Lee County Board of County Commissioners **Agenda Item Summary**

Blue Sheet No. 20061254-UTL

1. Action Requested/Purpose:

Conduct Public Hearing on November 14, 2006 at 5:00 p.m. to adopt resolution to approve the schedule of fees increases for the Greater Pine Island Water Association, Inc.

2. What Action Accomplishes:

3. Management Recommendation:

A public hearing is required for the purpose of adopting a resolution for increasing franchisee water system rates and charges. Advertising and conducting a public hearing will allow consideration of increasing water system rates and charges for providing necessary revenue as recommended in the Water Rate Study performed by PRMG, Inc.

Approval.				
4. Departmental Category: 10 - Utilities	DU 1	5.	Meeting Date: N	ov.

4. 20,	partmentar category	. 10 - Oth	5:00P	n PHI	5. Meeting Date.	Nov. 19, 2006		
6. Agenda:		7. Requ	uirement/Purpo	se (specify)	8. Request Initiated:			
	Consent		Statute		Commissioner			
	Administrative		Ordinance		Department	Public Works		
	Appeals		Admin. Code		Division	Utilities		
X	Public	X	Other	Approval	By:	FOR D. MEURER		
	Walk-On					eurer, P.E., Director		
9. Ba	ckground:					10-19-06		

The Lee County Utilities Director received a request from the GPIWA General Manager to increase their rates as recommended in the recent Water Rate Study performed by their rate consultant, PRMG, Inc. The GPIWA has had a water system franchise from Lee County since February 10, 1965 and is required pursuant to its franchise, to bring all rates, fees and charges to the BOCC for final approval. Lee County Utilities has analyzed the study and found it to provide justification for the increase. This study was also reviewed by the Office of the County Attorney. The last rates adjustment was approved on May 11, 2004 under BS No. 20040456.

The premise for the current rate study was to calculate how much revenue would be needed over the next eight years to replenish the emergency reserve fund and supply adequate capital funding to keep the system infrastructure viable. The assessment indicated that beginning in January 2007, a 22% rate increase would be needed to replenish the company's emergency reserve fund, meet current debt service covenants, and finance needed capital projects over the next eight years. A 22% increase will mean a monthly water bill increase to members ranging from \$2.44 thru \$8.38, depending upon number of monthly gallons used. In addition, capital charges on new home water meter hook-ups would increase from \$1,523 to \$2,083.

On October 17, 2006 the BOCC approved the Petition and authorized staff to advertise and schedule a Public Hearing for November 14, 2006.

Attachments:

Petition Letter dated 9-5-06

Rate Increase Overview by GPIWA

GPIWA Water Rate Study by PRMG, Inc. dated July 18, 2006

Resolution Notice of Intent

10. Review for Scheduling

Department Director	Purchasing or Contracts	Human Res.	Other	County Attorney	Budget Services			County Manager / P.W. Director	
Edunda O Lavender Date: 10-30-06	N/A Date:	N/A Date:	N/A Date:	S. Coovert Date: 10/20/06	Analyst	Risk	Grants 10/25/g	Mgr. 10 B)	J. Lavender Date: 10.20 de

10/20/06 2:4200

Date:	N/A Date:	N/A Date:	N/A Date:	S. Coovert Date:	10.23.06	1983	10/25/4	10/3/10	Date Date
r	nission Action Approved Deferred Denied	on:			REC JO CO.	, , , , , , , , , ,	à	RECEIVI COUNTY 10/21	

FORWARDED TO: 25/06

COUNTY ADMIN

ADMIN:

A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF LEE COUNTY, FLORIDA GRANTING THE APPLICATION OF THE GREATER PINE ISLAND WATER ASSOCIATION, INC., FOR A REVISION TO ITS WATER SYSTEM RATE STRUCTURE IN ITS FRANCHISE AREA WITHIN LEE COUNTY.

WHEREAS, the Greater Pine Island Water Association, Inc. is the present holder of a water franchise in Lee County, Florida, granted by a Resolution of the Board of County Commissioners ("Board") in and for Lee County, Florida, on the 10th day of February, 1965, and extended by Resolution of the Board on the 17th day of July, 1991; and

WHEREAS, the Greater Pine Island Water Association, Inc. has, pursuant to said franchise authority, made application for a revision to its water system rate structure by Petition duly filed with the Board; and

WHEREAS, the Greater Pine Island Water Association, Inc. has notified the Board that they intend to issue revenue bonds in accordance with its contract with the Florida Department Finance Corporation ("FDFC") to finance certain capital improvements to the water system; and

WHEREAS, the Board has set the said Petition for a public hearing on Tuesday, November 14, 2006, at 5:00 p.m. and caused due notice thereof to be published two (2) times in the Fort Myers New Press, copies of said notice attached hereto; and

WHEREAS, said public hearing was held on Tuesday, November 14, 2006, in the Board of County Commissioners' Chamber, Fort Myers, Florida, at which time the Greater

Pine Island Water Association, Inc., by and through its duly authorized representatives, presented evidence in support of its application for a revision to its water system rate structure and all interested parties were permitted to question witnesses and to make a statement of record, and the Board, after being fully advised in the premises has determined as follows:

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF LEE COUNTY, FLORIDA, that:

- The revisions to the water system rate structure as proposed by the Greater
 Pine Island Water Association, Inc., in its Petition for a water system rate
 structure, a copy of which is attached hereto and incorporated herein as
 though set forth at length, is hereby granted.
- 2. The revised water system rate structure shall become effective on a date to be determined by the Board of Directors of the Greater Pine Island Water Association, Inc., as stated in its By-Laws, not to exceed sixty (60) days from the Board of County Commissioners' adoption of this Resolution.
- This Resolution shall take effect immediately upon its adoption by the Board of County Commissioners.
- 4. The Board of County Commissioners hereby authorizes the FDFC to issue its limited obligation taxable revenue bonds in such principal amount necessary to finance, among other things, the costs of the proposed Project and the costs of issuance related to such bonds. Lee County shall not be liable for the indebtedness, liability, or obligation of Lee County, or the State of Florida, or any political subdivision thereof or a pledge of the faith and

credit or any taxing power of Lee County or the State of Florida or any political subdivision thereof, but shall be limited obligations of FDFC, payable solely from and secured by a pledge of payments made to FDFC and other funds provided thereof.

(BALANCE OF PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK)

The foregoing Resoluti	on was adopted by the Lee County Board of County
Commissioners upon a motion	by Commissioner, and seconded by
Commissioner	and, upon being put to a vote as follows:
BOB JAI	ES
DOUGLA	S ST. CERNY
RAY JUI	AH
TAMMAI	RA HALL
JOHN E.	ALBION
DULY PASSED AND AD	OPTED THIS day of, 2006.
ATTEST: CHARLIE GREE CLERK OF COURTS	N BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF LEE COUNTY, FLORIDA
BY:	BY: Tammara Hall, Chairwoman
Deputy Glerk	
	APPROVED AS TO FORM:
	BY:
	Office of the County Attorney

LEE COUNTY NOTICE OF INTENT TO ENACT A COUNTY RESOLUTION

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that on **Tuesday**, the **14**th day of **November**, **2006**, at **5:00 o'clock p.m.**, in the County Commissioners' Meeting Room, Old Lee County Courthouse, 2120 Main Street, Fort Myers, Florida, the Board of County Commissioners of Lee County, Florida, will consider the enactment of a County Resolution pursuant to Chapter 125, Florida Statutes. The title of the proposed County Resolution is as follows:

A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF LEE COUNTY, FLORIDA GRANTING THE APPLICATION OF THE GREATER PINE ISLAND WATER ASSOCIATION, INC., FOR A REVISION TO ITS WATER SYSTEM RATE STRUCTURE IN ITS FRANCHISE AREA WITHIN LEE COUNTY.

- 1. Copies of this Notice and the proposed Resolution are on file in the Minutes Office of the Clerk of Courts of Lee County. The public may inspect or copy the proposed Resolution during regular business hours at the Office of Public Resources. The Minutes Office and Public Resources are located in the Courthouse Administration Building, 2115 Second Street, Fort Myers, Florida. Public Resources is located on the first floor and the Minutes Office is located on the second floor of the Courthouse Administration Building.
- 2. Interested parties may appear at the meeting in person or through counsel, and be heard with respect to the adoption of the proposed Resolution.
- 3. Anyone wishing to appeal the decision(s) made by the Board with respect to any matter considered at this meeting, will need a record of the proceedings for such appeal, and may need a verbatim record, to include all testimony and evidence upon which the appeal is to be based.
 - 4. The Resolution shall take effect immediately upon its adoption by the Board

of County Commissioners at the public hearing.

5. If you have a disability that will require special assistance or accommodations for your attendance at the public hearing, please call the Lee County Office of Public Resources at 335-2269 for information.

PLEASE GOVERN YOURSELF ACCORDINGLY.

The text of this Notice is in conformance with Section 125.66, Florida Statutes (2005), and other relevant sections of Florida law.

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF LEE COUNTY, FLORIDA

By: ______ Charlis Croon Ex Officia Clark

Charlie Green, Ex-Officio Clerk to the Board of County Commissioners of Lee County, Florida

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

3y: - 904 2 1/2/11

Office of the County Attorney

Ad Size: 2 x 5

Publishing Dates: October 31, 2006 and

November 7, 2006



September 5,2006

Douglas L. Meurer, P.E. Utilities Director Lee County 1500 Monroe Street, 3rd Floor Fort Myers, Fl. 33901

Re: Petition for Water Rate Increase

Dear Mr. Meurer,

The Greater Pine Island Water Association, Inc. (GPIWA) wishes to petition the Lee County Board of County Commissioners for a public hearing to approve the schedule of fees as set forth within the attached PRMG <u>Water Rate Study</u> (dated July, 2006). To that end, I am requesting that you develop a "blue sheet" on our behalf and subsequently schedule the necessary staff reviews and necessary public hearing(s) that will ultimately get our request for a water rate increase before the Lee County Board of County Commissioners for approval.

