc

Exhibit 11
Exhibit 12
LEGEND
- CURRENT AIRPORT PROPERTY LINE
- PROPOSED AIRPORT PROPERTY LINE
- RESIDENTIAL
- INCOMPATIBLE

SOUTHWEST FLORIDA INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT
FAR PART 150 STUDY
CURRENT (1994) NOISE CONTOURS WITH RUNWAY EXTENSIONS.

TSI, Tampa, FL
Exhibit 13
A SUBDIVISION LOCATED IN SECTIONS 18 AND 19,
TOWNSHIP 45 SOUTH, RANGE 26 EAST, LEE COUNTY, FLORIDA
SEPTEMBER 1993
JOB NO. 08-119.10

NOTICE:
LANDS DESCRIBED IN THIS PLAT ARE
SUBDIVIDED BY THE DEVELOPER WITH-
OUT THE ROADS, DRAINAGE AND SEWER
FACILITIES BEING ACCEPTED FOR
MAINTENANCE BY LEE COUNTY. ANY
PURCHASER OF A LOT IN THIS SUB-
DIVISION IS ADVISED THAT HE MAY BE
SUBJECT TO ASSESSMENT OR CALLED
UPON TO BEAR A PORTION OR ALL OF
THE EXPENSE OF CONSTRUCTION, MAINT-
ENANCE OR IMPROVEMENT OF ROADS,
DRAINAGE AND SEWER FACILITIES.

NOTICE:
THERE MAY BE ADDITIONAL RESTRICTIONS THAT ARE NOT RECORDED ON THIS
PLAT THAT MAY BE FOUND IN THE PUBLIC RECORDS OF THIS COUNTY.

SURVEYOR'S CERTIFICATION:
I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE ATTACHED PLAT OF "GATEWAY PHASE 15", A SUBDIVISION
LOCATED IN SECTIONS 18 AND 19, TOWNSHIP 45 SOUTH, RANGE 26 EAST, LEE COUNTY,
FLORIDA, IS A TRUE AND CORRECT REPRESENTATION OF THE HEREBIN DESCRIBED LAND
ACCORDING TO A RECENT SURVEY MADE AND PLANTED UNDER MY DIRECTION AND
SUPERVISION AND THAT THE SURVEY DATA COMPLIES WITH ALL OF THE REQUIREMENTS
OF CHAPTER 173, FLORIDA STATUTES. I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT THE PERMANENT
REFERENCE MONUMENTS I STAINS HAVE BEEN PLACED AT THE LOCATIONS SHOWN ON THIS
PLAT.

DATE: 9-23-93

RICHARD L. HARTWIG
PROFESSIONAL LAND SURVEYOR NO. 2809
STATE OF FLORIDA

SHEET 1 OF 7
GATEWAY PHASE 15
A SUBDIVISION LOCATED IN SECTIONS 18 AND 19,
TOWNSHIP 45 SOUTH, RANGE 26 EAST, LEE COUNTY, FLORIDA
SEPTEMBER 1993
JOB NO. 93-118.10

NOTES:
1. BEARINGS SHOWN HEREON ARE BASED UPON FIXING THE WESERLY BOUNDARY OF
THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 18, TOWNSHIP 45 SOUTH, RANGE 26
EAST, LEE COUNTY, FLORIDA AS B.03.37-09.

2. P.O.B. INDICATES POINT OF BEGINNING

3. B INDICATES SET 2' X 2' PERMANENT REFERENCE MONUMENT "PHS"
STAMPED "PBH 5846.37"

4. X INDICATES SET PERMANENT CONTROL POINT (PCP) - 8'/8" P.H. NAIL
WITH DISC STAMPED "L.E.I.A.

5. O.R. INDICATES OFFICIAL RECORDS

6. C INDICATES CENTERLINE

7. A 5-FOOT HIGHER UTILITIES EASEMENT IS RESERVED ALONG EACH SIDE AND REAR
LOT LINE, AND A 10-FOOT HIGHER UTILITIES EASEMENT IS RESERVED ALONG THE
FRONT LINE OF EACH LOT UNLESS OTHERWISE INDICATED. SEE PLAT FOR
ADDITIONAL EASEMENTS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AREA TABLE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AREA INSERT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOT A1: 1.972</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOT A2: 1.875</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOT A3: 2.875</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOT A4: 2.184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOT A5: 3.286</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOT B1: 3.520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOT B2: 4.877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOT C1: 5.777</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOT C2: 2.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOT C3: 2.056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOT C4: 6.586</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOT C5: 5.247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRACT A: 2.436</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRACT B: 1.464</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRACT C: 13.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL: 60.112</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTICE:
PROPERTY DESCRIPTIONS ARC INCORPORATED FROM DEEDS, MORTGAGES, AND OTHER RECORDED DOCUMENTS IN THE RECORDS OF LEE COUNTY, FLORIDA.

