County of Lee, Florida FY 2016 - Impact Fee Cost Allocation Plan

Actual Costs For The Year Ended

SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

Prepared by : Maguire Associates of Virginia, Inc. PO Box 1766, Chesterfield, VA 23832 (804) 745-1601

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Ι.	INTF	RODUCTION 1
	А. В.	Background and Purpose
11.	SUM	MARY OF FINDINGS 3
111.	DEP	ARTMENTAL ALLOCATIONS
	A.	Department of Community Development51. Director's Office62. Development Services Division8
	В.	Department of Transportation Services
	C.	County-Wide Indirect Costs131. Other County-Wide Indirect Costs14
	D.	Department of Parks and Recreation

Section I

INTRODUCTION

A. Background and Purpose

The Lee County Board of County Commissioners has established by ordinance impact fees designed to determine and assess new development a proportionate share of the cost of capital facilities needed to serve such development. At the time this study was conducted, separate impact fee ordinances existed for Parks, Roads, Fire Protection, and Emergency Medical Services. Fire and Emergency Medical Service Impact Fee Funds were established in FY 1990. Each ordinance authorizes the County to retain a maximum of three percent of impact fee funds collected to offset the costs of administering the ordinances. Currently, the County collects 3.00%

This report presents the findings of a study conducted by Maguire Associates of Virginia, Inc., to examine the full cost incurred by various divisions of County government to administer the impact fee ordinances. Specifically, this report addresses administrative costs incurred for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2016 (FY 2016).

The findings contained in this report provide a basis for comparing annual administrative costs to the total amount of administrative fees retained by the County. For most of the departmental sources of administrative support, this report represents aggregate costs to administer all impact fees. This report does not attempt to identify support costs per individual impact fee fund.

B. Methodology and Report Format

The methodology used by Maguire Associates in conducting this study included interviews with principal staff responsible for impact fee administration, analysis of personnel/salary reports and year-end reports of actual expenditures by fund/department/division, depreciation schedules, and extraction of data contained in the County's Full Cost Central Services Cost Allocation Plan for FY 2016. Official County reports of actual divisional expenditures and approved position salary rates were used as the source of cost data reflected in this report.

Through initial interviews with key County staff, the divisions of government involved in impact fee administration were identified. Managers within each division were then surveyed to determine which positions were responsible for administrative activities and what percentage of each position's total annual workload was related to those duties.

INTRODUCTION

The results of these interviews are shown in a series of **Divisional Salary Analyses**. In these tables, the percentage of each employee's time devoted to impact fee administration was factored against the employee's annual salary to compute divisional salary expense allocable in support of impact fee programs. The ratio of each division's impact fee related salary expense to total salary expense is identified in Divisional Salary Analysis tables as an **Impact Fee Cost Factor**.

In accompanying **Divisional Cost Summary** tables, this ratio is used as the basis for allocating a portion of each division's fringe benefits and general operating costs to impact fee administration. Certain operating expenses, such as professional fees and other contractual services, have been included as **Direct Costs** of fee administration only when services were identified as being directly related to impact fee ordinances. In each Divisional Cost Summary, the Impact Fee Cost Factor is also used to allocate a portion of each division's **Indirect Costs** to fee administration. Total divisional indirect cost amounts have been derived from depreciation schedules and the Central Services Full Cost Allocation Plan prepared for FY 2016. These documents establish a distribution of County-wide administrative costs to all funds/departments/divisions.

County-wide administrative costs assigned directly to impact fee funds in the full cost plan are described in a section titled **County-Wide Administrative Costs**. These costs include allocations from Budget Services, Internal Audit, Non-Departmental, Finance, County Manager, County Commissioners, Purchasing and County Attorney.

A summary of total impact fee administrative costs identified by this study are shown in Part II, **Summary of Findings**. Part III of this report provides a more detailed presentation of how **Departmental Allocations** of costs were determined.