I have enclosed for your review and dissemination as needed, the following documentation:

- Draft Resolution
- Copy of the PRMG Water Rate Study, 2006
- GPIWA's General Manager's Overview of the Study

Should you have any questions, or need additional information, please contact me.


Cordially,

William J. Thacher General Manager

wthacher@pineislandwater.com

cc: David Owen, Lee County Attorney's Office

SEP 21 2008



Rate Increase Overview:

William Thacher, General Manager

Two years after the storm, recovery from Hurricane Charley is almost complete. To date, 11,000 feet of fence line has been replaced, all of the roofs on the Association's four buildings have been repaired, and the interior damage to the production area of the water plant has been renovated. Putting the administrative office back together after Hurricane Charley has proven to be a very difficult task. The interior was flooded when the roof blew off, so the office literally had to be stripped to the bare walls and reconstructed. Final cost for Hurricane Charley Repairs will top \$1,000,000.

Hurricane Wilma created a whole other set of problems. The main waterline that runs from Pine Island Center, through Matlacha, and continuing off-island was severed in two places. The first separation was under the bridge near the Sandy Hook restaurant. This line break cut off all of the water service to Matlacha and points east. Emergency crews labored day and night to put a temporary waterline in place on top of the bridge to bring water service back to most of Matlacha; cost \$60,000. Unfortunately the other waterline separation was under the Matlacha Draw Bridge. Because the bridge opens, a temporary waterline could not be constructed. Fortunately GPIWA and the City of Cape Coral have an inter-local agreement for emergency water service and an agreement with the City was quickly put into place to supply water to GPIWA members east of the Matlacha Bridge until a replacement waterline can be installed. Water service on an emergency basis was restored to all members just before Thanksgiving Day.

While the Sandy Hook waterline was quickly replaced at a cost of \$250,000, replacing the 1,200-foot waterline under the Matlacha Bridge has been complicated by the fact that the broken waterline cannot be replaced in its current location. A 3,000-foot replacement waterline will have to be constructed at least 500-feet South of the Matlacha Bridge because Lee-DOT's schedule calls for them to build a new bridge in the area where the old waterline is currently positioned. Cost estimates to move the waterline 500-feet to the south where it will have to circumvent Matlacha is estimated to be \$2.2 million dollars. Until the new waterline is in place GPIWA continues to buy water from the City at an average cost of \$26,400/month. To date, water purchases from the City have totaled \$290,000.

The Association's estimated recovery cost and revenue loss due to Hurricane's Charley and Wilma are estimated to be in the four million dollar range. Insurance proceeds and FEMA compensation to date has amounted to \$1.18 million dollars. Another FEMA award for the Matlacha Bridge waterline could amount to \$1.3 million but at this time is not guaranteed. It is anticipated that at the end of 2006, GPIWA will have less than \$100,000 in the reserves. The prediction of future strong hurricane seasons and an aging water system that is starting to need increasing attention and capital repair/replacement dictates that a diminished reserve fund must be replenished as soon as is practical.

Having concerns about the depleted emergency reserve fund and the amount of repairs still to be made, the Greater Pine Island Water Association Board of Director's had a financial assessment and rate study completed by PRMG, Inc., the company often used by Lee County Utilities for such studies. The premise for the assessment and rate study was to calculate how much revenue would be needed over the next eight years to replenish the emergency reserve fund and supply adequate capital money to keep the system infrastructure viable. The assessment indicated that a 22% rate increase beginning in January 2007 would be needed to replenish the company's emergency reserve fund, meet current debt service covenants, and finance needed capital projects over the next 8 years.


A 22% increase will mean a monthly water bill increase to members of:

Monthly Gallons Used	Existing Rate	Proposed Rate	Monthly Difference
0	\$11.09	\$13.53	\$2.44
2,000	\$15.75	\$19.21	\$3.46
4,000	\$20,96	\$25,57	\$4.61
5,000	\$23.56	\$28.75	\$5.19
8,000	\$32,28	\$39.38	\$7.10
10.000	\$38.09	\$46.47	\$8.38

In addition, Capital charges on new home water meter hook-ups would increase from \$1,523 to \$2,083.

The expenditures needed to recover from Hurricane's Charley and Wilma are certainly the force that is driving the need for this rate increase. However, they are not the only considerations. As stated previously, GPIWA needs to have adequate reserve funding to meet the covenants required by our debt service (page 11 of the study); we have not done this over the last two years. Our lender, CO-Bank has waived the requirement to date, with the understanding we will get back on track in 2006/2007. From January 1, 2006 through July 31, 2006, GPIWA has lost (spent out of reserves) \$82,889 for general operation and maintenance function. This is after all capital spending for renovation and improvements was curtailed. It is estimated that another \$70,000 will be needed for O/M from the reserve fund to finish the year. The losses are primarily due to expenditures for attorney's fees to defend our Sandoval and Wal-Mart service areas, engineering fees for the Sandy Hook and Matlacha Bridge's sub-aqueous waterline, and the cost to buy water from the City of Cape Coral (\$26,400/month) until the Matlacha Bridge sub-aqueous waterline is fixed (estimated completion date is December 2006.

GREATER PINE ISLAND WATER ASSOCIATION



2006 WATER RATE STUDY UPDATE

July 18, 2006



Public Resources Management Group, Inc.

Utility, Rate, Financial and Management Consultants

July 18, 2006

PRMG #1035-05

Honorable President and Members of the Board of Directors Greater Pine Island Water Association, Inc. 5281 Pine Island Road Bokeelia, FL 33922

Subject:

2006 Water Rate Study Update

Ladies and Gentlemen:

We have completed a review of the existing water rates, capital charges, and miscellaneous fees for the Greater Pine Island Water Association (the "Association" or "GPIWA") and have summarized the results of our analyses, assumptions, and conclusions in this report, which is submitted for your consideration. The existing rates for water service include a recently adopted rate index adjustment of 3.0%, which became effective on June 1, 2006. The index was predicated upon the recommendations of the 2004 Water Rate Study and the subsequent annual indexing provision as approved by the Lee County Board of County Commissioners (BOCC) on May 11, 2004. Based upon this provision, the Association may increase all rates, charges, and fees on an annual basis by up to 3.0% per year. As will be discussed in more detail within this report, this analysis relies upon the Association's ability to continue indexing its rates and charges on an annual basis beginning in Calendar Year 2008 as allowed by this indexing provision previously adopted by the BOCC.

Since the 2004 Water Rate Study, the Association has incurred significant cost increases, which have resulted primarily from recent hurricanes and increased power costs and labor-related costs such as health insurance. The need to fund these hurricane-related repairs and maintenance and to address the Association's overall rise in operating costs, the Association authorized Public Resources Management Group, Inc. (PRMG) to review the water rates, capital charges, and miscellaneous fees of the system.

In preparing the updated analysis of the Association's existing water rates, capital charges, and miscellaneous fees proposed herein, we have relied upon, among other things, the Adopted Budget for the Water System for the calendar year ended December 31, 2006, detailed customer statistics and data compiled by the Association, fixed asset records, annual financial statements, and other historical and projected data made available by the Association. The projections of the water system operations for the forecast period ending December 31, 2011 were based on i) recent system growth in the customer base of the water system; ii) trends regarding system

revenue and expenses; iii) the Association's plans for system expansion, system upgrades, renewals and replacements; and iv) anticipated changes in staffing and operations.

EXISTING WATER RATES

The current water rates for the Association were adopted and made effective on June 1, 2006 by the Association pursuant to the existing annual indexing provision approved by the Association's Board of Directors and by the Lee County Board of County Commissioners on May 11, 2004. The annual indexing provision was based upon the 2004 Water Rate Study in order to recover costs associated with the effect of inflation on operating expenditure needs.

The Association has established that reasonable rates should be charged to the consumers of water service. The rates have been set in relationship to the costs incurred by the Association in providing service, and reasonable classifications of customers have been established that are not arbitrary or discriminatory, and the rates apply similarly to all customers within a class under like conditions.

The Association currently has three major customer designations for utility service that are Residential, Residential Multi-Family and Commercial. The residential class consists of all individually metered single-family residences, while the residential multi-family class includes mobile home/travel trailer parks, multi-family units on master meters (such as duplexes, triplexes, and condominiums). Commercial accounts include non-residential customers such as schools, public buildings, shopping centers, restaurants, plant nurseries, offices, and other businesses.

The water rates currently in effect have a rate structure that includes: i) a minimum monthly charge based on meter size for single family residential and commercial accounts and number of units for master-metered multifamily accounts; and ii) an inverted usage charge to promote water conservation.