PREPARED BY:
PORT BRIDGE, SMITH & LOWMAN, INC.
3200 PRESIDENTIAL DRIVE
PORT ROYAL, FL 33953

SHEET 3 OF 7
GATEWAY PHASE 15
A SUBDIVISION LOCATED IN SECTIONS 18 AND 19,
TOWNSHIP 45 SOUTH, RANGE 26 EAST, LEE COUNTY, FLORIDA
SEPTEMBER 1993
JOB NO. 04-11910

MATCH TO SHEET 6

MATCH TO SHEET 4
GATEWAY PHASE 15
A SUBDIVISION LOCATED IN SECTIONS 18 AND 19,
TOWNSHIP 45 SOUTH, RANGE 26 EAST, LEE COUNTY, FLORIDA
SEPTEMBER 1993
JOB NO. 08-119.10

CURVE TABLE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PT</th>
<th>PART #</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>CC</th>
<th>CURVE DISTANCE</th>
<th>TOTAL DISTANCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>000.00</td>
<td>000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>02</td>
<td>000.00</td>
<td>000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

UNPLANTED

COMMERCE LAKES DRIVE (LR 0.40 R)

LOT 3

LOT 5

BLOCK "C"

TRACT "C"

WATER MANAGEMENT & CONSERVATION AREA

MATCH TO SHEET 5

MATCH TO SHEET 5

PREPARED BY:
PELLETT, BUCKLEY, DODD & LONGSTAB, INC.
6355 MID-POINT DRIVE
PORT OF CAY, FL 30193
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Exhibit 14
Additional Supporting Documentation
April 3, 2003

Mr. Daniel Gerner
Gateway Flex Space Assoc., LLC
27300 Riverview Center Boulevard
Bonita Springs, Florida 34134

Reference: Lee County – PW
Notification of Use of
General Permit
Notice Number: 0190848-005DSGP
Charter Schools USA
Lee County Utilities/Corkscrew/Gateway

Dear Mr. Gerner:

In response to your request, this letter is to advise you that the department has received your notice of intent to use a general permit as provided in Rule 62-555.540, Florida Administrative Code to construct an extension to a public water supply distribution system and does not object to your use of such general permit. Please be advised that you are required to abide by all conditions in Rules 62-4.510 through 62-4.540, Florida Administrative Code, the general requirements for general permits; and Rule 62-555.540, Florida Administrative Code.

Sincerely,

Gary A. Maier, P.E.
Director
Environmental Engineering

GAM/ksm
cc: Charles Krebs P.E., Hole Montes, Inc.
Thom Osterhout, Lee County Utilities
Dear Mr. Krebs:

This will acknowledge receipt of applications and related materials for the referenced water project. We have reviewed the submitted materials and approval has been processed in accordance with department policy.

Please be advised that bacteriological sampling points for compliance purposes have been established and are shown on the copy of the plans returned to you. Sampling for compliance purposes must be conducted by this office. It will be your responsibility to see that the contractor is advised of the clearance procedures and the location of sampling points in order to avoid delays in clearing the project for service.

Bacteriological test results for all new and altered public drinking water facilities other than wells will be considered invalid if the results are for samples collected more than 30 days before the results are received by the Department.

Sincerely,

Gary A. Maier, P.E.
Director
Environmental Engineering

GAM/ksm
cc: Daniel Gerner, Gateway Flex Space Assoc., LLC
April 7, 2003

Mr. John McGarvey, President
McGarvey Development Company
27300 Riverview Center Boulevard
Bonita Springs, Florida 34134

Dear Mr. McGarvey,

The School District of Lee County and the Lee Charter Foundation entered into Charter Agreement August 20, 2002. The contract permits the Foundation to open a kindergarten through eighth grade school for the 2003-2004 school year in the District’s cast attendance zone. There has been a great deal of interest in this school and nearly 800 students are enrolled. The area is rapidly growing and our East Zone schools need additional seats. The Charter was granted because the proposal met all of the educational and fiscal expectations set forth in our district regulation. This school also will provide very much needed additional student stations.

At this time we have begun planning for student needs in the 2003-2004 school year. Our student projections, personnel allocations and facilities planning processes have all taken into consideration 900 student seats in the Lehigh Charter School. We are planning, in good faith, that the school will in fact have a certificate of occupancy no later than July 14, 2003 in order to meet its contractual obligation and open August 11, 2003. Families, other public schools, and the District staff are all looking forward to a successful opening of the Lehigh Charter School to meet the needs of rapidly growing Lehigh and Gateway Communities. Your support in overcoming any obstacles and achieving the on time completion of the Daniels/Commonwealth location is greatly appreciated.

Sincerely,

Lynn Potter, Coordinator
Charter Schools, The School District of Lee County

LP/dlm

DISTRICT VISION
To prepare every student for success

DISTRICT MISSION
To provide a quality education in a safe and well-managed environment
PERMITTEE: GATEWAY FLEX ASSOCIATES LLC
27300 RIVERVIEW CENTER BLVD
BONITA SPRINGS, FL 34134

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: Modification of a surface water management system serving 5.78 acres of an institutional development known as the Charter School USA, part of the 5319 acre Gateway Community. The system discharges to the Six Mile Cypress Strand via the Gateway Community master surface water management system.

PROJECT LOCATION: LEE COUNTY, SEC 18,19 TWP 45S RGE 26E


This is to notify you of the District's agency action concerning Permit Application No. 030128-4, dated January 28, 2003. This action is taken pursuant to Rule 40E-1.606 and Chapter 40E-40, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.).

Based on the information provided, District rules have been adhered to and a Surface Water Management General Permit is in effect for this project subject to:

1. Not receiving a filed request for a Chapter 120, Florida Statutes, administrative hearing.
2. the attached 19 Standard Limiting Conditions (See Pages : 2 - 3 of 4 ),
3. the attached 11 Special Conditions (See Pages : 4 - 4 of 4 ) and
4. the attached 6 Exhibit(s).

