

## Lee County Continuum of Care (CoC) Ranking Committee

### Meeting Summary

Wednesday, August 1, 2018, 5:30 P.M.

Lee County Human and Veteran Services

2440 Thompson St.

Fort Myers, FL 33901

#### **Members Present:**

Jean Ann DeWalt

Tim Hennessy

David Newlan

Jim Reily

Terry Tucker

#### **Staff Present:**

Julie Boudreaux, Program Manager

Jeannie Sutton, Grants Analyst

#### **Public Present:**

Janet Bartos, Executive Director, Lee County Homeless Coalition

Alex Oliveres, Executive Director, Center for Progress and Excellence Inc.

#### **I. Call to Order**

Meeting was called to order by Jeannie Sutton at 5:39 pm.

#### **II. Public Comment**

Public comment was made by Janet Bartos. Janet thanked the committee for coming together and carrying out this important process.

#### **III. Overview of 2018 CoC Funding Competition**

Jeannie Sutton provided an overview of HUD funding priorities and eligible project components. (*Handout attached*) The Lee County Continuum of Care received 6 new project applications and 5 renewal project applications.

#### **IV. Discussion Regarding Project Applications**

Discussion was held by committee members throughout the meeting. Jeannie Sutton answered questions regarding the scoring process and project applications.

#### **V. Project Applications Scoring and Ranking**

Each committee member scored each project individually using the 2018 HUD Ranking Tool. All scoring sheets were signed and submitted to Jeannie Sutton to be entered into the ranking tool.

#### **VI. Adjourn**

Meeting was adjourned by Jeannie Sutton at 7:10 pm.

All project scores were compiled and entered into the 2018 HUD Ranking Tool on August 3, 2018 by Jeannie Sutton and reviewed by Julie Boudreaux.

## **Lee County Continuum of Care (CoC) Ranking Committee Meeting**

Wednesday, August 1, 2018, 5:30 P.M.

Lee County Human and Veteran Services

2440 Thompson St.

Fort Myers, FL 33901

### **PUBLIC COMMENT:**

The CoC Ranking Committee is an ad hoc body designated by the Lee County Continuum of Care Governing Board for the purpose of reviewing and ranking the 2018 Continuum of Care new and renewal project applications. We wish to hear and address your public concerns. Due to the length of the agenda, we request that public comment be limited to 3 minutes. In addition, speakers, please provide handouts pertaining to your presentation to Lee County staff for distribution prior to the *Call to Order*. The agenda will be as follows:

- I. **Call to Order**
- II. *Public Comment*
- III. **Overview of 2018 CoC Funding Competition**
- IV. **Discussion Regarding Project Applications**
- V. **Project Applications Scoring and Ranking**
- VI. **Adjourn**

**Meeting minutes and ranking results will be posted on our website:**

<https://www.leegov.com/dhs/funding/coc>

**Policy Priorities.** This section provides additional context regarding HUD's selection criteria.

**1. Ending homelessness for all persons.** To end homelessness, CoCs should identify, engage, and effectively serve all persons experiencing homelessness. CoCs should measure their performance based on local data that consider the challenges faced by all subpopulations experiencing homelessness in the geographic area (e.g., veterans, youth, families, or those experiencing chronic homelessness). CoCs should have a comprehensive outreach strategy in place to identify and continuously engage all unsheltered individuals and families. Additionally, CoCs should use local data to determine the characteristics of individuals and families with the highest needs and longest experiences of homelessness to develop housing and supportive services tailored to their needs.

**2. Creating a systemic response to homelessness.** CoCs should be using system performance measures such as the average length of homeless episodes, rates of return to homelessness, and rates of exit to permanent housing destinations to determine how effectively they are serving people experiencing homelessness. Additionally, CoCs should use their Coordinated Entry process to promote participant choice, coordinate homeless assistance and mainstream housing and services to ensure people experiencing homelessness receive assistance quickly, and make homelessness assistance open, inclusive, and transparent.

**3. Strategically allocating and using resources.** Using cost, performance, and outcome data, CoCs should improve how resources are utilized to end homelessness. CoCs should review project quality, performance, and cost effectiveness. CoCs should review all projects eligible for renewal in FY 2018 to determine their effectiveness in serving people experiencing homelessness, including cost effectiveness.