(Remainder of page intentionally left blank)

The existing rates for water service effective for bills rendered on or after June 1, 2006 by class of customer are as follows:

Existing Water Rates	
Residential Water Services	
Monthly Service Base Rate (per account):	
All Meters	\$3.17
Monthly Ready-to-Serve Charge (per account):	
Water Meter Size (inches)	
5/8-inch	\$7.92
3/4-inch	11.92
l-inch	19.84
Usage Charge per 1,000 gallons of water (per acco	unt):
All Meters	
0-2,000	\$2,33
3-5,000	2.61
6-10,000	2.91
11 - 15,000	3.64
Above 15,000	4.36
	1.0
Multi-Family Water Services	
Monthly Service Base Rate (per account):	
All Meters	\$3.17
Monthly Ready-to-Serve Charge (per unit):	Ψ, τ, /
Water Meter Size	
Duplex/Triplex/MH Park	\$4.00
Travel Frailer Parks	2.36
Condominiums	7.11
Usage Charge per 1,000 gallons of water (per unit)	
Water Meter Size	
Duplex/Triplex/MH Park	
0-1,000	\$2.33
1 – 2,000	92.55 2.61
3 – 5,000	2.91
6-7,000	3,64
Above 7,000	3.64 4.36
Travel Trailer Parks	4.30
0-1,000	00.00
	\$2.33
1-2,000	2.61
3-3,000	2.91
4-4,000	3,64
Above 4,000	4.36
Condominiums	
0-2,000	\$2.33
2 – 4,000	2.61
5 - 9,000	2.91
10 — 13,000	3.64
Above 13,000	4.36

Existing	g Water Rates	
ommercial Water Services		
Monthly Service Base Rate (per account):	
All Meters		\$3.1
Monthly Ready-to-Serve Cha	trge (per account):	Ĭ-11
Water Meter Size (inches)	4 11
5/8-inch		\$7.9
3/4-inch		11.9
I-inch		19.8
1.5-inch	and the second of	39.6
2-inch		63.3
3⊭inch	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	126.6
4=inch		197.9
6-inch		395.9
Usage Charge per 1,000 gallo	ons of water (per account)	د.د.رد
Water Meter Size (inches)	- = = = = = Ann - = = Ann - = = Ann - = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =	
5/8-inch	'	
0 - 15,000		\$2.9
Above 15,000		3.6
3/4-inch		ى. ر
0-22,000		\$2.9
Above 22,000	그는 사람이 상황을 가운 개를	3.6
1-inch		ں.د
0-37,000		\$2.9
Above 37,000		3.6
1.5-inch	in the factor of the later	٠,٠٠
0-75,000		\$2.9
Above 75,000		3.6
2-inch		ى.ر
0 - 120,000		\$2.9
Above 120,000		Ψ2.5 3.6
3-inch		5.0
0 – 240,000		\$2.9
Above 240,000		3.6
4-inch		3. 0
0 - 375,000		\$2.9
Above 375,000		
Above 575,000 6-inch		3.6

0 – 750,000		\$2.9
Above 750,000		3.6

HISTORICAL AND PROJECTED CUSTOMER REVENUE

Based upon recent historical trends, the Association's growth in water accounts has averaged approximately 2.0% per year. Following discussions with Association staff, this trend is anticipated to continue through the forecast period, and amounts to approximately 139 new accounts per year on average. The forecasted rate revenues for this analysis are predicated on the

revenues included in the Adopted 2006 Budget, plus the anticipated future customer growth. The following is a summary of recent historical and forecasted water rate revenues:

	Historical [1]			Fore		sted [2]		
	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Metered Water Sales	\$2,026,862	\$2,152,519	\$2,327,331	\$2,403,276	\$2,451,342	\$2,500,369	\$2,550,127	\$2,601.384
Percent Annual Growth	N/A	6.2%	8.1%	3.3%	2.0%	2.0%	2.0%	2.0%

[1] As provided in the Association's Audited Financial Statements for the periods ending December 31, 2004 and 2005.

The Association also collects other revenues such as membership fees, meter fees, late payments, and capital charges to name a few. Generally, these revenues were forecasted to increase in proportion to customer growth. Specifically, the capital charge revenues are based upon newly proposed fees discussed in more detail later in this report. All other revenue from miscellaneous charges to include administrative fees and interest income are anticipated to remain consistent with historical trends and changes in fund balances throughout the forecast period.

REVENUE REQUIREMENTS

The various components of costs associated with the operations, maintenance, financing of the system renewals and replacements and capital improvements are generally considered the revenue requirements of a publicly owned utility system. The totaling of these cost components, after adjusting for other income and other operating revenues available to the utility, results in the total annual net revenue requirements to be recovered from rates. The determination of the revenue requirements for the utility system of the Association was made in a manner generally consistent with the methods employed for other cooperatively-owned utilities. This section provides a discussion of the development of the system revenues, expenditure requirements including assumptions used to project such expenditures, and the estimated rate adjustments necessary to meet the revenue requirements of the water system.

For the purposes of this water rate study, a forward-looking study period has been utilized for the determination of the water system's revenue requirements. An important objective of a projected study period is to establish rates and rate levels that will reflect the projected costs of providing service to ensure continuing and adequate service to meet the near future financial obligations of the system. Designing rates and charges to provide revenues that match future operating needs and other such requirements is an attempt to maintain the financial integrity of the utility system. It was determined that the revenue requirements for this rate study would be predicated on the utility costs for the calendar year period ending December 31, 2006 through 2011.

The development of the estimated revenue requirements for the Association's water system required a number of assumptions about the Association's future utility operations. The Calendar

^[2] Amounts reflect forecasted revenues based upon the 2006 Adopted Budget, the adopted June 1, 2006 Annual Index and the system's underlying customer growth of 2.0% per year. Amounts do not reflect any proposed adjustments presented herein.

Year 2006 served as the base or test year for revenue requirement projection purposes. The Association provided PRMG with a copy of the adopted budget for the Calendar Year 2006, which, after certain adjustments to reflect anticipated changes and assumptions for ratemaking considerations, served as the basis for the projection of the revenue requirements of the study period. The projected net revenue requirements for the water system are found on Table 1 and are summarized below:

Projected Calendar Year Ending December 31, [1]											
Description	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011					
Total Operating Expenses	\$2,603,353	\$2,257,563	\$2,489,790	\$2,608,068	\$2,732,249	\$2,862,859					
Debt Service:											
Existing Debt	\$611,232	\$611,232	\$611,232	\$353,455	\$337,296	\$337,296					
Proposed Debt [2]	0	0	0	240,980	262,887	<u>262,887</u>					
Total Debt Service	\$611,232	\$611,232	\$611,232	\$594,435	\$600,183	\$600,183					
Total Capital Funded from Rates [3]	\$102,500	\$93,300	<u>\$174,000</u>	<u>\$35,800</u>	<u>\$197,100</u>	<u>\$19.000</u>					
Gross Revenue Requirements	\$3,317,085	\$2,962,095	\$3,275,022	\$3,238,302	\$3,529,532	\$3,482,042					
Less Revenues from Other Sources:						ng unavarrana sa Eu Billey Ali diligi					
Other Operating Revenues	\$310,216	\$319,749	\$330,246	\$341,187	\$352,563	\$364,477					
Interest Income	48,063	<u>27,472</u>	<u>27,570</u>	<u>26,901</u>	<u>28,452</u>	31,958					
Net Revenue Required from Rates	<u>\$2,958,806</u>	<u>\$2,614,873</u>	<u>\$2,917,206</u>	<u>\$2,870,214</u>	<u>\$3,148,516</u>	<u>\$3,085,607</u>					

[1] Amounts derived from Table 1

2] Amount reflects additional debt to refinance an existing note.

As can be seen in the above summary, the estimated operating expenses for Calendar Year 2006 significantly decreases between 2006 and 2007 following the elimination of several temporary and one-time charges; however, beginning in 2007, expenses are anticipated to increase by approximately 27% during the study period or approximately 6.1% per year on average. The primary reasons for this increase are due to assumptions regarding anticipated inflation, power cost increases and labor-related cost increases including additional staff as set forth in the Association's Backflow Prevention Program.

The major assumptions and analyses included in the development of the projected revenue requirements for the study period include the following:

1. The projected operating expenses are based on the Adopted 2006 Budget. Following discussions with Association staff, certain charges including contract services, insurance, legal, and engineering were increased immediately to reflect current conditions. However,

^[3] Amounts include items such as vehicles, equipment, fire hydrant placement, and portions of neighborhood upgrades.

staff further identified one-time and temporary charges to be eliminated in subsequent periods, which resulted from current litigation and hurricane-related damages. Table 2 reflects the projection of operating expenses, and provides a brief description of the staff adjustments.

- 2. Based on discussions with the Association, wages and salaries beyond calendar year 2006 budgeted amounts were increased by 5.0% annually to reflect allowances for salary adjustments such as promotions, merit increases and cost of living adjustments. Employee benefits (i.e., contributions toward retirement, FICA, etc.) and unemployment taxes were projected to remain at the same percentage relationship to total salaries as was reflected in the Calendar Year 2006 Budget based on discussions with the Association; however, health insurance costs are assumed to increase 8.0% per year based on recent experience.
- 3. The Association has identified a new Backflow Prevention Program, which is anticipated to begin in Fiscal Year 2008. Based on information provided by the Association, this program will be supported by existing staff plus two (2) new positions: i) a Backflow Technician; and ii) a Water Quality Operator. The costs of the program are listed in Table 1 and include employee salaries and benefits, software, new construction devices and retro-fit devices. No other additional personnel needs have been identified by the Association during this forecast period.
- 4. Operating supplies and expenses, chemicals, contract services, maintenance and repairs, and other variable expenses have been escalated annually at approximately 4.3% to account for the combined effects of inflation and growth in customers. Based on discussions with Association staff, it was estimated that property and liability insurance would increase 5.0% per year.
- 5. With respect to all other operating expenses, such charges were escalated throughout the forecast period based on an annual allowance of approximately 2.2% for inflation.
- 6. In addition to the budgeted expenses and the considerations to rising costs made herein, the forecast also assumes a 3.0% contingency beginning in 2007 to account for unforeseen conditions/expenses. On average, the forecast recognizes a contingency of \$75,000 per year.
- 7. The Association currently has outstanding indebtedness consisting of three (3) loans with the National Bank for Cooperatives (COBANK). As of December 31, 2005, the principal long-term debt totaled \$5.5 million. Based upon an existing loan agreement, Mortgage No. 1833841 will mature in 2009. For the purposes of this analysis, it was assumed that this debt would be refinanced for 20 years at 7.5% interest. The total projected annual average payments for Calendar Years 2006 through 2011 are approximately \$605,000

based on this schedule. Table 3 provides a detailed listing of existing and proposed debt service.