Should you object to these conditions, please refer to the attached "Notice of Rights" which addresses the procedures to be followed if you desire a public hearing or other review of the proposed agency action. Please contact this office if you have any questions concerning this matter. If we do not hear from you in accordance with the "Notice of Rights," we will assume that you concur with the District's action.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a "Notice of Rights" has been mailed to the Permittee (and the persons listed in the attached distribution list) no later than 5:00 p.m. on this 28th day of March, 2003, in accordance with Section 120.60(3), Florida Statutes.

BY: Carla N. Palmer, P.E.
Director
Lower West Coast Service Center
Certified mail number 7002 2410 0000 5729 5729
May 14, 2003

VIA HAND DELIVERY

Mr. Matthew Noble
Lee County Community Development
1500 Monroe Street
Fort Myers, FL 33901

Re: West Links Charter School Amendment
File No. 15469/2

Dear Matt:

Enclosed please find a copy of the Noise Study that was done in connection with the above-referenced Lee Plan Small Scale Amendment. You will note that the Noise Study clearly indicates that we are well outside the range of any negative impacts to the School by virtue of the Airport.

I am continuing to work with the Airport in an attempt to facilitate and expedite comments with respect to this request. Please feel free to contact me if you have any questions.

Very truly yours,

Charles J. Basinait

CJB/jma

Enclosure Noise Study

cc: Dave Depew (w/encls.)
    Timothy Jones, Esq. (w/encls.)

Henderson, Franklin, Starnes & Holt, P.A.
April 24, 2003

Mr. Matt Noble
Lee County Division of Planning
P. O. Box 398
Ft. Myers, FL 33902

Subject: McGarvey Charter School Small-Scale Map Amendment

Dear Mr. Noble:

After a discussion with Howard Wegis at Lee County Utilities, I am prompted to write a short explanation regarding water and sewer service for the subject property. Upon inquiry with the engineers (Hole Montes, Inc.), I was informed that the subject property is in the Gateway Utilities service district. The property itself is in the Westlinks development, formally described as Lot 1, Block C, Gateway Phase 15 according to the plat thereof recorded in Plat Book 53 at pages 15 through 21 of the public records of Lee County, Florida, and the south one-half (S ½) of Lot 2, Block C, Gateway Phase 15, as recorded in Plat Book 53, Pages 15 through 21, of the public records of Lee County, Florida. I am informed that when the original subdivision was platted, provisions for utilities were made and approved by the County for all parcels in the subdivision, including the subject property. I can provide copies of the approved development order for the subdivision if that is deemed necessary, but I believe that the existence of the plat, a copy of which was provided as part of the application materials, should suffice as evidence that all improvements, including utilities, were either constructed or bonded in accordance with the County’s requirements. Thus, the County has already approved the design and capacity issues associated with the utilities for the subject property, and there should be no question regarding whether this infrastructure is available to the site.

If there are additional questions or concerns, please let me know. If you would like copies of any of the original development order approvals, I
would be happy to provide them for you. Thank you in advance for your kind consideration.

Regards,
Morris-Depew Associates, Inc.

David W. Depew, AICP
President

cc: Charles J. Basinait, Esq.
    John McGarvey
Dear Matt:

Enclosed please find a copy of the Articles of Organization of Commonwealth Flex Associates, LLC indicating that John McGarvey is the Manager. Please let me know if you have any further questions regarding this matter.

Very truly yours,

Charles J. Basinait

CJB/jma

Enclosure Articles of Organization
I certify the attached as a true and correct copy of the Articles of Organization of COMMONWEALTH FLEX ASSOCIATES, LLC, a limited liability company organized under the laws of the state of Florida, filed on February 25, 2003, as shown by the records of this office.

The document number of this limited liability company is L03000006839.
ARTICLES OF ORGANIZATION
OF
COMMONWEALTH FLEX ASSOCIATES, LLC

The undersigned, for the purpose of forming a limited liability company under the Florida Limited Liability Company Act, Chapter 608, Florida Statutes, hereby makes, acknowledges, and files the following Articles of Organization.

ARTICLE I -- NAME

The name of the limited liability company shall be COMMONWEALTH FLEX ASSOCIATES, LLC (the "Company").

ARTICLE II -- ADDRESS

The mailing address and street address of the initial principal office of the Company shall be 27300 Riverview Center Boulevard, Suite 201, Bonita Springs, Florida 34134.

ARTICLE III -- DURATION

The Company shall commence its existence as a Florida limited liability company on the date these Articles of Organization are filed by the Florida Department of State. The Company's existence shall be perpetual unless the Company is earlier dissolved as provided in these Articles of Organization or in the Company's operating agreement (the "Operating Agreement").

ARTICLE IV -- REGISTERED OFFICE AND AGENT

The name and street address of the initial registered agent of the Company in the State of Florida are Jeffrey S. Kannensohn, Esq., c/o Porter, Wright, Morris & Arthur LLP, 5801 Pelican Bay Blvd., Suite 300, Naples, Florida 34108-2709.

ARTICLE V -- ADMISSION OF NEW MEMBERS

No additional members shall be admitted to the Company except with the unanimous prior written consent of all members and on such terms and conditions as shall be approved by unanimous consent of all members. A member may transfer its interest in the Company only as set forth in the Operating Agreement of the Company.