**4. Use a Housing First approach.** Housing first prioritizes rapid placement and stabilization in permanent housing and does not have service participation requirements or preconditions. CoC Program funded projects should help individuals and families move quickly into permanent housing, and the CoC should measure and help projects reduce the length of time people experience homelessness.

**HUD Funding Process.** HUD will continue a Tier 1 and Tier 2 funding process.

*Tier 1.* Tier 1 is **\$1,567,251**. Project applications in Tier 1 will be conditionally selected from the highest scoring CoC to the lowest scoring CoC, provided the project applications pass both eligibility and threshold review. Any type of new or renewal project application can be placed in Tier 1.

*Tier 2.* Tier 2 is the difference between Tier 1 and **\$1,667,288** plus the amount available for bonus projects - **\$154,496** (not including amounts available for DV Bonus).

*Projects Straddling Tiers.* If a project application straddles the Tier 1 and Tier 2 funding line, HUD will conditionally select the project up to the amount of funding that falls within Tier 1. Using the CoC score and other factors, HUD may fund the Tier 2 portion of the project. If HUD does not fund the Tier 2 portion of the project, HUD may award the project at the reduced amount, provided the project is still feasible with the reduced funding (e.g., is able to continue serving homeless program participants effectively).

**Domestic Violence (DV) Bonus.** The FY 2018 HUD Appropriations Act provides up to \$50 million for “rapid re-housing projects and supportive service projects providing coordinated entry and for eligible activities that the Secretary determines are critical in order to assist survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, and stalking.” **The maximum amount that Lee County can apply for is \$257,493.**

A CoC may apply for one of each of the following types of projects:

- (1) Rapid Re-housing (PH-RRH) projects that must follow a housing first approach.
- (2) Joint TH and PH-RRH component projects as defined in Section II.C.3.m of this NOFA that must follow a housing first approach.
- (3) SSO Projects for Coordinated Entry (SSO-CE) to implement policies, procedures, and practices that equip the CoC’s coordinated entry to better meet the needs of survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking (e.g., to implement policies and procedures that are trauma-informed, client-centered or to better coordinate referrals between the CoC’s coordinated entry and the victim service providers coordinated entry system where they are different).

A CoC can only submit one project application for each project type: PH-RRH, Joint TH and PH-RRH, and SSO-CE.

**CoC (aka Regular) Bonus.** The FY 2018 Notice of Funding Availability provides up to **\$154,496** for new projects. Eligible project types include:

- (1) Permanent housing-permanent supportive housing (PH-PSH) projects where 100 percent of the beds are dedicated to individuals and families experiencing chronic homelessness, as defined in 24 CFR 578.3.
- (2) CoCs may create new permanent housing-rapid rehousing (PH-RRH) projects that will serve homeless individuals and families, including unaccompanied youth;
- (3) Joint TH and PH-RRH component projects to serve homeless individuals and families, including individuals or families fleeing or attempting to flee domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking.

## Sutton, Jeannie

---

**From:** Sutton, Jeannie  
**Sent:** Monday, August 06, 2018 5:43 PM  
**To:**  
**Subject:** 2018 CoC Project Ranking Results  
**Attachments:** Committee Scoring by Project.pdf; 2018 Project Rating and Ranking.pdf

Good afternoon,

On Wednesday, August 1, 2018 the CoC Ranking Committee assessed the performance measures, effectiveness, and need for all renewal and new CoC projects. All projects were evaluated in accordance with the [2018 Ranking Tool](#). Project ranking is listed below, and detailed scoring information can be reviewed in the attached results.