Section II

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Table 1.00 presents a department/division breakdown of administrative costs devoted to Impact Fee Administration. The determination of departmental administrative costs is compared to the actual 2016 administrative fee transfers made from Impact Fee Funds to the County MSTU Fund.

The fee transferred from the Impact Fee Fund is lower by \$30,362. Cumulatively over the past twenty six (26) years, there is a deficit in the Administrative recovery of \$ 476,118 (See Table 1.11, Page 4).

TABLE 1.00 SUMMARY OF TOTAL IMPACT FEE ADMIN	ISTRATION COSTS
LEE COUNTY, FLORIDA	
DEPARTMENT/DIVISION	FY 2016
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DCD Director's Office Other Divisions	\$ 17,591 62,493
TRANSPORTATION & ENGINEERING SERVICES Engineering Services Planning Section	4,129
COUNTY-WIDE ADMINISTRATION Other County-wide Administrative Costs	227,320
PARKS AND RECREATION Administration Support Section	36,176
TOTAL IMPACT FEE ADMINISTRATION COSTS	\$ 347,709
3.00% Administrative Fees Retained	<u>\$ 317,347</u>
Excess Fees over Administrative Cost	<u>\$-30,362</u>

Table 1.11 provides a cumulative comparison of this year's Impact Fee Administrative Cost to the previous twenty five (25) years.

The divisions primarily responsible for impact fee administration continues to be Community Development Divisions, Transportation Planning Division and Internal Services Fiscal.

The reasons for the fluctuation over time are discussed in depth in Section III of this report. The increases regarding indirect costs have been identified from the Central Services Full Cost Allocation Plan and will also be addressed in Section III.

		LEE COUNTY'S IMPACT	
Fiscal <u>Year</u>	Administrative Fees Retained <u>3.00%</u>	Actual Administrative Costs	Excess Fees Retained
<u>1021</u> FY 90-2000	<u>5.00%</u> \$4,494,571	\$3,928,831	\$565,740
FY 2001	594,749	433,351	161,398
FY 2002	592,443	375,128	217,315
FY 2003	776,797	655,051	121,746
FY 2004	1,957,369	1,215,008	742,361
FY 2005	2,370,683	1,241,725	1,128,958
FY 2006	1,460,512	1,292,200	168,312
FY 2007	691,805	1,121,942	-430,137
FY 2008	334,049	967,182	-633,133
FY 2009	167,036	597,286	-430,250
FY 2010	88,614	446,114	-357,500
FY 2011	82,572	494,338	-411,766
FY 2012	88,340	374,640	-286,300
FY 2013	70,207	352,086	-281,879
FY 2014	56,330	358,343	-302,013
FY 2015	25,913	444,521	-418,608
FY 2016	317,347	347,709	-30,362
TOTAL	<u>\$14,169,337</u>	<u>\$14,645,455</u>	<u>\$ -476,118</u>

Section III

DEPARTMENTAL ALLOCATIONS

DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

The daily responsibilities of administering Lee County's Impact Fee programs are assigned to various divisions within the Community Development Department as shown in Table 2.00. The majority of impact fee administrative work occurs in this department.

MMUNITY DEVELOPMENT	
,	_
	FY 2016
DCD Director's Office	\$ 17,591
Other Divisions	62,493
	\$ 80,084

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT Director's Office

Table 2.11 reflects the estimated percentage of each position's workload associated with fee administration in the Department of Community Development Director's Office. Surveys of staff time indicated that these duties are limited to the Director. Responsibilities included the supervision and coordination of the activities performed by subordinate divisions. This official participated in policy development, planning activities and also may be called upon to make final determinations of impact fee charges and credits. Corresponding to the percentage of time spent with impact fees is an amount of the total salary of the employee(s). This salary percent is then compared to total divisional salaries in the Director's Office and an impact fee cost factor of 1.1532% is determined.