ARTICLE VI -- WITHDRAWAL OF MEMBERS

A member of the Company may withdraw from the Company only upon the unanimous prior consent of all the nonwithdrawing members of the Company.
ARTICLE VII -- TERMINATION OF EXISTENCE

The Company shall be dissolved upon the occurrence of events specified in the Company's Operating Agreement.

ARTICLE VIII -- MANAGEMENT

The Company shall be managed by a Manager, John S. McGarvey, in accordance with the Operating Agreement adopted by the members for the management of the business and affairs of the Company. The Operating Agreement may contain any provisions for the regulation and management of the affairs of the Company not inconsistent with law or these articles of organization.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned organizer and member has made and subscribed these Articles of Organization on the 5th day of February, 2003.

By: [Signature]

John S. McGarvey, as Its Manager

FILED

February 25, 2003

SENIOR, STATE, ALABAMA, FLORIDA

RECEIVED

MAY 07, 2003

ZONING COUNTER
ACCEPTANCE OF REGISTERED AGENT

The undersigned, being the person named in the Articles of Organization of COMMONWEALTH FLEX ASSOCIATES, L.L.C., as the registered agent of this limited liability company, hereby consents to accept service of process for the above stated company at the place designated in the Articles of Organization, and accepts the appointment as registered agent and agrees to act in this capacity. The undersigned further agrees to comply with the provisions of all statutes relating to the proper and complete performance of his duties, and is familiar with and accept the obligations of the position of registered agent.

Date: February 24, 2003.

[Signature]

Jeffrey S. Kannenbohn, Esq.
February 25, 2003

NORMA HULL
CSC
TALLAHASSEE, FL

The Articles of Organization for COMMONWEALTH FLEX ASSOCIATES, LLC were filed on February 25, 2003, and assigned document number LD3000006839. Please refer to this number whenever corresponding with this office.

In accordance with section 608.408(2), F.S., the name of this limited liability company is filed with the Department of State for public notice only and is granted without regard to any other name recorded with the Division of Corporations.

The certification you requested is enclosed.

A limited liability annual report/uniform business report will be due this office between January 1 and May 1 of the year following the calendar year of the file date. A Federal Employer Identification (FEI) number will be required before this report can be filed. Please apply NOW with the Internal Revenue Service by calling 1-800-829-3676 and requesting form SS-4.

Please be aware if the limited liability company address changes, it is the responsibility of the limited liability to notify this office.

Should you have any questions regarding this matter, please telephone (850) 245-6051, the Registration Section.

Diane Cushing
Corporate Specialist
Division of Corporations

Account number: 07210000032

Amount charged: 155.00

ZONING COUNTER
Division of Corporations - P.O. BOX 6327 - Tallahassee, Florida 32314
Acoustical Evaluation of Potential Noise Impact at planned LEHIGH CHARTER SCHOOL at Daniels Parkway & Commonwealth Dr.

Prepared for: McGARVEY DEVELOPMENT CO.

12 May 2003
INTRODUCTION

The Gateway Westlinks Charter School currently under construction, is within the Airport Overlay Zone 3 as described in the Southwest Florida International Airport FAR Part 150 Noise Study prepared in 1995. The 1995 Noise Contours and the Future 1999 Contours show the subject property to be well outside the DNL 65 Contour. (DNL also called L_{DN}, is the day-night sound level, which is a 24-hour average that penalizes the hours between 10 PM and 7 AM. By adding 10 decibels, dB). The most recent projections prepared by Environmental Science Associates, in 2002 are for the year 2020 and show the property to be outside the DNL 55 contour.

Sound Measurements conducted at the property, indicate that Daniels Parkway traffic dominates the ambient noise and controls the Leq (equivalent level) at the property. Since the school is a daytime operation, the nighttime penalties applied to DNL computations would not be applicable.

The Code of Federal Regulations [24CFR31] TITLE 24--HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT, PART 51--ENVIRONMENTAL CRITERIA AND STANDARDS, Sections 103 and 104 address properties not exceeding DNL 65 as acceptable. Properties located within DNL 65 or higher require special attention to sound isolating construction to reduce the interior sound levels to a level of not more than 45 dBA (decibels A-weighted).

This property, located well outside the DNL 65 contour, would qualify for a residential mortgage under FHA and HUD guidelines.

SOUND MONITORING MEASUREMENTS

Measurements were conducted on the Charter School Property on Sunday 4 May 2003. A Sunday was selected because of our office schedule and the construction activity schedule. Reviewing the aircraft arrival and departure schedule, the Sunday activity is not significantly less than other days of the week. The active runway was Runway 6, which we understand handles about 85 percent of departures. The measurement time-period began at 0915 and ended at 1220 when the afternoon westerly wind started to set in which would dictate departures on runway 24.