### **Projects being submitted to HUD:**

- 1 – Lee County Housing Development Corporation – DV Rapid Rehousing - \$53,790.00 (*New DV Bonus*)
- 2 – Community Assisted and Supported Living – S + C I - \$77,632.00 (*Renewal*)
- 3 – Community Assisted and Supported Living – Broadway - \$67,357.00 (*Renewal*)
- 4 – Community Assisted and Supported Living – S + C II - \$73,328.00 (*Renewal*)
- 5 – Salvation Army - Rapid Rehousing - \$1,394,985.00 (*Renewal*)
- 6 – Jewish Family and Children’s Services – Rapid Rehousing - \$154,496.00 (*New Regular Bonus*)
- 7 – Community Assisted and Supported Living – San Souci - \$53,986.00 (*Renewal*)
- 9 – Center for Progress and Excellence – CPE Recovery Housing (DV) - \$203,703.00 \* (*New DV Bonus*)

### **Projects NOT being submitted to HUD:**

- 8 – Center for Progress and Excellence – Arlene Goldberg House Bonus \* (*New Regular Bonus*)
- 10 – Lee County Housing Development Corporation – Rapid Rehousing Bonus (*New Regular Bonus*)
- 11 – Lee County Housing Development Corporation – Permanent Supportive Housing Bonus (*New Regular Bonus*)

*\*Only one regular Bonus project is allowed to be submitted to HUD, but up to 3 DV Bonus projects can be submitted. Therefore, both DV Bonus projects, and only the one top ranked regular bonus project will be submitted.*

Thank you for taking the time to submit an application for a CoC funded project. If your project was not selected, please consider applying for additional funding opportunities that may arise in the future.

If you have any questions or concerns, please do not hesitate to ask.

*Jeannie Sutton*

Grants Analyst  
Lee County Human and Veteran Services  
2440 Thompson Street  
Fort Myers, FL 33901  
Phone: (239) 533-7958  
Fax: (239) 533-7960  
E-mail: [JSutton@leegov.com](mailto:JSutton@leegov.com)  
Website: <http://leegov.com/dhs>

## ALTERNATIVE RATING TOOL

Sort projects by:

Organization Name

*You can sort the project list below*

*using the drop-down selection to the left.*

*If you make any edits, make sure to save before moving on.*

### RATING TABLE

						ENTER VALUES FOR ALL PROJECTS		
						☑ Yes to all threshold requirements		
Project ID	Grant Number	Renewal, New, Expansion, Reallocate	Project Name	Organization Name	Project Type	Met All HUD Threshold Requirements	Met All CoC Threshold Requirements	Weighted Rating Score (out of 100)
28	NEW	New	DV Bonus Project	LCHDC (Lee County Housing Developer	RRH	Yes	Yes	92
23	FL0266L4D031710	Renewal	CASL S+CI	CASL	PSH	Yes	Yes	90
5	FL0317L4D031709	Renewal	Broadway Place	CASL	PSH	Yes	Yes	88
24	FL0267L4D031710	Renewal	CASL S+C II	CASL	PSH	Yes	Yes	88
21	FL0537L4D031703	Renewal	Rapid Re Housing	Salvation Army	RRH	Yes	Yes	88
27	NEW	New	Rapid Re-Housing	JFCS (Jewish Family & Children's Service	RRH	Yes	Yes	86
6	FL0265L4D031710	Renewal	Sans Souci	CASL	PSH	Yes	Yes	81
25	NEW	New	Arlene Goldberg House	CPE (Center for Progress and Excellence)	TH/RRH	Yes	Yes	78
26	NEW	New	CPE Recovery House	CPE (Center for Progress and Excellence)	TH/RRH	Yes	Yes	77
29	NEW	New	CoC Bonus Project	LCHDC (Lee County Housing Developer	RRH	Yes	Yes	46
30	NEW	New	CoC Bonus Project - PSH	LCHDC (Lee County Housing Developer	PSH	Yes	Yes	41

*By default, the threshold and score values will pull from any individually saved projects*

**LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED**

Sort projects by:

*You can sort the project list below using the drop down selection to the left. Please note that you cannot sort by "Renewal, New, Expansion...." until you have completed the green section of this form*