TABLE 2.11 DIVISIONAL SA	LARY ANA	LYSIS	
DCD DIRECTOR'S OFFICE			
Position	% of time	FY 201 total salary	impact fee salary
DCD Director	5.83%	144,300	\$ 8,413
Total Impact Fee Salaries			\$ 8,413
divided by: Total Divisional Salaries			\$ 729,553
Impact Fee Cost Factor			1.1532%

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT Director's Office Cont.

The total allocation of costs from the DCD Director's Office has been calculated by applying the Cost Factor, as determined by the salary devoted to impact fees, to Fringe Benefits, Operating Expenses and Indirect Costs. These proportionate shares of expenses, excluding capital outlay, identified a total impact fee of \$17,591.

TABLE 2,12	DIVISIONAL	COST	SUMMARY		
				_	
DCD DIRECTOR'S OFF	ICE				
				FY 2016	
			•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	IM	IPACT
Descri	ption		TOTAL	FEE	COSTS
Salaries (from	TABLE 2.1	1)			\$ 8,413
Benefits/Other		,	\$ 332,399		3,833*
Operating Expe			357,351		4,121*
Capital Outlay	<i>T</i>		0		
DIRECT COSTS					\$ 16,367
INDIRECT COSTS			106,099		1,224
TOTAL IMPACT FEE	COSTS	•			\$ 17,591
* Impact Fee Cost = Tot	al Costs x Impa	ct Fee	Cost Factor (from T	ABLE 2.11)	
** Source of Indirect	Cost: FY 201	.6 Full	Cost Plan/Depred	ciation Repo	ort

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

Table 2.31 reflects the remaining workload activities within the Department of Community Development. Surveys of staff indicated that these duties included the positions of a Director, Building Official, a full time Impact Fee Coordinator, Permit Supervisor and two Permit Representatives. Duties included the assessment of fees in conjunction with building permits, monitoring of zoning changes to determine their affect on previously assessed fees and providing information to the public regarding impact fee requirements. The percentage of each staff member's time is compared to divisional salaries and an impact fee cost factor of 1.2654% is determined.

TABLE 2.31 DIVISIONAL SALARY	ANALYSIS		
DEVELOPMENT SERVICES DIVISION			
		FY 201	б
	% of	total	impact fee
Position	time	salary	salary
Permit Supervisor III	10%	46,098	4,610
Building Official	3%	117,000	3,510
Impact Fee Coordinator	33%	41,964	13,848
Permit Representative I	10응	31,720	3,172
Permit Representative II	15%	47,892	7,184
Permitting Supervisor	5%	77,064	3,853
Total Impact Fee Salaries			\$ 36,177
divided by: Total Divisional Salaries			\$2,859,000
Impact Fee Cost Factor			1.2654%

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT Other Divisions (Cont.)

The total allocation of costs from the Development Services Division is computed by applying the cost factor to Salaries (from Table 2.31), Fringe Benefits, Operating Costs and Indirect Costs below in Table 2.32. The proportionate share of these costs excluding Capital Outlay is \$62,493.

	TABLE 2.32 DIVISIONAL COST S	UMMARY			
0'	THER DIVISIONS				
			FY 2016		
			IMI	PACT	
	Description	TOTAL	FEE	COSTS	
	Salaries (from TABLE 2.31) Benefits/Other Other Operating Capital Outlay	1,132,331 685,423 0		\$ 36,177 14,329* 8,673*	
	DIRECT COSTS		<u></u>	\$ 59,179	
	INDIRECT COSTS**	261,871		3,314	
	TOTAL IMPACT FEE COSTS			\$ 62,493	
	 * Impact Fee Cost = Total Costs x Impact Fee ** Source of Indirect Costs: FY 2016 Full Co 				

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION SERVICES

Various responsibilities of administering Lee County's Impact Fee in relation to the County's Road Impact Fee programs are assigned to one division within the Department of Transportation Services. This division is the Engineering Services Planning Section. The following Table (3.00) summarizes the allocation of administrative impact fee costs to the Department of Transportation Services.