A pair of Larson Davis Analyzers (Model 700) was used for measurements. The analyzers located at Positions A and B as shown on the enclosed copy of the Master Site Plan.
Statistical sampling intervals were 15-minute periods, and are copied below. Percentile levels, L10, L50, L90 indicate the percentage of the 15 minute time the level was exceeded. For example, L10 is the level ten percent of the time.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INTERVAL REPORT</th>
<th>LARSON</th>
<th>DAVIS</th>
<th>LABORATORY</th>
<th>ATHERES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DATA FROM: School 9</td>
<td>MODEL 700 SN B0655</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date 4 May 2003</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Period 15 minutes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOCATION A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td>LEQ</td>
<td>Lmin</td>
<td>Lmax</td>
<td>L10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09:15:26</td>
<td>53.5</td>
<td>40.5</td>
<td>72.5</td>
<td>56.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09:30:26</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>68.5</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09:45:26</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>39.5</td>
<td>64.5</td>
<td>55.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:00:26</td>
<td>51.5</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>64.5</td>
<td>54.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:15:26</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>56.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:30:26</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>41.5</td>
<td>66.5</td>
<td>57.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:45:26</td>
<td>51.5</td>
<td>39.5</td>
<td>65.5</td>
<td>54.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:00:26</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>39.6</td>
<td>66.5</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:15:26</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>40.5</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:30:26</td>
<td>53.5</td>
<td>40.5</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>56.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:45:26</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:00:26</td>
<td>54.5</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:15:26</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OVERALL LEQ: 54

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INTERVAL REPORT</th>
<th>LARSON</th>
<th>DAVIS</th>
<th>LABORATORY</th>
<th>ATHERES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DATA FROM: School 5</td>
<td>MODEL 700 SN B0655</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date 4 May 2003</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Period 15 minutes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOCATION B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td>LEQ</td>
<td>Lmin</td>
<td>Lmax</td>
<td>L10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09:25:41</td>
<td>47.5</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>63.5</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09:40:41</td>
<td>48.5</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>48.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09:55:41</td>
<td>47.5</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:10:41</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>61.5</td>
<td>46.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:25:41</td>
<td>46.5</td>
<td>36.5</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>48.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:40:42</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>35.5</td>
<td>61.5</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:55:42</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:10:42</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:25:42</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>64.5</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:40:42</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>36.5</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>53.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:55:42</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>67.5</td>
<td>53.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:10:42</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>36.5</td>
<td>71.5</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OVERALL LEQ: 48
The significance of comparing Locations A and B is their relative distance from Daniels Parkway and from the aircraft flight path. Location B is approximately two times as far from Daniels Parkway as is Location A. Doubling of Distance from the source yields a reduction of 6 dB. This is reflected in the different Leq values; 54 - 48 = 6. Now consider distance from the flight path to each of these locations and the difference is within a few feet out of thousands; the effect of which is insignificant. If aircraft noise were dominant both locations would read the same. There are a couple of instances in the below chart where this seems to occur.

In addition to the intervals, samples were taken for 30-second intervals, and are shown below for both instrument locations.

\[
\text{Time of Day}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{30 second Leq in dBA} \\
\hline
\text{9:25:56} & \text{9:30:56} & \text{9:35:56} & \text{9:40:56} & \text{9:45:56} & \text{9:50:56} & \text{9:55:56} \\
\text{10:00:56} & \text{10:05:56} & \text{10:10:56} & \text{10:15:56} & \text{10:20:56} & \text{10:25:56} & \text{10:30:56} \\
\text{10:35:56} & \text{10:40:56} & \text{10:45:56} & \text{10:50:56} & \text{10:55:56} & \text{11:00:56} & \text{11:05:56} \\
\text{11:10:56} & \text{11:15:56} & \text{11:20:56} & \text{11:25:56} & \text{11:30:56} & \text{11:35:56} & \text{11:40:56} \\
\text{11:45:56} & \text{11:50:56} & \text{11:55:56} & \text{12:00:56} & \text{12:05:56} & \text{12:10:56} & \text{12:15:56} \\
\end{array}
\]

The upper curve is Location A (nearest to Daniels Parkway)
The lower is at Location B.

The above shows how traffic on Daniels dominates the property. Spikes where the level is the same for each location are attributable to aircraft departures. However, the aircraft sound incidents do not significantly affect the overall average sound level.

**DAY-NIGHT SOUND LEVEL (DNL) and EQUIVALENT LEVEL (LEQ)**
Day-night levels (DNL) require long term measurement periods. Data is normally acquired over a number of 24 hour periods. In this instance, the influence of nearby traffic would skew the data with respect to airport influence. Utilizing the measured data, and assuming that the equivalent levels (Leq) for each location are a reasonable representation of each if the 24 hours, an approximation of 24 hour Leq and DNL has been computed for each location.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Leq</th>
<th>DNL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location A</td>
<td>53.5</td>
<td>59.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location B</td>
<td>47.8</td>
<td>54.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The school is a daytime operation; thus, Leq would be applicable, as activities would not be during the 10 dB penalty time assessed for DNL computation.

**CFR 24 Part 51**

A copy of sections part 103 and 104 is attached. This describes the criteria and procedure for obtaining approvals, for properties in areas that are considered “noise impacted”. This is provided to show that the school property is within acceptable guidelines.

**ANSI S12,60-2002**

This is a relatively new standard titled, “American National Standard ACoustical Performance Criteria, Design Requirements, and Guidelines for Schools.

The Charter School Site is outside the DNL 60 contour (DNL 55 for year 2020 projections). As such it is considered acceptable.