**Use your Grant Inventory Worksheet and project spending records to complete these columns**

**Check once you of beds listed fo**

Project ID	Organization Name	Project Name	Project Type	McKinney-Vento	Grant Number	CoC Amount Awarded Last Operating Year	CoC Amount Expended Last Operating Year	CoC Funding Requested	Renewal, New, Expansion, Reallocate, Ignore	Geo Code	All DV/H Fam Beds	DV Fam Beds
5	CASL	Broadway Place	PSH	Yes	FL0317L4D031709	\$ 67,357	\$ 12,978	\$ 67,357	Renewal	120966	NA	0
21	Salvation Army	Rapid Re Housing	RRH	Yes	FL0537L4D031703	\$ 1,394,985	\$ 1,285,356	\$ 1,394,985	Renewal	120966	NA	66
6	CASL	Sans Souci	PSH	Yes	FL0265L4D031710	\$ 53,986	\$ 52,303	\$ 53,986	Renewal	129071	NA	0
23	CASL	CASL S+CI	PSH	Yes	FL0266L4D031710	\$ 77,632	\$ 74,282	\$ 77,632	Renewal		NA	0
24	CASL	CASL S+C II	PSH	Yes	FL0267L4D031710	\$ 73,328	\$ 70,900	\$ 73,328	Renewal		NA	0
25	CPE (Center for Progress and Excellence)	Arlene Goldberg House	TH/RRH	Yes	NEW	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 154,496	New		NA	0
26	CPE (Center for Progress and Excellence)	CPE Recovery House	TH/RRH	Yes	NEW	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 240,000	New		DV	0
27	JFCS (Jewish Family & Children's Services)	Rapid Re-Housing	RRH	Yes	NEW	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 84,789	New		NA	0
28	LCHDC (Lee County Housing Development)	DV Bonus Project	RRH	Yes	NEW	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 53,790	New		DV	0
29	LCHDC (Lee County Housing Development)	CoC Bonus Project	RRH	Yes	NEW	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 70,290	New		NA	0
30	LCHDC (Lee County Housing Development)	CoC Bonus Project - PSH	PSH	Yes	NEW	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 63,992	New		NA	0

LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED

= Auto-populated cell. Cannot be edited

have confirmed the bed inventory listed for the projects below is consistent with the number for each project in your CoC's Grant Inventory Worksheet.

Project ID	CH Fam Beds	Vet Fam Beds	Par Youth Beds	Beds HH w/o Children	Beds HH w/ only Children	All Ind Beds	DV Ind Beds	CH Beds HH w/o Children	CH Beds HH w/ only Children	Total CH Ind Beds	Vet Ind Beds	Single Youth Beds	Is 100% Dedicated + or CH Fam (Yes/No)	Is 100% Dedicated + or CH Ind (Yes/No)	Is 100% DV (Yes/No)	CH Bed Inventory (PSH Only)	Vet Bed Inventory	Youth Bed Inventory
5	0	0	0	6	0	6	0	6	0	6	0	0	0 No	Yes	No	6	0	0
21	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 No	No	No	0	0	0
6	0	0	0	6	0	6	0	6	0	6	0	0	0 No	Yes	No	6	0	0
23	0	0	0	0	0	14	0	0	0	2	0	0	0 No	No	No	2	0	0
24	0	0	0	0	0	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 No	No	No	0	0	0
25	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	8	0	0	0 No	No	No	8	0	0
26	0	0	0	0	0	8	8	0	0	0	0	0	0 No	No	Yes	0	0	0
27	0	0	0	0	0	14	0	0	0	14	0	0	0 No	No	No	14	0	0
28	0	0	0	0	0	15	15	0	0	0	0	0	0 No	No	Yes	0	0	0
29	0	0	0	0	0	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 No	No	No	0	0	0
30	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 No	No	No	0	0	0



RATING RESULTS

**RATING RESULTS**

Sort projects by:

Weighted Rating Score

You can sort the project list below using the drop down selection to the left.

Make sure to save any rating you've done before running.