- 8. Interest income has been recognized as an available revenue source to fund the expenditure needs of the system. For the forecast period, interest income was based on estimated balances in interest bearing accounts. Interest earnings are assumed to be 2% annually based on recent earnings levels and Table 4 provides a detailed analysis of interest income and annual cash activity.
- 9. The Association collects revenues from various miscellaneous charges for specific customer requests or needs which serve to reduce monthly rate revenue requirements. Examples of the miscellaneous charges include meter installation charges, late payment charges, deferred service charges, parts and repair sales, administrative fees, membership fees, aid in construction, and other miscellaneous income. These miscellaneous charges were estimated for the calendar year based on a historical analysis of such revenues incurred by the system, a review of the amounts budgeted for the current calendar year, and system growth for the utility. For the forecast period, it was assumed that such charges for administrative fees, deferred service charges, parts and repair sales, miscellaneous income, and aid-in-construction would remain relatively constant based on budgeted calendar year 2006 levels. Late payment charges, meter installation fees, and membership fees are projected to increase at a similar rate to that of growth in customers and revenues.
- 10. Revenues from existing retail rates for the water utility system are shown in Table 1 for the forecasted period, which were based on the Adopted 2006 Budget plus the recently adopted annual index of 3.0% that became effective for bills rendered on or after June 1, 2006.
- 11. The funds available from capital charges have not been included as a revenue in the analysis of revenue requirements shown on Table 1. These amounts are available only to fund capital projects for new customer growth and expansion. It should be noted that the use of such funds has been recognized to fund growth-related capital projects as identified in Table 5, the Capital Improvement Program, thus reducing projects funded from utility revenues or future debt, if any, that are paid from rates of the water system. The use of these funds for the capital projects has the effect of dampening monthly service charges since such projects do not need to be funded from rate revenues. The revenues collected from capital charges was predicated upon the estimated growth in customer accounts and the currently proposed capital charges as discussed later in this report, plus an anticipated annual index of 3.0% beginning in Calendar Year 2008. As shown in Table 4, the following provides a summary of projected capital charge revenues through 2011:

Projected Capital Charge Revenues [1]										
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011				
Estimated New ERUs [2]	149	132	134	137	139	143				
Capital Charge per ERU [3]	<u>\$1,487</u>	\$2,083	<u>\$2,145</u>	<u>\$2,209</u>	\$2,275	\$2,343				
Capital Charge Revenues [4]	\$220,883 §	<u>274,956</u>	<u>\$287,430</u>	<u>\$302,633</u>	<u>\$316,225</u>	<u>\$335,049</u>				

[1] Amounts derived from Table 4.

[2] Reflects estimated customer growth as provided by Association staff.

[3] The existing capital charge is reflected for Calendar Year 2006; however, the currently proposed capital charge is estimated to be effective January 1, 2007. Subsequent charges reflect an estimated annual index of 3.0%, which is consistent with the Association's annual indexing provision.

[4] Amounts reflect capital charge revenues, a portion of which were used to fund expansion-related improvements to serve new growth.

12. Recently, the Association sustained significant damages from hurricanes, of which, the Association anticipates a partial reimbursement for such expenses from the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). The Association accounts for these projects in its Capital Improvement Program that also includes planned expenditures for system expansion, vehicles, equipment, and ongoing system renewals and replacements. The capital plan totals approximately \$5.5 million, which is anticipated to be funded as follows:

Projected Capital Improvement Program [1] 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 Total Percent Summary of Capital Projects \$3,082,500 \$280,300 \$1,003,500 \$343,300 \$641,600 \$201.500 \$5,552,700 N/A Summary of Funding Sources Operating Reserves \$1,612,811 \$132,000 \$238,500 \$257,500 \$204,500 \$182,500 \$2,627,811 47,3% FEMA Contributions 1,347,189 .0 1,347,189 24.3% Rate Revenues 102,500 93,300 174,000 35,800 197,100 19,000 621,700 11.2% Capital Charges 20,000 55,000 591,000 50,000 240,000 956,000 17.2% Total Funding Sources \$3,082,500 \$280,300 \$343,300 \$641,600 \$201,500 \$5,552,700 100.0%

[1] Amounts provided in detail and on a per project basis in Table 5 at the end of this report.

(Remainder of page intentionally left blank)

Based on the forecast of sales for the water system and the assumptions and considerations set forth with respect to the determination of the system expenditures, the existing rate revenue needs of the water system during the forecast period is anticipated to be as follows:

Projected Calendar Year Ending December 31, [1]

Description	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Net Revenue Requirements Revenue from Rates [2]	\$2,958,806 2,287,303	\$2,614,873 2,333,278	\$2,917,206 2,379,944	\$2,870,214 2,427,543	\$3,148,516 2,475,851	\$3,085,607 2,525,616
Preliminary Rate Surplus/(Deficiency)	(\$671,503)	(\$281,595)	(\$537,262)	(\$442,671)	(\$672,665)	(\$559,991)
Proposed System-Wide Adjustment	3.0% [3]	22.0%	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%
Effective Month Additional Revenue from Proposed	June	January	January	January	January	January
Rate Adjustments Projected Revenue	40,028	_598,719	700,413	<u>808,680</u>	<u>923,792</u>	<u>1,046,399</u>
Surplus/(Deficiency) [4]	<u>(\$631,475)</u>	<u>\$317,124</u>	<u>\$163,151</u>	<u>\$366,009</u>	\$251,126	\$ <u>486,408</u>

[1] Amounts derived from Table 1.

[3] Amount reflects the recently adopted annual index of 3.0%, which became effective with bills rendered on or after June 1, 2006.

As can be seen above, based on the projection summarized in this study, the Association's current water rates are not sufficient to meet the water system's revenue requirements over the forecast period. A system-wide rate adjustment of 22.0% is required in addition to the annual index adopted in June 2006 to satisfy the Association's anticipated financial obligations and should be effective with bills rendered on or after January 1, 2007. Subsequent to Calendar Year 2007, it is estimated that an annual increase of 3% based on the annual indexing provision as approved by the Lee County Board of County Commissioners should be sufficient to meet the needs of the system. In addition to the assumptions and considerations outlined in this section, the following financial goals and considerations were identified by the Association for the purposes of this analysis:

- Minimum Cash Reserve Balance of \$500,000
- Targeted Restricted and Unrestricted Cash Balances of \$2,000,000
- Debt Service Covenant Compliance (COBANK Loan Agreement)
 - Test 1 Working Capital at \$500,000 (Minimum)
 - Test 2 Coverage Ratio of 125% (Minimum)

^[2] Amounts predicated on the Adopted 2006 Budget plus anticipated customer growth; however, the recently adopted annual index is excluded and presented below.

^[4] Revenue (deficiencies) reflect uses of operating reserves to meet the needs of revenue requirements while revenue surplus reflects additions to operating reserves to replenish used funds.

- Debt Service Covenant Compliance (cont'd.)
 - Test 3 Capitalization Ratio of 60% (Maximum)
 - Test 4 EBITDA Ratio of 800% (Maximum)

Based upon the recently adopted annual index and the proposed additional rate adjustments as shown in Table 1, the following reflects the ending cash balances for each calendar year and the associated test results related to the existing debt service coverant requirements:

Projected Cash Balances as of December 31. [1]

. 13	<u> Programme and the State of th</u>	LIOJOGICA	Cash Dalances	as of December	71, [1]	Ahra Nasak sebadah ac	a British dayan e a T
	Fund Accounts	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
H	Operating Fund	\$1,117,746	\$1,302,870	\$1,127,521	\$1,236,030	\$1,282,656	\$1.586.564
13	Medical Reimbursement Fund	48,282	48,282	48,282	48,282	48,282	48,282
1.0	Letter of Credit Fund	115,000	115,000	115,000	115,000	115,000	115,000
	Capital Charge Fund [2]	200,883	420,839	<u>217,269</u>	469,902	546,127	881,176
1	Total Cash [3]	<u>\$1,481,911</u>	<u>\$1,886,991</u>	<u>\$1,508,072</u>	<u>\$1,869,214</u>	<u>\$1,992,065</u>	<u>\$2,631,022</u>

[1] Amounts derived from Table 1 and Table 4 and include proposed rate adjustments.

[2] Amounts based upon the proposed capital charges discussed later in this report.

[3] Based on the direction of the Association's Board, the targeted cash balance by the end of the forecast period shall be, at a minimum, \$2.0 million.

Debt Covenant Requirements	2006 [2]	2007 [3]	2008	2009	2010	2011
Test 1: Section 9(A) Working Capital Current Assets in Excess of Current						
Liabilities	\$2,563,554	\$2,910,308	\$3,090,791	\$3,416,760	\$3,630,406	\$4,076,915
Minimum Working Capital Required	500,000	500,000	500,000	500,000	500,000	500,000
Test 2: Section 9(B) Modified Debt Service						
Coverage Ratio						
Coverage Ratio - Calculated	13.46%	167,15%	155.16%	167.60%	174.68%	184.21%
Coverage Ratio – Required	125.00%	125.00%	125.00%	125.00%	125.00%	125.00%
Test 3: Section 9(C) Total Debt to						
Capitalization Ratio						
Total Debt to Capitalization Ratio	30.55%	29.13%	26.85%	25.60%	23.96%	22.49%
Maximum Total Debt to Capitalization						
Ratio	60.00%	60.00%	60.00%	60.00%	60.00%	60.00%
Test 4: Section 9(D) Total Debt to EBITDA			e de la companya de La companya de la co			
Total Debt to EBITDA Ratio	6,411.56%	489.06%	495.62%	447.08%	399.10%	352.22%
Maximum Total Debt to Capitalization						
Ratio	800.00%	800.00%	. 800.00%	800.00%	800.00%	800.00%

[1] Amounts derived from Table 6 and Table 7 and reflect the proposed rate adjustments.

[2] It is estimated that the Association may not achieve its covenant requirement during Calendar Year 2006; however, historically, the Association's lender has waived such requirements based on proposed or adopted plans by the Board.

[3] The proposed 22.0% system-wide rate adjustment to be effective with bills rendered on or after January I, 2007 immediately satisfies all test requirements based upon the assumptions and considerations presented in this report.