The exterior shell of the building’s performance has been considered in terms of Outdoor-Indoor Transmission Class (OITC) which computes to be OITC 38. The worst case measured sound levels, Location A, was Leq 53.5. Subtracting 53.5 – 38 = 15.5 dBA. This is well below the standard’s criteria of 35 dBA.
SUMMARY

The results of this study, although brief, indicate the property will meet acceptable HUD criteria for residential construction and ANSI S12.60 criteria for schools; both interior and exterior sound levels.

The airport Overlay Zones are soon due for a re-evaluation. It is anticipated that the subject property would be removed from Zone 3 based on revised DNL contours, which are primarily the result of quieter “Stage 3” aircraft.

The school is well outside the DNL 65 contour; even outside the year 2020 DNL 55 contour.

Vehicular traffic on Daniels Road dominates the site as evidenced by the 6 dB difference between Locations A and B.
Sec. 51.103 Criteria and standards.

These standards apply to all programs as indicated in Sec. 51.101.

(a) Measure of external noise environments. The magnitude of the external noise environment at a site is determined by the value of the day-night average sound level produced as the result of the accumulation of noise from all sources contributing to the external noise environment at the site. Day-night average sound level, abbreviated as DNL and symbolized as $L_{dn}$, is the 24-hour average sound level, in decibels, obtained after addition of 10 decibels to sound levels in the night from 10 p.m. to 7 a.m. Mathematical expressions for average sound level and day-night average sound level are stated in the Appendix I to this subpart.

(b) Loud impulsive sounds. On an interim basis, when loud impulsive sounds, such as explosions or sonic booms, are experienced at a site, the day-night average sound level produced by the loud impulsive sounds alone shall have 8 decibels added to it in assessing the acceptability of the site (see Appendix I to this subpart). Alternatively, the C-weighted day-night average sound level ($L_{Cdn}$) may be used without the 8 decibel addition, as indicated in Sec. 51.106(a)(3). Methods for assessing the contribution of loud impulsive sounds to day-night average sound level at a site and mathematical expressions for determining whether a sound is classed as "loud impulsive"
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are provided in the Appendix I to this subpart.

(c) Exterior standards. (1) The degree of acceptability of the noise environment at a site is determined by the sound levels external to buildings or other facilities containing noise sensitive uses. The standards shall usually apply at a location 2 meters (6.5 feet) from the building housing noise sensitive activities in the direction of the predominant noise source. Where the building location is undetermined, the standards shall apply 2 meters (6.5 feet) from the building setback line nearest to the predominant noise source. The standards shall also apply at other locations where it is determined that quiet outdoor space
is required in an area ancillary to the principal use on the site.

(2) The noise environment inside a building is considered acceptable if: (i) The noise environment external to the building complies with these standards, and (ii) the building is constructed in a manner common to the area or, if of uncommon construction, has at least the equivalent noise attenuation characteristics.

### Site Acceptability Standards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day-night average sound level (in decibels)</th>
<th>Special approvals and requirements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acceptable..........................</td>
<td>Not exceeding 65 dB(1) None.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normally Unacceptable...........</td>
<td>Above 65 dB but not exceeding 75 dB.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unacceptable......................</td>
<td>Above 75 dB...........</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: (1) Acceptable threshold may be shifted to 70 dB in special circumstances pursuant to Sec. 51.105(a).
(2) See Sec. 51.104(b) for requirements.
(3) See Sec. 51.104(b) for requirements.
(4) 5 dB additional attenuation required for sites above 65 dB but not exceeding 70 dB and 10 dB additional attenuation required for sites above 70 dB but not exceeding 75 dB. (See Sec. 51.104(a).)
(5) Attenuation measures to be submitted to the Assistant Secretary for CPD for approval on a case-by-case basis.

[44 FR 40861, July 12, 1979, as amended at 49 FR 12214, Mar. 29, 1984]
Sec. 51.104 Special requirements.

(a)(1) Noise attenuation. Noise attenuation measures are those required in addition to attenuation provided by buildings as commonly constructed in the area, and requiring open windows for ventilation. Measures that reduce external noise at a site shall be used wherever practicable in preference to the incorporation of additional noise attenuation in buildings. Building designs and construction techniques that provide more noise attenuation than typical construction may be employed also to meet the noise attenuation requirements.

(2) Normally unacceptable noise zones and unacceptable noise zones. Approvals in Normally Unacceptable Noise Zones require a minimum of 5 decibels additional sound attenuation for buildings having noise-sensitive uses if the day-night average sound level is greater than 65 decibels but does not exceed 70 decibels, or a minimum of 10 decibels of additional sound attenuation if the day-night average sound level is greater than 70 decibels but does not exceed 75 decibels. Noise attenuation measures in Unacceptable Noise Zones require the approval of the Assistant Secretary for Community Planning and Development, or the Certifying Officer for activities subject to 24 CFR part 58. (See Sec. 51.104(b)(2).)

(b) Environmental review requirements. Environmental reviews shall be conducted pursuant to the requirements of 24 CFR parts 50 and 58, as applicable, or other environmental regulations issued by the Department. These requirements are hereby modified for all projects proposed in the Normally Unacceptable and Unacceptable noise exposure zones as follows:

(1) Normally unacceptable noise zone. (i) All projects located in the Normally Unacceptable Noise Zone require a Special Environmental Clearance except an EIS is required for a proposed project located in a largely undeveloped area, or where the HUD action is likely to encourage the establishment of incompatible land use in this noise zone.