**RATING RESULTS**

Project ID	Grant Number	Renewal, New, Expansion, Reallocate	Project Name	Organization Name	Project Type	DV/HIV	All Fam Beds	DV Fam Beds	CH Fam Beds	Vet Fam Beds	Par Youth Beds	All Ind Beds	DV Ind Beds
30	NEW	New	CoC Bonus Project - PSH	LCHDC (Lee County Housing Dev	PSH	NA	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
28	NEW	New	DV Bonus Project	LCHDC (Lee County Housing Dev	RRH	DV	0	0	0	0	0	15	15
27	NEW	New	Rapid Re-Housing	JFCS (Jewish Family & Children's	RRH	NA	0	0	0	0	0	14	0
29	NEW	New	CoC Bonus Project	LCHDC (Lee County Housing Dev	RRH	NA	0	0	0	0	0	20	0
25	NEW	New	Arlene Goldberg House	CPE (Center for Progress and Exc	TH/RRH	NA	0	0	0	0	0	8	0
26	NEW	New	CPE Recovery House	CPE (Center for Progress and Exc	TH/RRH	DV	0	0	0	0	0	8	8
23	FL0266L4D031710	Renewal	CASL S+CI	CASL	PSH	NA	0	0	0	0	0	14	0
5	FL0317L4D031709	Renewal	Broadway Place	CASL	PSH	NA	0	0	0	0	0	6	0
24	FL0267L4D031710	Renewal	CASL S+C II	CASL	PSH	NA	0	0	0	0	0	13	0
6	FL0265L4D031710	Renewal	Sans Souci	CASL	PSH	NA	0	0	0	0	0	6	0
21	FL0537L4D031703	Renewal	Rapid Re Housing	Salvation Army	RRH	NA	66	0	0	0	0	0	0

RATING RESULTS

Sort projec

Weighted FNot all requirements met or threshold scoring not started

**RATING**

Project ID	Total CH Ind Beds	Vet Ind Beds	Single Youth Beds	Is 100% Dedicated + or CH Fam (Yes/No)	Is 100% Dedicated + or CH Ind (Yes/No)	Is 100% DV (Yes/No)	CoC Funding Requested	Amount of Other Public Funding (Federal, state, county, city)	Amount of private Funding	CoC Amount Expended Last Operating Year	Met All HUD Threshold Requirements	Met All CoC Threshold Requirements	Weighted Rating Score
30	0	0	0	No	No	No	\$63,992			\$0	Yes	Yes	41
28	0	0	0	No	No	Yes	\$53,790			\$0	Yes	Yes	92
27	14	0	0	No	No	No	\$84,789			\$0	Yes	Yes	86
29	0	0	0	No	No	No	\$70,290			\$0	Yes	Yes	46
25	8	0	0	No	No	No	\$154,496			\$0	Yes	Yes	78
26	0	0	0	No	No	Yes	\$240,000			\$0	Yes	Yes	77
23	2	0	0	No	No	No	\$77,632			\$74,282	Yes	Yes	90
5	6	0	0	No	Yes	No	\$67,357			\$12,978	Yes	Yes	88
24	0	0	0	No	No	No	\$73,328			\$70,900	Yes	Yes	88
6	6	0	0	No	Yes	No	\$53,986			\$52,303	Yes	Yes	81
21	0	0	0	No	No	No	\$1,394,985			\$1,285,356	Yes	Yes	88

JFCS - RRH						
Category	Jean Ann DeWalt	Terry Tucker	David Newlan	Jim Reily	Tim Hennessy	Total
Experience A.	15	15	15	10	13	68
Experience B.	15	15	15	10	15	70
Experience C.	15	15	10	15	12	67
Design A.	15	15	15	10	10	65
Design B.	10	5	10	5	8	38
Design C.	0	5	5	5	2	17
Timeliness A.	15	10	15	15	10	65
Financial A.	5	5	5	5	0	20
Financial B.	5	5	5	0	5	20
Financial C.	10	10	10	0	10	40
Financial D.	10	10	10	0	10	40
Project Effectiveness	10	10	10	10	10	50
	125	120	125	85	105	560

2

CPE - Arlene Goldberg House						
Category	Jean Ann DeWalt	Terry Tucker	David Newlan	Jim Reily	Tim Hennessy	Total
Experience A.	15	15	10	15	15	70
Experience B.	10	15	10	15	15	65
Experience C.	15	15	10	10	10	60
Design A.	15	12	15	10	15	67
Design B.	10	8	10	5	8	41
Design C.	0	5	2	0	2	9
Timeliness A.	15	10	15	10	10	60
Financial A.	3	4	5	0	2	14
Financial B.	0	4	5	0	5	14
Financial C.	10	8	5	0	10	33
Financial D.	5	8	5	0	5	23
Project Effectiveness	10	10	10	10	10	50
	108	114	102	75	107	506