PROPOSED RETAIL WATER RATES

These rate structure attributes include: i) a monthly customer charge per account billed; ii) a base facility charge or readiness to serve charge, which is billed monthly regardless of actual water use, and that varies by equivalent single-family residential dwelling unit (ERU) for residential single-family versus multi-family customers and by meter size for general service customers, which, along with the customer charge, serves as the minimum bill; and iii) a usage charge based on metered water usage. The base facility charge is generally considered a service availability or readiness to serve charge. This charge represents those costs that generally do not vary with consumption, but are fixed in relation to capacity needs. The customer charge represents the cost of meter reading, billing and collection. The usage charge generally consists of all the variable related expenses of the utility in addition to a portion of the fixed costs.

Based on the revenue requirements discussed herein and instructions from the Association's Board, the proposed rate adjustment of 22.0% to be effective with bills rendered on or after January 1, 2007 shall be applied on a system-wide basis. The proposed retail water rates are shown in Table 8 and as follows:

(Remainder of page intentionally left blank)

	<u> </u>
Residential Water Services	
Monthly Service Base Rate (per account):	
All Meters	\$3.87
Monthly Ready-to-Serve Charge (per account):	
Water Meter Size (inches)	
5/8-inch	\$9.66
3/4-inch	14.54
1-inch	24.20
Usage Charge per 1,000 gallons of water (per account	
All Meters	
0-2,000	\$2.84
3 - 5,000	Emiliar of the Control of the Contro
	3.18
6 - 10,000	3,55
11 - 15,000	4.44
Above 15,000	5.32
Multi-Family Water Services	1.4
Monthly Service Base Rate (per account):	
All Meters	\$3.87
Monthly Ready-to-Serve Charge (per unit):	
Water Meter Size	
Duplex/Triplex/MH Park	\$4,88
Travel Trailer Parks	2.88
Condominiums	8.67
	8.07
Usage Charge per 1,000 gallons of water (per unit):	ABLORE V
Water Meter Size	
Duplex/Triplex/MH Park	
0 -1,000	\$2.84
0 -1,000 1 -2,000	3.18
0 - 1,000 1 - 2,000 3 - 5,000	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
0 - 1,000 1 - 2,000 3 -5,000 6 - 7,000	3.18
0 - 1,000 1 - 2,000 3 - 5,000	3.18 3.55
0 - 1,000 1 - 2,000 3 -5,000 6 - 7,000	3.18 3.55 4.44
0 - 1,000 1 - 2,000 3 -5,000 6 - 7,000 Above 7,000 Travel Trailer Parks	3.18 3.55 4.44 5.32
0 - 1,000 1 - 2,000 3 - 5,000 6 - 7,000 Above 7,000 Travel Trailer Parks 0 - 1,000	3.18 3.55 4.44 5.32 \$2,84
0 - 1,000 1 - 2,000 3 - 5,000 6 - 7,000 Above 7,000 Travel Trailer Parks 0 - 1,000 1 - 2,000	3.18 3.55 4.44 5.32 \$2.84 3.18
0 - 1,000 1 - 2,000 3 - 5,000 6 - 7,000 Above 7,000 Travel Trailer Parks 0 - 1,000 1 - 2,000 2 - 3,000	3.18 3.55 4.44 5.32 \$2.84 3.18 3.55
0 - 1,000 1 - 2,000 3 - 5,000 6 - 7,000 Above 7,000 Travel Trailer Parks 0 - 1,000 1 - 2,000 2 - 3,000 4,000	3.18 3.55 4.44 5.32 \$2.84 3.18 3.55 4.44
0 - 1,000 1 - 2,000 3 - 5,000 6 - 7,000 Above 7,000 Travel Trailer Parks 0 - 1,000 1 - 2,000 2 - 3,000 4,000 Above 4,000	3.18 3.55 4.44 5.32 \$2.84 3.18 3.55
0 - 1,000 1 - 2,000 3 - 5,000 6 - 7,000 Above 7,000 Travel Trailer Parks 0 - 1,000 1 - 2,000 2 - 3,000 4,000 Above 4,000 Condominiums	3.18 3.55 4.44 5.32 \$2.84 3.18 3.55 4.44 5.32
0 - 1,000 1 - 2,000 3 - 5,000 6 - 7,000 Above 7,000 Travel Trailer Parks 0 - 1,000 1 - 2,000 2 - 3,000 4,000 Above 4,000 Condominiums 0 - 2,000	3.18 3.55 4.44 5.32 \$2.84 3.18 3.55 4.44 5.32
0 - 1,000 1 - 2,000 3 - 5,000 6 - 7,000 Above 7,000 Travel Trailer Parks 0 - 1,000 1 - 2,000 2 - 3,000 4,000 Above 4,000 Condominiums 0 - 2,000 2 - 4,000	3.18 3.55 4.44 5.32 \$2.84 3.18 3.55 4.44 5.32 \$2.84 3.18
0 - 1,000 1 - 2,000 3 - 5,000 6 - 7,000 Above 7,000 Travel Trailer Parks 0 - 1,000 1 - 2,000 2 - 3,000 4,000 Above 4,000 Condominiums 0 - 2,000 2 - 4,000 5 - 9,000	3.18 3.55 4.44 5.32 \$2.84 3.18 3.55 4.44 5.32
0 - 1,000 1 - 2,000 3 - 5,000 6 - 7,000 Above 7,000 Travel Traiter Parks 0 - 1,000 1 - 2,000 2 - 3,000 4,000 Above 4,000 Condominiums 0 - 2,000 2 - 4,000	3.18 3.55 4.44 5.32 \$2.84 3.18 3.55 4.44 5.32 \$2.84 3.18

Table continued on following page.

Proposed Water Rates (cont'd Commercial Water Services	7
Monthly Service Base Rate (per account):	
All Meters	\$3.87
Monthly Ready-to-Serve Charge (per account):	
Water Meter Size (inches)	
5/8-inch	\$9.66
3/4-inch	39.00 14.54
1=inch	24.20
1.5-inch	48.31
2-inch	77.29
3-nch	154.56
4-inch	241.51
6-inch	483.01
	102.01
Usage Charge per 1,000 gallons of water (per a	ccount).
Water Meter Size (inches)	*********
5/8-inch	
0 = 15,000	\$3.55
Above 15,000	4.44
3/4-inch	
0 - 22,000	\$3.55
Above 22,000	4.44
1-inch	
0 - 37,000	\$3.55
Aboye 37,000	4.44
1.5-inch	
0 - 75,000	\$3.55
Above 75,000	4.44
2-inch	
0 - 120,000	\$3.55
Above 120,000	4.44
3-inch	
0 - 240,000	\$3.55
Above 240,000	4,44
4-inch	
0 - 375,000	\$3,55
Above 375,000	4.44
6-inch	
0 - 750,000	\$3.55
Above 750,000	4,44

Included at the end of this report is a comparison of the Association's existing and proposed retail water rates for the typical residential single-family 5/8" meter water customer. Table 9 provides the estimated charges for the typical residential account for various usage levels and includes these charges for neighboring jurisdictions. The following reflects the estimated charges for a 5,000-gallon monthly user:

Description 5,000 Gallons Greater Pine Island Water Association Existing Rates – June 1, 2006 \$23.56 Proposed Rates – January 1, 2007 28.75 Other Florida Utilities: 20.33 City of Bradenton \$20.33 Bonita Springs Utilities, Inc. [2] 25.99 City of Cape Coral 20.33 Charlotte County [2] 37.13 City of Clearwater 20.52 Collier County [2] 21.47 Englewood Water District 19.00 FGUA – Lehigh Acres System (Lee County) 30.18 Gasparilla Island Water Association [2] 21.50 City of Fort Myers 22.54 Hillsborough County 25.45 City of Sanibel 25.00 Lee County 19.75 Manatee County [2] 12.85 City of North Port 25.65 Pinellas County 21.00 City of Sarasota 21.48		Residential Service for a 5/8" or 3/4" Meter
Existing Rates – June 1, 2006 \$23.56 Proposed Rates – January 1, 2007 28.75 Other Florida Utilities: City of Bradenton \$20.33 Bonita Springs Utilities, Inc. [2] 25.99 City of Cape Coral 20.33 Charlotte County [2] 37.13 City of Clearwater 20.52 Collier County [2] 21.47 Englewood Water District 19.00 FGUA – Lehigh Acres System (Lee County) 30.18 Gasparilla Island Water Association [2] 21.50 City of Fort Myers 22.54 Hillsborough County 25.45 City of Sanibel 25.00 Lee County 19.75 Manatee County [2] 12.85 City of Naples 17.39 City of North Port 25.65 Pinellas County City of Punta Gorda 25.25 City of Sarasota 21.48	Description	5,000 Gallons
Proposed Rates – January 1, 2007 28.75 Other Florida Utilities: Security of Bradenton \$20.33 Bonita Springs Utilities, Inc. [2] 25.99 City of Cape Coral 20.33 Charlotte County [2] 37.13 City of Clearwater 20.52 Collier County [2] 21.47 Englewood Water District 19.00 FGUA – Lehigh Acres System (Lee County) 30.18 Gasparilla Island Water Association [2] 21.50 City of Fort Myers 22.54 Hillsborough County 25.45 City of Sanibel 25.00 Lee County 19.75 Manatee County [2] 12.85 City of Naples 17.39 City of North Port 25.65 Pincilas County 21.00 City of Punta Gorda 25.25 City of Sarasota 21.48	Greater Pine Island Water Association	
Proposed Rates – January 1, 2007 28.75 Other Florida Utilities: Section 1 City of Bradenton \$20.33 Bonita Springs Utilities, Inc. [2] 25.99 City of Cape Coral 20.33 Charlotte County [2] 37.13 City of Clearwater 20.52 Collier County [2] 21.47 Englewood Water District 19.00 FGUA – Lehigh Acres System (Lee County) 30.18 Gasparilla Island Water Association [2] 21.50 City of Fort Myers 22.54 Hillsborough County 25.45 City of Sanibel 25.00 Lee County 19.75 Manatee County [2] 12.85 City of Naples 17.39 City of North Port 25.65 Pinellas County 21.00 City of Sarasota 25.25 City of Sarasota 21.48	Existing Rates - June 1, 2006	\$23.56
City of Bradenton \$20.33 Bonita Springs Utilities, Inc. [2] 25.99 City of Cape Coral 20.33 Charlotte County [2] 37.13 City of Clearwater 20.52 Collier County [2] 21.47 Englewood Water District 19.00 FGUA – Lehigh Acres System (Lee County) 30.18 Gasparilla Island Water Association [2] 21.50 City of Fort Myers 22.54 Hillsborough County 25.45 City of Sanibel 25.00 Lee County 19.75 Manatee County [2] 12.85 City of Naples 17.39 City of North Port 25.65 Pinellas County 21.00 City of Punta Gorda 25.25 City of Sarasota 21.48	Proposed Rates - January 1, 2007	1 10010 10070 10
City of Bradenton \$20.33 Bonita Springs Utilities, Inc. [2] 25.99 City of Cape Goral 20.33 Charlotte County [2] 37.13 City of Clearwater 20.52 Collier County [2] 21.47 Englewood Water District 19.00 FGUA – Lehigh Acres System (Lee County) 30.18 Gasparilla Island Water Association [2] 21.50 City of Fort Myers 22.54 Hillsborough County 25.45 City of Sanibel 25.00 Lee County 19.75 Manatee County [2] 12.85 City of Naples 17.39 City of North Port 25.65 Pinellas County 21.00 City of Punta Gorda 25.25 City of Sarasota 21.48	Adam bizara Yuma	
Bonita Springs Utilities, Inc. [2] 25,99 City of Cape Coral 20,33 Charlotte County [2] 37,13 City of Clearwater 20,52 Collier County [2] 21,47 Englewood Water District 19,00 FGUA - Lehigh Acres System (Lee County) 30,18 Gasparilla Island Water Association [2] 21,50 City of Fort Myers 22,54 Hillsborough County 25,45 City of Sanibel 25,00 Lee County 19,75 Manatee County [2] 12,85 City of Naples 17,39 City of North Port 25,65 Pinellas County 21,00 City of Punta Gorda 25,25 City of Sarasota 21,48 City of Sarasota 21,48 County of Sarasota 21,48 City of Sarasot		
City of Cape Coral 20.33 Charlotte County [2] 37.13 City of Clearwater 20.52 Collier County [2] 21.47 Englewood Water District 19.00 FGUA – Lehigh Acres System (Lee County) 30.18 Gasparilla Island Water Association [2] 21.50 City of Fort Myers 22.54 Hillsborough County 25.45 City of Sanibel 25.00 Lee County 19.75 Manatee County [2] 12.85 City of Naples 17.39 City of North Port 25.65 Pinellas County 21.00 City of Punta Gorda 25.25 City of Sarasota 21.48		the state of the s
Charlotte County [2] 37.13 City of Clearwater 20.52 Collier County [2] 21.47 Englewood Water District 19.00 FGUA – Lehigh Acres System (Lee County) 30.18 Gasparilla Island Water Association [2] 21.50 City of Fort Myers 22.54 Hillsborough County 25.45 City of Sanibel 25.00 Lee County 19.75 Manatee County [2] 12.85 City of Naples 17.39 City of North Port 25.65 Pinellas County 21.00 City of Punta Gorda 25.25 City of Sarasota 21.48		ADMINISTRA
City of Clearwater 20.52 Collier County [2] 21.47 Englewood Water District 19.00 FGUA – Lehigh Acres System (Lee County) 30.18 Gasparilla Island Water Association [2] 21.50 City of Fort Myers 22.54 Hillsborough County 25.45 City of Sanibel 25.00 Lee County 19.75 Manatee County [2] 12.85 City of Naples 17.39 City of North Port 25.65 Pinellas County 21.00 City of Punta Gorda 25.25 City of Sarasota 21.48		4.5 (a.) 4 (4.68), 167 (50) (b.)
Collier County [2] 21.47 Englewood Water District 19.00 FGUA – Lehigh Acres System (Lee County) 30.18 Gasparilla Island Water Association [2] 21.50 City of Fort Myers 22.54 Hillsborough County 25.45 City of Sanibel 25.00 Lee County 19.75 Manatee County [2] 12.85 City of Naples 17.39 City of North Port 25.65 Pinellas County 21.00 City of Punta Gorda 25.25 City of Sarasota 21.48	and the second s	Fait \$1777.
Englewood Water District 19.00 FGUA – Lehigh Acres System (Lee County) 30.18 Gasparilla Island Water Association [2] 21.50 City of Fort Myers 22.54 Hillsborough County 25.45 City of Sanibel 25.00 Lee County 19.75 Manatee Gounty [2] 12.85 City of Naples 17.39 City of North Port 25.65 Pinellas County 21.00 City of Punta Gorda 25.25 City of Sarasota 21.48		
FGUA – Lehigh Acres System (Lee County) 30.18 Gasparilla Island Water Association [2] 21.50 City of Fort Myers 22.54 Hillsborough County 25.45 City of Sanibel 25.00 Lee County 19.75 Manatee County [2] 12.85 City of Naples 17.39 City of North Port 25.65 Pinellas County 21.00 City of Punta Gorda 25.25 City of Sarasota 21.48		1.733.7564
Gasparilla Island Water Association [2] 21.50 City of Fort Myers 22.54 Hillsborough County 25.45 City of Sanibel 25.00 Lee County 19.75 Manatee Gounty [2] 12.85 City of Naples 17.39 City of North Port 25.65 Pinellas County 21.00 City of Punta Gorda 25.25 City of Sarasota 21.48		
City of Fort Myers 22.54 Hillsborough County 25.45 City of Sanibel 25.00 Lee County 19.75 Manatee County [2] 12.85 City of Naples 17.39 City of North Port 25.65 Pinellas County 21.00 City of Punta Gorda 25.25 City of Sarasota 21.48		
Hillsborough County 25.45 City of Sanibel 25.00 Lee County 19.75 Manatee County [2] 12.85 City of Naples 17.39 City of North Port 25.65 Pinellas County 21.00 City of Punta Gorda 25.25 City of Sarasota 21.48		
City of Sanibel 25,00 Lee County 19.75 Manatee County [2] 12.85 City of Naples 17.39 City of North Port 25.65 Pinellas County 21.00 City of Punta Gorda 25.25 City of Sarasota 21.48	The state of the s	1
Lee County 19.75 Manatee County [2] 12.85 City of Naples 17.39 City of North Port 25.65 Pinellas County 21.00 City of Punta Gorda 25.25 City of Sarasota 21.48		
Manatee County [2] 12.85 City of Naples 17.39 City of North Port 25.65 Pinellas County 21.00 City of Punta Gorda 25.25 City of Sarasota 21.48	Control of the Contro	
City of Naples 17.39 City of North Port 25.65 Pinellas County 21.00 City of Punta Gorda 25.25 City of Sarasota 21.48		
City of North Port 25.65 Pinellas County 21.00 City of Punta Gorda 25.25 City of Sarasota 21.48	along a final de Mallong Connection at the state of the s	and the second of the second o
Pinellas County 21.00 City of Punta Gorda 25.25 City of Sarasota 21.48		
City of Punta Gorda 25.25 City of Sarasota 21.48		
City of Sarasota 21,48	1000 C.	Tall to the second of the seco
Sarasota County 124	Sarasota County [2]	24.32
	Other Florida Utilities' Average	\$22.86

^[1] Unless otherwise noted, amount shown reflect residential rates in effect June 2006 and are exclusive of taxes or franchise fees, if any, and reflect rates charged for inside-the-City service. All rates are as reported by the respective utility. This comparison is intended to show comparable charges for similar service for comparison purposes only and is not intended to be a complete listing of all rates and charges offered by each listed utility.

[2] Utility is currently involved in a rate study or is planning one within the next few

Proposed Emergency Bulk Water Rate

In addition to updating the 2004 Water Rate Study, the Association requested that PRMG develop a temporary/emergency bulk water rate that could be extended to neighboring utilities on a temporary basis. For the purposes of this analysis, Calendar Year 2007 served as the test year for bulk rate determination.

Bulk Water Rate Methodology

To develop the proposed bulk water rate, which is intended for only emergency/temporary service, an evaluation of the test year revenue requirement was conducted to estimate the bulk users cost responsibility. In addressing the bulk users cost, the Association's Board provided the following instructions regarding the design of an emergency rate:

- The emergency bulk water rate shall only incorporate the incremental and variable costs
 associated with providing temporary bulk water services; therefore, such rate shall exclude
 costs, which typically are assignable to long-term, permanent bulk customers.
- The emergency bulk water rate shall be comparable to existing emergency rates charged by neighboring jurisdictions, if any.

Table 10 at the end of this report provides a detailed allocation of the revenue requirements for Calendar Year 2007. Generally, the revenue requirements were divided to reflect those costs that are either retail only or are shared costs between retail and emergency bulk customers. The following is a summary of the allocated costs:

Calendar Year Ending December 31, 2007 [1]

	Total Amount	Retail Only	Bulk/Retail	% to Bulk/Retail
Personnel	\$1,219,848	\$691,532	\$528,316	43.3%
Vehicles	37,712	26,398	11,314	30.0%
Administration	395,560	202,307	193,253	48.9%
RO Plant	185,677	64,950	120,726	65.0%
Transmission/Distribution	80,276	71,795	8,481	10.6%
Miscellaneous	272,736	12,217	260,520	95.5%
Contingency	65,754	22,521	43,233	65.8%
Debt Service	611,232	611,232	0	0.0%
Capital Funded from Rates	93,300	65,310	<u>27,990</u>	30.0%
Gross Revenue Requirements	\$2,962,095	\$1,768,261	\$1,193,834	40.3%
Less Other Income	(347,221)	(325,142)	(22,079)	6.4%
Net Revenue Requirements	\$2,614,873	\$1,443,119	\$1,171,754	44.8%
Plus Additional Reserve Requirements	317,124	317,124	0	0.0%
Amount to be Recovered	<u>\$2,931,997</u>	<u>\$1,760,243</u>	<u>\$1,171,754</u>	40.0%

^[1] Amount derived from Table 10 at the end of this report

Proposed Emergency Bulk Water Rate

Based on historical operating results and the anticipated growth of approximately 2.0% per year discussed earlier in this report, it is estimated that the Association will sell approximately 451,681 thousand gallons of water during Calendar Year 2007. As reflected above and in Table 10, \$1,171,754 is allocated to both retail and emergency bulk water customers and based

upon the corresponding water sales of approximately 451,681 thousand gallons, the proposed emergency bulk water rate for temporary service is \$2.59 per thousand gallons. This amount is approximately 40.0% of average retail cost and is designed to recover only the incremental and variable costs associated with providing emergency bulk water service on a temporary basis. Based on discussions with Association staff, this amount is also comparable to what the Association would currently pay for emergency bulk water service. This proposed emergency bulk water rate shall also be adjusted annually based on the Association's annual indexing provision.