(ii) When an EIS is required, the concurrence of the Program

24 CFR Part 51
Parts 51.103 and 51.104
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Assistant Secretary is also required before a project can be approved. For the purposes of this paragraph, an area will be considered as largely undeveloped unless the area within a 2-mile radius of the project boundary is more than 50 percent developed for urban uses and infrastructure (particularly water and sewers) is available and has capacity to serve the project.

(iii) All other projects in the Normally Unacceptable zone require a Special Environmental Clearance, except where an EIS is required for other reasons pursuant to HUD environmental policies.

(2) Unacceptable noise zone. An EIS is required prior to the approval of projects with unacceptable noise exposure. Projects in or partially in an Unacceptable Noise Zone shall be submitted to the Assistant Secretary for Community Planning and Development, or the Certifying Officer for activities subject to 24 CFR part 58, for approval. The Assistant Secretary or the Certifying Officer may waive the EIS requirement in cases where noise is the only environmental issue and no outdoor noise sensitive activity will take place on the site. In such cases, an environmental review shall be made pursuant to the requirements of 24 CFR parts 50 or 58, as appropriate.

[44 FR 40861, July 12, 1979, as amended at 61 FR 13333, Mar. 26, 1996]
April 17, 2003

Ms. Mary Gibbs, AICP  
Director, Lee County Dept. of Community Development  
P. O. Box 398  
Fort Myers, FL 33902-0398

Subject: LDC Amendment Modifying Airport Noise Zone 3 Legal Description  
(Lehigh Charter School)

Dear Ms. Gibbs:

Pursuant to discussions with Staff, the small-scale FLUM amendment has been submitted for the proposed Lehigh Charter School under the name of J. McGarvey Development Co., Inc. and the property owner, Commonwealth Flex Associates, LLC. As you know, if the BOCC approves the proposed small-scale plan amendment, a modification to the LDC will be required to re-describe the limits of Airport Noise Zone 3. Attached please find a copy of an amended legal description for Airport Noise Zone 3. I believe that Section 34-1006(b)(2)b of the LDC is the applicable section, and I have included the amended language in the usual underline/crosstrike fashion. At this point, I am uncertain as to whether the County would prefer the language as supplied, or in the form of a draft ordinance. If the ordinance format is the preferred, please let me know and I will prepare the description in that fashion.

I am forwarding this letter and the draft legal description to you in electronic format (as well as paper) in order to assist in Staff’s preparation of the necessary documents. I am also available to help put together any additional background material that you deem necessary. Thank you for your kind assistance in this matter.

Regards,

Morris-DePew Associates, Inc.

David W. DePew, AICP  
President

cc: John McGarvey  
Charles J. Basinait, Esq.  
Tim Jones, Esq.  
Paul O’Connor, AICP  
Pam Houck
Amended Legal Description: Airport Noise Zone 3
Excludes Commonwealth Flex Associates, LLC Property
(Lehigh Charter School Site)

(Additions underlined, deletions crossed out.)

34-1006 (b) (2)
b. Zone 3 is legally defined as the following area:
A tract or parcel of land lying in Lee County, Florida, more particularly described as follows:
Within Township 46 South, Range 25 East:
All of Section 1;
All of Section 2;
All of Section 3;
All of Section 4;
All of Section 5; less and except:
the North Two-Thirds (N-2/3) of the Northwest Quarter (NW-1/4) lying North of the North line of the easement for the Briarcliff Canal;
All of Section 6: less and except:
the North Half (N-1/2) of the North Half (N-1/2) lying North of the North line of the easement for the Briarcliff Canal;
the North Half (N-1/2) of Section 10; and
the Northwest Quarter (NW-1/4) and the West Half (W-1/2) of the Northeast Quarter (NE-1/4) of Section 11.
TOGETHER WITH:
Within Township 45 South, Range 25 East:
The West Half (W-1/2) of the Southwest Quarter (SW-1/4) of Section 26; the Southeast Quarter (SE-1/4) of Section 27; all of Section 34; less and except:
the Northwest Quarter (NW-1/4); the West Half (W-1/2) of the West Half (W-1/2) of Section 35;
TOGETHER WITH:
Within Township 46 South, Range 26 East: the Northwest Quarter (NW-1/4) of Section 5; the North Half (N-1/2) and the west 915 feet of the South Half (S-1/2) of Section 6;
TOGETHER WITH:
Within Township 45 South, Range 26 East:
That portion of the Southwest Quarter (SW-1/4) of Section 4 lying south of State Road No. 82;
That portion of the Southeast Quarter (SE-1/4) of Section 5 lying south of State Road No. 82;
That portion of the Southwest Quarter (SW-1/4) of Section 11 lying south of State Road No. 82;
That portion of Section 10 lying south of State Road No. 82;
All of Section 9; less and except:
that portion of the Northeast Quarter (NE-1/4) of Section 9 lying north of State Road No. 82;
The East Half (E-1/2) of the East Half (E-1/2) together with the Southwest Quarter (SW-1/4) of the Southeast Quarter (SE-1/4) of Section 8;
All of Section 14: less and except:
that portion of the Northeast Quarter (NE-1/4) of Section 14 lying north of State Road No. 82;
All of Section 15;
All of Section 16;
All of Section 17: less and except:
that portion of the Southwest Quarter (SW-1/4) of said Section 17 described as follows:
Beginning at the southwest corner of the Southwest Quarter (SW-1/4) of said Section 17 run N 89° 27' 32" E along the south line of the Southwest Quarter (SW-1/4) a distance of 2,643.37 feet to the southeast corner of the Southwest Quarter (SW-1/4) of Section 17; thence run N 01° 00' 03" W along the east line of the Southwest Quarter (SW-1/4) a distance of 2,663.49 feet to the northeast corner of the Southwest Quarter (SW-1/4) of Section 17; thence run S 89° 30' 50" W along the east line of the Southwest Quarter (SW-1/4) a distance of 649.21 feet to a point lying along the west line of the Southwest Quarter (SW-1/4) of Section 17; thence run S 00° 58' 41" E along the west line of the Southwest Quarter (SW-1/4) of Section 17 a distance of 2,288.89 feet to the point of beginning;
All that part of the Southeast Quarter (SE-1/4) of Section 18 lying north of Daniels Parkway: less and except:
those portions as described in the Parcel C and Parcel D descriptions included in the Zone 4 Noise Overlay Description;
Together with the South Half (S-1/2) of the South Half (S-1/2) of the Southwest Quarter (SW-1/4) of Section 18;
All that part of the Northwest Quarter (NW-1/4) of Section 19 lying north of Daniels Parkway less and except Lot 1, Block C, Gateway Phase 15, according to the plan thereof recorded on Plat Book 53 at Pages 15 through 21 of the Public Records of Lee County, Florida and less and except the south one-half (S 1/2) of Lot 2, Block C, Gateway Phase 15 as recorded in Plat Book 53, pages 15 through 21 of the Public Records of Lee County, Florida;
That portion of Section 20 as described and recorded in Official Record Book 1535 at page 1480 in the Lee County Public Records;
All of Section 21;
All of Section 22;
All of Section 23;
All of Section 26;
All of Section 27;
All of Section 28;
All of Section 33;
All of Section 34.
April 24, 2003