3

CPE - Recovery House						
Category	Jean Ann DeWalt	Terry Tucker	David Newlan	Jim Reily	Tim Hennessy	Total
Experience A.	10	15	15	15	10	65
Experience B.	10	15	12	15	15	67
Experience C.	10	15	12	10	10	57
Design A.	15	15	10	10	15	65
Design B.	10	10	5	5	10	40
Design C.	5	5	5	0	3	18
Timeliness A.	5	15	15	10	12	57
Financial A.	5	5	5	0	3	18
Financial B.	0	5	2	0	5	12
Financial C.	0	10	5	0	7	22
Financial D.	5	10	5	0	8	28
Project Effectiveness	10	10	10	10	10	50
	85	130	101	75	108	499

4

LCHDC - RRH						
Category	Jean Ann DeWalt	Terry Tucker	David Newlan	Jim Reily	Tim Hennessy	Total
Experience A.	5	2	2	10	15	34
Experience B.	5	2	2	10	15	34
Experience C.	5	2	2	10	15	34
Design A.	5	2	2	15	15	39
Design B.	5	2	2	10	10	29

Design C.	5	0	1	3	3	12
Timeliness A.	5	2	2	10	14	33
Financial A.	0	2	1	0	5	8
Financial B.	0	2	1	0	5	8
Financial C.	5	2	2	0	10	19
Financial D.	5	2	2	0	10	19
Project Effectiveness	5	2	2	10	10	29
	50	22	21	78	127	298

5

LCHDC - PSH						
Category	Jean Ann DeWalt	Terry Tucker	David Newlan	Jim Reily	Tim Hennessy	Total
Experience A.	5	5	2	10	7	29
Experience B.	5	5	5	10	7	32
Experience C.	5	5	5	10	7	32
Design A.	5	5	5	15	7	37
Design B.	5	5	5	10	5	30
Design C.	5	0	1	5	3	14
Timeliness A.	5	0	5	10	5	25
Financial A.	5	0	1	0	2	8
Financial B.	5	0	2	0	3	10
Financial C.	5	0	5	0	5	15
Financial D.	5	0	5	0	5	15
Project Effectiveness	5	0	2	10	5	22
	60	25	43	80	61	269

6

LCHDC - DV RRH						
Category	Jean Ann DeWalt	Terry Tucker	David Newlan	Jim Reily	Tim Hennessy	Total
Experience A.	15	15	15	15	15	75
Experience B.	15	15	15	15	15	75
Experience C.	15	10	15	15	15	70
Design A.	15	10	15	10	15	65
Design B.	10	8	10	10	10	48
Design C.	5	4	5	5	5	24
Timeliness A.	15	15	10	10	15	65
Financial A.	5	4	5	5	5	24
Financial B.	5	4	5	5	5	24
Financial C.	10	8	10	10	10	48
Financial D.	10	8	0	5	10	33
Project Effectiveness	10	8	10	10	10	48
	130	109	115	115	130	599

1

CASL S + C I						
Category	Jean Ann DeWalt	Terry Tucker	David Newlan	Jim Reily	Tim Hennessy	Total
Length of Stay	10	20	20	15	20	85
Exits to Permanent Housing	25	25	25	25	24	124
Returns to Homelessness	15	10	15	10	12	62
Earned income for Stayers	2	0	2	0	0	4
Non-Employment Income for Stayers	2.5	2.5	2.5	0	2.5	10
Earned income for leavers	2	0	2.5	0	0	4.5
Non-Employment Income for leavers	2.5	2	2	0	1.5	8
Serving High Need Populations	20	10	15	20	20	85
Reasonable Costs	20	20	20	15	20	95
Coordinated Entry Participation	10	10	10	10	10	50
Housing First	10	10	10	10	10	50
Narratives	10	10	10	5	10	45
Monitoring Report	10	10	10	10	10	50
Active CoC Engagement	10	10	10	10	10	50
	149	139.5	154	130	150	722.5