TABLE 3.00	DEPARTMENTAL COST SUMMARY	
ANSPORTATION	SERVICES	
		FY 2016
Engineering	Services Planning Section	FY 2016 \$ 4,129

TRANSPORTATION SERVICES Engineering Services Planning Section

Table 3.21 reflects the bulk of the workload activities within the Department of Transportation Services as performed by staff in the Engineering Services Planning Section. Surveys indicated that these duties are maintained by the Director, Operations Manager, Project Manager, Technician II and Senior Planner. The responsibilities included the determination of fee amounts and the approving and processing of fee credits and the computing of proportionate shares of fees for the Development of Regional Impact Analyses. The percentage of each staff person's time is factored against total divisional salaries to identify an impact fee cost factor of .7160%.

TABLE 3.21DIVISIONAL SALARY	ANALYSIS	· · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
ENGINEERING SERVICES PLANNING SECTION			
		FY 201	L6
	% of	total	impact fee
Position	time	salary	salary
Operations Manager	4%		\$
DOT Director (1)	28		
DOT Director (2)	1%		
Senior Planner	5%		
Technician II	5%	43,925	2,196
Total Impact Fee Salaries			\$ 2,196
divided by: Total Divisional Salaries			\$306,686
Impact Fee Cost Factor	<u> </u>		.7160%

TRANSPORTATION SERVICES Engineering Services Planning Section (Cont.)

The total allocation of costs from the Engineering Services Planning Section has been calculated by applying the cost factor to Fringe Benefits, Operating Costs and Indirect Costs. This proportionate share of expenses including Salaries (from Table 3.21) and excluding capital outlay, grants and aids have operating costs of \$4,129.

NGINEERING SERVICES PLANNING SE	CTION	
	FY	2016
		IMPACT
Description	TOTAL	FEE COSTS
Salaries (from TABLE 3.21)		\$ 2,196
Benefits/Other	\$126,923	909*
Other Operating	72,239	517*
Capital Outlay/Grants	37,213	
DIRECT COSTS		\$ 3,622
INDIRECT COSTS**	\$ 70,805	507
TOTAL IMPACT FEE COSTS	······································	\$ 4,129

COUNTY-WIDE INDIRECT COSTS

In addition to the allocable costs derived from each of the three departments and one division discussed in preceding sections of this report, Lee County also incurs costs for more generalized administrative support of impact fee programs. This section of the report (Table 4.00) addresses costs of management activities performed by staff of Budget Services, Non-Departmental, Internal Audit, Finance, Purchasing, County Commissioners, County Manager and the County Attorney's Office.

UNTY-WIDE INDI	RECT COSTS	
		<u> </u>
Budget /Non-De	partmental/Purchasing	\$111,201
	-	
County Attorn	ey/Internal Audit	16
Finance/Commi	ssioners/County Manager	116,103
TOTAL DEPARTN	ENTAL COSTS	\$227,320

COUNTY-WIDE INDIRECT COSTS Other County-Wide Indirect Costs

The remaining impact fee administration costs identified by this study are derived from the Central Services Full Cost Allocation Plan prepared for the County 2016 fiscal period. These costs represent shares of operating costs of the County Attorney, Internal Audit, Finance, Non-Departmental, Purchasing, County Commissioners, County Manager and Budget Services.

Distribution of Budget Services, Internal Audit, County Manager, Commissioners and the County Attorney's Office are based upon percentage estimates of time devoted to Impact Fee Funds. Finance and Non-Departmental costs were allocated on the number of accounting transactions per department. Costs associated with Purchasing were based on purchase order processing. Detailed information regarding these costs was included in the FY 2016 Central Services Full Cost Allocation Plan. Table 4.11 provides a breakdown of the fiscal year's cost allocations by the departmental source of costs and each Impact Fee Fund.