Mr. Matt Noble
Lee County Division of Planning
P. O. Box 398
Ft. Myers, FL 33902

Subject: McGarvey Charter School Small-Scale Map Amendment

Dear Mr. Noble:

After a discussion with Howard Wegis at Lee County Utilities, I am prompted to write a short explanation regarding water and sewer service for the subject property. Upon inquiry with the engineers (Hole Montes, Inc.), I was informed that the subject property is in the Gateway Utilities service district. The property itself is in the Westlinks development, formally described as Lot 1, Block C, Gateway Phase 15 according to the plat thereof recorded in Plat Book 53 at pages 15 through 21 of the public records of Lee County, Florida, and the south one-half (S ½) of Lot 2, Block C, Gateway Phase 15, as recorded in Plat Book 53, Pages 15 through 21, of the public records of Lee County, Florida. I am informed that when the original subdivision was platted, provisions for utilities were made and approved by the County for all parcels in the subdivision, including the subject property. I can provide copies of the approved development order for the subdivision if that is deemed necessary, but I believe that the existence of the plat, a copy of which was provided as part of the application materials, should suffice as evidence that all improvements, including utilities, were either constructed or bonded in accordance with the County’s requirements. Thus, the County has already approved the design and capacity issues associated with the utilities for the subject property, and there should be no question regarding whether this infrastructure is available to the site.

If there are additional questions or concerns, please let me know. If you would like copies of any of the original development order approvals, I
Mr. Matt Noble  
April 24, 2003  

would be happy to provide them for you. Thank you in advance for your kind consideration.

Regards,  
Morris-Depew Associates, Inc.  

[Signature]
David W. Depew, AICP  
President  

cc: Charles J. Basinait, Esq.  
    John McGarvey
The subject property lies within the Gateway System 5 drainage basin. The surface water system for Gateway was permitted and constructed pursuant to South Florida Water Management District Permit no. 36-03803-P. A modification to this permit has been obtained for the subject site and construction is close to being completed in accordance with this approved modification. The plan amendment will have no effect surface water.

The plan amendment will have no effect on existing or future parks and recreation or open space.
May 22, 2003

Morris-Depew Associates, Inc.
Stacy Ellis Hewitt
2216 Altamont Avenue
Fort Myers, Florida 33901

RE: Gateway Westlinks Charter Schools USA
    Application for a Small Scale Comprehensive Plan Amendment

Dear Stacy Ellis Hewitt:

The proposed development for Gateway Westlinks Charter Schools USA in Lee County, Florida, is within the service area for the Lee County Sheriff's Office. It is policy of the Lee County Sheriff's Office to support community growth and we will do everything possible to accommodate the law enforcement needs.

We anticipate that we will receive the reasonable and necessary funding to support growth in demand. We therefore believe that the Lee County Sheriff's Office will be able to serve your project as it builds out.

Sincerely,

Major Dan Johnson
Planning and Research

Copy: File
DJ/fjr
May 19, 2003

Dear Ms. Hewitt:

I have reviewed your letter dated May 19, 2003, reference to property located at 12850 Commonwealth Drive for Gateway Westlinks Charter Schools USA.

The current and planned budgetary projections for additional EMS resources should adequately address any increased demand for service from persons occupying this parcel or any support facilities.

If you would like to discuss this further, please call me at (239) 335-1649.

Sincerely,

DIVISION OF PUBLIC SAFETY

Chief Chris Hansen
EMS Manager

CH/DDL