1

CASL S + C II						
Category	Jean Ann DeWalt	Terry Tucker	David Newlan	Jim Reily	Tim Hennessy	Total
Length of Stay	10	20	20	15	20	85
Exits to Permanent Housing	25	25	25	15	24	114
Returns to Homelessness	10	15	15	10	12	62
Earned income for Stayers	2	0.5	0	0	2	4.5
Non-Employment Income for Stayers	2.5	2.5	2.5	0	2.5	10
Earned income for leavers	2.5	0	2.5	0	2	7
Non-Employment Income for leavers	2	2	2	0	1.5	7.5
Serving High Need Populations	20	20	10	20	17	87
Reasonable Costs	20	20	20	15	20	95
Coordinated Entry Participation	5	4	10	5	10	34
Housing First	10	10	10	10	10	50
Narratives	10	10	10	10	10	50
Monitoring Report	10	10	10	10	8	48
Active CoC Engagement	10	10	10	10	8	48
	139	149	147	120	147	702

3

CASL Broadway						
Category	Jean Ann DeWalt	Terry Tucker	David Newlan	Jim Reily	Tim Hennessy	Total
Length of Stay	10	20	20	20	20	90
Exits to Permanent Housing	25	25	25	20	25	120
Returns to Homelessness	15	15	15	15	12	72
Earned income for Stayers	2	1	1	0	1	5
Non-Employment Income for Stayers	2.5	2	2	0	2	8.5
Earned income for leavers	2	0	2	0	2	6
Non-Employment Income for leavers	2	0	2	0	2	6
Serving High Need Populations	20	0	20	20	20	80
Reasonable Costs	20	20	20	15	20	95
Coordinated Entry Participation	10	10	10	10	8	48
Housing First	10	10	10	10	10	50
Narratives	5	10	10	5	10	40
Monitoring Report	0	10	5	10	10	35
Active CoC Engagement	10	10	10	10	10	50
	133.5	133	152	135	152	705.5

2

CASL San Souci						
Category	Jean Ann DeWalt	Terry Tucker	David Newlan	Jim Reily	Tim Hennessy	Total
Length of Stay	10	20	20	15	20	85
Exits to Permanent Housing	25	25	22	20	23	115
Returns to Homelessness	15	15	15	10	15	70

Earned income for Stayers	0	0	0	0	2	2
Non-Employment Income for Stayers	2.5	2.5	2.5	0	2.5	10
Earned income for leavers	2	1.25	1	0	2	6.25
Non-Employment Income for leavers	2.5	2	2	0	1.5	8
Serving High Need Populations	10	5	5	20	12	52
Reasonable Costs	20	10	20	15	16	81
Coordinated Entry Participation	7	3	10	10	6	36
Housing First	10	10	10	10	10	50
Narratives	9	10	10	5	10	44
Monitoring Report	9	10	10	10	8	47
Active CoC Engagement	9	6	10	10	8	43
	131	119.75	137.5	125	136	649.25

4

TSA RRH						
Category	Jean Ann DeWalt	Terry Tucker	David Newlan	Jim Reily	Tim Hennessy	Total
Length of Stay	10	15	20	20	18	83
Exits to Permanent Housing	25	25	25	20	20	115
Returns to Homelessness	15	15	5	10	14	59
Earned income for Stayers	2.5	2.5	2.5	0	2.5	10
Non-Employment Income for Stayers	2.5	2.5	2.5	0	2.5	10
Earned income for leavers	2	1.25	1	0	1.5	5.75
Non-Employment Income for leavers	2	0.5	1	0	1.5	5
Reasonable Costs	20	20	20	15	20	95
Coordinated Entry Participation	10	0	0	10	10	30
Housing First	10	10	10	10	10	50
Narratives	10	10	10	10	10	50
Monitoring Report	10	10	10	10	10	50
Active CoC Engagement	10	10	10	10	10	50
	129	121.75	117	115	130	612.75

5