Should the Association enter into a permanent bulk water service agreement, the design of this rate should be revisited to incorporate long-term cost recovery on a permanent capacity allocation basis.

CAPITAL CHARGE DEVELOPMENT

The Association's present water capital charge was adopted pursuant to the 2004 Water Rate Study and was subsequently indexed June 1, 2005 and June 1, 2006. The Association charges a capital charge based on an equitable portion of the cost of financing the expansion of the Association's utility system. The current capital charge for an equivalent single-family residential dwelling unit (ERU) is summarized below:

	Amount
with a common of the control	Ø1 COO OO
Water System Capital Charge	\$1,532.00
	Ta: 12.11

An ERU is a unit of measure that approximates the average demand of a single-family residential customer or customer receiving service based on certain attributes of the residential unit (e.g., single versus multi-family, square footage of account). The ERU concept defines all types of development and facility uses as either a percentage or a multiple of a single-family residence on the basis of anticipated water use. For the purpose of billing the Association's current capital charges, water service ERUs for individual residential and commercial establishments are based on predetermined ERU factors. It is recommended the Association continue this method of ERU determination as it relates to water capital charges.

Existing Capital Facilities

In the determination of the capital charge associated with the servicing of future customers, any excess capacity of the existing system available to serve such growth should be considered since this capacity is available to serve incremental growth of the utility system in the short term. Based on the rated capacities of the water treatment facilities expressed on an average daily flow (ADF) basis and the existing usage requirements of such facilities, the amount of existing facility available to service new growth was estimated to be as follows:

	Water System
Production/Treatment Facility Capacity (ADF)	3,000,000 gpd
Existing Capacity Utilization (ADF)	1,575,000 gpd
Production/Treatment Capacity Available to Serve New Growth	1,425,000 gpd

As can be seen above, it has been determined that the water system has approximately 47.5% of existing capacity available to serve new customer growth; therefore, it is appropriate to consider the Association's investment in existing assets reserved to serve new growth. Table 11 at the end of this report provides a detailed listing of fixed assets as provided by Association staff, which reconciles to the audited Calendar Year 2005 financial statements. Specifically, only the water treatment and transmission assets were considered for the development of the proposed capital charge. The historical cost of such assets is approximately \$15.5 million; however, to better reflect the buy-in cost of current and future development, the historical costs were adjusted to an estimated current value based on the annual construction cost index published by the Engineering News Record. This methodology is appropriate since it is the Association's intent that all costs be matched to inflation to keep pace with rising costs of construction. The Association further maintains an annual indexing provision that was approved by the Lee County Board of County Commissioners, which is consistent with this methodology. The following reflects a summary allocation of existing assets:

			Estimated Current Cost [1]				
			Treatment	1417	Transmission	T	otal
Land an	d Improvements		\$2,065,342	0.0	\$119,461	\$2,1	34,803
RO Plan	nt		6,194,357		0	6,19	94,357
Water S	Supply Wells	J.	5,474,114		-0	5,4	74,114
Primary	Mains		0	·	9.167,763	9,1	67,763
Total E	xisting Assets		<u>\$13,733,813</u>		<u>\$9,287,224</u>	<u>\$23,0</u> 2	2 1,037

^[1] Amounts derived from Table 11 and reflect the estimated current value of existing assets.

Capital Improvement Program

As with any growing utility, the Association is continually in the process of updating and expanding the water plant facilities to serve increasing demand or capacity requirements. In order to develop a charge that is consistent with the capital related needs of the utility, the cost of the Association's capital improvements program was recognized. Based on data provided by the Association, the improvements scheduled for the forecast will allow the Association to provide utility services into the foreseeable future. As outlined in Table 12, \$5.5 million in capital improvements are planned through 2011; however, \$4.1 million reflect system renewals and replacements, which are excluded from fee determination. Therefore, \$1.4 million is estimated

to improve existing and new facilities to serve new growth. The following summarizes the planned improvements utilized in the determination of the proposed capital charge:

	Estimated Current Cost [1]
	Treatment Transmission Total
Capital Improvement Program [2]	\$500,000 \$948,000 \$1,448,000

[1] Amount derived from Table 12, Capital Improvement Program by Function.

[2] Reflects the net amount of capital projects anticipated to serve both existing and future growth.

As summarized above, the Association has identified capital needs to serve both the existing and future growth of the Association. The costs for distribution facilities, RO Plant membrane replacements, office renovations and renewals and replacements to the RO Plant, or main extensions required for service by the Association have not been included in the determination of the capital charge. These capital costs are generally recovered from other rates and charges or contributed from developers during construction, and therefore, should not be included as a component of the capital charge determination.

Design of Water System Capital Charge

As shown on Table 13, the proposed capital charge for the water system is \$2,083 per ERU. This represents a fee 36% higher than the current fee, which was adopted June 1, 2006. As discussed hereafter, the proposed charges are comparable with other utilities.

In the development of the charge, several assumptions were utilized or incorporated in the analysis. The major assumptions utilized in the design of the proposed charge are:

- 1. The existing water production and treatment facilities have an estimated available capacity margin to serve new growth of approximately 47.5% of the average daily capacity of the facilities based on the firm design capacity of the existing facilities and average daily flow relationships experienced by the Association.
- 2. All the capital facilities associated with the expansion of the system reflect the most recent project costs as identified in the Association's capital improvement program.
- 3. No capital facility expansion costs associated with on-site distribution facilities have been included in the calculation since the Association generally requires the developer to contribute such facilities (contribution in aid of construction).
- 4. Only the backbone system costs, both treatment and transmission were used in determining the proposed capital charge. The following summarizes the existing assets and planned improvements included in the fee calculation:

	Es	timated Current Cost [1	
	Treatment	Transmission	Total
Existing Assets [2]	\$13,733,813	\$9,287,224	\$23,021,037
Capital Improvement Program [3]	500,000	<u>948,000</u>	1,448,000
Total Planned Investment	\$14,233.813	\$10,235,224	<u>\$24,469,037</u>

[1] Amount derived from Table 13.

[2] Amounts derived from Table 11 and reflect estimated current value of existing assets,

5. An ERU for the water system was assumed to require a capacity of 250 gallons per day consistent with the Association's definition of one ERU as outlined in this report.

Proposed Capital Charge Application

Based upon the assumptions and considerations presented above, the proposed capital charge per ERU is \$2,083. Table 13 at the end of this report provides a detailed calculation of the fee. As previously mentioned, the application of the water capital charge is based according to predetermined ERU factors assigned to various residential and commercial establishments to reflect such customers estimated capacity requirements. The capital charge calculation is based on the proposed capital charge of \$2,083 per ERU.

For multi-family, master-metered residential customers the capital charge is based on the number of units served behind the master-meter. The capital charge per unit for the various multi-family classes is proposed as follows:

Customer Type	Capital Charge per Unit
Condominium	\$2,083
Duplex/Triplex	\$2,083
Mobile Home Park	\$2,083
Travel Trailer Park	\$524

(Remainder of page intentionally left blank)

^[3] Amounts derived from Table 12 and reflect the Association's capital plan net of system renewals and replacements.

For non-residential customers the capacity charge is based on the meter size. The capital charge for these customers is as follows:

Meter Size	Capital Charge
5/8"	\$2,083
3/4"	\$3,125
1"	\$5,208
1-1/2"	\$10,415
2"	\$16,664
3"	\$33,328
4"	\$52,075
6°'	\$104,150

Capital Charge Comparisons

A comparison of the proposed capital charges with other neighboring water utilities has been prepared to illustrate the relationship of the Association's fees to the other jurisdictions. As can be seen below, the proposed charges are similar in the amount charged for the utilities surveyed.

(Remainder of page intentionally left blank)

Description	Resident	ial 5/8" x 🤅	3/4" Meter		
Greater Pine Island Water	r Associa	tion	Transference (com	\$1,523	
Existing Rates			•	2,083	
Proposed Rates					
Other Florida Utilities: [1]:		·	•	
City of Bradenton	:			\$915	
Bonita Springs Utilities, In-	Öğü - Li ili			2,085	
City of Cape Coral		.1		2,571	
Charlotte County				1,213	
City of Clearwater			¥ .	480	
Collier County				2,760	
Englewood Water District				1,427	
FGUA - Lehigh Acres Syst	tem (Lee C	County)		1,885	
Gasparilla Island Water As				4,018	
City of Fort Myers			:	2,023	
Hillsborough County				1,650	
City of Sanibel				1,881	
Lee County				1,140	
Manatee County				1,270	
City of Naples	*		The said of	870	
City of North Port				1,735	
Pinellas County				352	
City of Punta Gorda				2,824	
City of Sarasota	jan	4-1-1	erek iner	900	

^[1] Unless otherwise noted, amounts shown reflect residential rates in effect June 2006 and are exclusive of taxes or franchise fees, if any, and reflect rates charged for inside the City service.

All rates are as reported by the respective utility. This comparison is intended to show comparable charges for similar service for comparison purposes only and is not intended to be a complete listing of all rates and charges offered by each listed utility.