		.6		
		Sources	of Indirect	Costs
Fund	Fund	Bud/Pur	Atty/Com	FY 2016
#	Title	Non-Dept	Fin/Oth	TOTALS
101	Fire Impact Fees	\$19,649	\$ 26,791	\$ 46,440
186	Comm Park Impact Fees	19,562	25,401	44,963
187	Regional Park Impact Fees	17,567	13,127	30,694
188	Road Impact Fees	18,268	17,546	35,814
189	EMS Impact Fees	17,914	15,386	33,300

COUNTY-WIDE INDIRECT COSTS Other County-Wide Indirect Costs(Cont.)

The following areas of impact fee administration were included starting in FY 2002 and derive from the FY 2016 Central Services Full Cost Allocation Plan. These costs represent shares of operating costs of the County Attorney, Internal Audit, Finance, Non-Departmental and Budget Services.

Distribution of Budget Services, Internal Audit, Commissioners, County Manager and the County Attorney's Office are based upon percentage estimates of time devoted to Impact Fee Funds. Finance and Non-Departmental costs were allocated on the number of accounting transactions per department. Detailed information regarding these costs was included in the FY 2016 Central Services Full Cost Allocation Plan. Table 4.12 provides a breakdown of the fiscal year's cost allocations by the departmental source of costs and each Impact Fee Fund.

JUNTY -	WIDE INDIRECT COSTS - 1	FY 2016		
		Courses	of Indirect	Costs
Fund	Fund	Budget	Attorney	FY 2016
#	Title	-	Fin/Oth	TOTALS
185	School Impact Fees		\$ 17,868	\$ 36,109
610	FMB Impact Fees			
611	Bonita Impact Fees			
630	Bonita Road Impact Fe	es		
		<u> </u>		
	TOTALS	\$18,241	\$17,868	\$ 36,109

DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION

Various routine responsibilities of administering Lee County's Impact Fee programs are assigned to the Parks and Recreation Administrative Section. The following Table (5.00) summarizes the allocation of administrative impact fee costs in fulfilling impact fee tasks.

TABLE 5.00	DEPARTMENTAL COST SUMMARY	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		l
ministrative Sup	port Section	1
		FY 2016
Administrative	Support Section	\$36,176
TOTAL DEPARTME	TTAL COSTS	\$36,176

Department of Parks and Recreation Administrative Support Section

Table 5.11 reflects the workload activities within the Department of Parks and Recreation Administrative Section. The staff associated with impact fees are comprised of a Director, Deputy Director, GIS Manager, Manager and Fiscal Manager. Duties include forecasting, coordinating community meetings and plans review pertaining to impact fees. The percentage of each staff member's time is compared to divisional salaries and an impact fee cost factor of .1919% is determined.

TABLE 5.11 DIVISIONAL SALARY	ANALYSIS		
Administrative Support Section			
		FY 201	6
	% of	total	impact fee
Position	time	salary	salary
Director Deputy Director Planner Fiscal Manager GIS Manager	4 % 4 % 4 % 4 % 0 %	115,299 99,294 66,111 90,035 0	
Total Impact Fee Salaries divided by: Total Divisional Salaries		-	\$ 14,829 7,725,874
Impact Fee Cost Factor			.1919%

DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION Administrative Support Section (Cont.)

The total allocation of costs from the Parks and Recreation Administrative Section is computed by applying the cost factor to Salaries (from Table 5.11), Fringe Benefits and Indirect Costs below in Table 5.12. The proportionate share of these costs excluding Capital Outlay is \$36,176.

TABLE 5.12 DIVISIONAL COST &	SUMMARY	
ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT SECTION		
	FY	2016
Description	TOTAL	IMPACT FEE COSTS
Salaries (from TABLE 7.11) Benefits/ Other Operating Capital/Grants	3,940,398 2,894,589 272,346	\$ 14,829 7,562* 5,555*
DIRECT COSTS INDIRECT COSTS**	4,288,910	\$ 27,946 8,230
TOTAL IMPACT FEE COSTS		\$ 36,176
 * Impact Fee Cost = Total Costs x Impact Fee ** Source of Indirect Costs: FY 2016 Full Co 		