Other Florida Utilities' Average

Miscellaneous Fees

In addition to determining water rate needs and capital charge sufficiency, the Association recently reviewed its miscellaneous charges, which are predicated upon the direct costs of labor and supplies/equipment. The miscellaneous fees were prepared by Association staff, which requested that PRMG review these fees for comparability. After reviewing such charges, we find them to be reasonable as compared to other fees for similar services. The most significant change in miscellaneous fees was to the meter fee. The increase in this charge is a direct result of the planned Backflow Prevention Program. The following is a comparison of existing to proposed miscellaneous charges:

	stın									

Desemption	Existing	Proposed [2]
Vieter Fee:		
5/8" Meter	\$220	\$540
374° Meter	\$350	\$860
L'Meer	\$550	\$1,350
1.5" Meter (Calculated)	\$900	\$2,210
2"Meter (Calculated)	\$1,000	\$2,450
3" Moter 4" Meter	Actual Cost x 2	Actual Cost x 2
62 Meter	Actual Cost x 2	Actual Cost x 2
	Actual Cost x 2	Actual Cost x 2
Other Pees:		
Turn-Off Fee, if Requested by Member	\$10	\$20
Turn-On Fee, if Requested by Member	\$10	\$20
Service Charge	\$25	835
Special Meter Location Fee	\$50	\$100
Special Meter Reading Fee, if Requested by Membe	r \$20	\$25
Special Meter Test Fee, if Requested by Member	\$25	\$50
D.O.T. Permit Fee (Where Applicable)	\$30	Actual Cost
Plan Review Fee	\$50	\$100
Inspection Fee	S150	\$25/Unit
Re-Inspection Fee Residential Irrigation Meter (5/8")	\$50	\$100/Inspection
	\$220	\$540
Fire Hydrant Installation (Commercial)	\$2,000	\$3,000 + Jack/Bore
Arb Stop Replacement Costs:		DE-17 460 - 15515-141466日 1550-1551
5/8" Meter	\$40	\$40
3/4" Meter	\$40	\$50
1" Meter	\$55	35V \$60
1.5" Meter	875	\$80

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on our studies, assumptions and analyses as summarized herein, we are of the opinion that:

- The Association's existing rate levels for water service will not be sufficient to meet the projected operating expenses, debt service, and capital funding requirements for the Calendar Years 2006 through 2011.
- The Association should consider adopting the proposed rates effective with bills rendered on or after January I, 2007. Adoption of these rates plus an additional 3% increase

^[2] Amounts reflect recommended changes as prepared by Association staff.

annually based on the annual indexing provision approved by Lee County should be sufficient to allow the Association to meet projected revenue requirements for Calendar Years 2007 through 2011.

- The Association should consider adopting the proposed emergency bulk water rate for temporary service and, if necessary in the future, the Association should develop another bulk rate should firm bulk service be requested.
- 4. The Association should consider adopting the proposed water capital charges established at \$2,083 per equivalent residential unit. This capital charge is competitive with similar charges used by neighboring utilities.
- 5. The Association should consider adopting the proposed miscellaneous fees as prepared by staff. Such fees are comparable with neighboring utilities and, based upon the costs of labor and supplies, appear reasonable.

Respectfully Submitted,

Public Resources Management Group, Inc.

Henry L. Thomas

Vice President

Murray M. Hamilton, Jr.

Rate Analyst

HLT/dlm

Greater Pine Island Water Association 2006 Water Rate Study Update

List of Tables

Table No.	Description
1	Development of Net Revenue Requirements from Rates
2	Projection of Operating Expenses
3	Projection of Annual Debt Service
4	Development of Interest Income
5	Capital Improvement Program
6	Projected Debt Service Analysis
7	Other Debt Service Test Requirements
8	Existing and Proposed Retail Water Rates
9	Comparison of Typical Monthly Residential Bills For Water Service
10	Allocation of Net Revenue Requirements to Bulk Service - Incremental Basis
13	Existing Assets
12	Capital Improvement Program by Function
13	Development of Water System Capital Charge
14	Comparison of Capacity Charges For Water Service
15	Existing and Proposed Miscellaneous Fees

Table 1 Greater Pine Island Water Association 2006 Water Rate Study Update

Development of Net Revenue Requirements from Rates

Line			Projec	ted Calendar Year	Ending December	:31.	en e
No	Description	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
organista Sur ^a dista	Operating Expenses	칼빛이 다른 함께			1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		Contraction and Secondary
. ji	Operating Expenses	\$2,603,353	\$2,191,809	\$2,417,272	\$2,532,105	\$2,652,669	\$2,779,475
2	Operating Contingency 300%	0	65,754	72,518	75,963	79,580	83,384
	Total Operating Expenses	\$2,603,353	\$2,257,563	\$2,489,790	\$2,608,068	\$2,7 32,249	\$2,862,859
	Other Revenue Requirements						
1.00	Debt Service	i i de alliferior e		1			
5	Existing Debt Service Proposed Debt Service	\$611,232 0	\$611,232 0	\$611,232 0	\$353,455	\$337,296	\$337,296
6	Total Debt Service	\$611,232	\$611,232	\$611,232	240,980 \$594,435	262,887 \$600,183	262,887 \$600,183
7	Capital Funded from Rates			en e	Sadage 1		
8	Capital Putiled from Rates Transfer to Capital Contingency Fund	102,500 0	005,EQ	174,000 0	35,800 0	197,100 0	19,000
9	Total Other Revenue Requirements	\$102,500	\$93,300	\$174,000	\$35,800	\$197,100	\$19,000
10	Gross Revenue Regainments	\$3.317.085	\$2,962,095			27 Land 1	
		30316303	\$5,905,033	\$3,275,022	\$3,238,302	\$3,529,532	\$3,482,042
	Less Income and Runds from Other Sources		i kuri i digalila di se Ndasijan				
12	Other Operating Revenue Interest Income	\$310,216 48,063	\$319,749	\$330,246	\$341,187	\$352,563	\$364,477
13	Operating Reserves - (Surplus)/Deficiency	#6,000 0	27,472 0	27,570 0	26,901 0	28,452 0	31,958 .0
14	Net Reyerus Requirements	\$2,958,806	\$2,614,873	\$2.917,206	\$2,870,214	\$3,148,516	\$3,085,607
	Revenue from Rates				THE STATE OF STATE OF		
15	Existing Water Rate Revenue	\$2,287,303	\$2,333,278	\$2.379,944	\$2,427,543	\$2,475,851	Wale de San
16	Add'! Adjustments (excl. current year)	-1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	69,998	610,694	714,421	824,773	\$2,525,616 942,360
17	Total Applicable Rate Revenue	\$2,287,303	\$2,403,276	\$2,990,638	\$3,141,964	\$3,300,624	\$3,467,976
	Revenue Surplus/(Deficiency) Before Add'l Adjustment:						
18	Amount	(\$671,503)	(\$211,597)	\$73,432	\$271,750	\$152,107	\$382,369
19	Percent	(29.4%)	(8.8%)	2.5%	8.6%	4.6%	11.0%
20	Percent Adjustment Proposed	3,0%	22,0%	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%
21	Effective Month % of Current Year Effective	Jun.	Jan.	Jan.	Jan.	Jan.	Jan
	A CONTROL OF THE PROPERTY OF T	58.33%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
22	Total Revenue From Current Year Adjustments	\$40,028	\$528,721	\$89,719	\$94,259	\$99,019	\$104,039
23	Total Revenue From Rates	\$2,327,331	\$2,931,997	\$3,080,357	\$3,236,223	\$3,399,642	\$3,572,015
24			PERSONAL PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY ADDRESS OF THE PROPE				
	Revenue Surplus/(Deficiency)	(\$631,475)	\$317,124	\$163,151	\$366,009	\$251,126	\$486,408

Table 1 Greater Pine Island Water Association 2006 Water Rate Study Update

Development of Net Revenue Requirements from Rates

Financial Goals and Objectives

					s as of December .	u.	del di la c
25: 26	Cash Balances Operating Fund	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
27	Medical Reimbursement Fund	\$1,117,746 48,282	\$1,302,870 48,282	\$1,127,521 48,282	\$1,236,030 48,282	\$1,282,656	\$1,586,564
28	Letter of Credit	115,000	115,000	115,000	115,000	48,282 115,000	48,282 115,000
29	Capital Contingency Fund	0	0	0	0	0	0
30 31	Capital Charge Fund at Proposed Rates	200,883	420,839	217,269	469,902	546,127	881,176
77.6	Construction (Loan Proceeds) Fund	9	Q	9	0	0	0
32	Total Cash (Year-End)	\$1,481,911	\$1,886,991	\$1,508,072	\$1,869,214	\$1,992,065	\$2,631,022
							-1.10.
	Debt Govenant Requirements		a na na saise		lai bi		
Jai.	Test 1: Section 9 (A) Working Capital						
33	Current Assets in Excess of Current Liabilities	\$2,563,554	\$2.910.308	\$3.090.791	\$3,416,760	\$3,630,406	\$4,076,915
34	Minimum Working Capital Required	\$500,000	\$ 500,000	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$500.000
	Test 2: Section 9 (B) Modified Debt Service Coverage Rat	in					
35 36	Coverage Ratio - Calculated Coverage Ratio - Required	13.46% 125.00%	167.15% 125.00%	155.16%	167,60%	174.68%	184.21%
		123.00%	123.00%	125.00%	125.00%	125.00%	125.00%
	Test 3. Section 9 (C) Total Debt to Capitalization Ratio						
37	Total Debrito Capitalization Ratio	30.55%	29,13%	26.85%	25.60%	23.96%	22.49%
38	Maximum Total Debt to Capitalization Ratio	60.00%	60.00%	60.00%	60.00%	60.00%	60.00%
	Test 4: Section 9 (D) Total Debt to EBITDA						
idja 1997 Ma rk (7 - 19							
39 40	Total Debt to EBITDA Ratio Maximum Total Debt to Capitalization Ratio	6411.56% 800.00%	489,06% 800.00%	495.62% 800.00%	447.08%	399.10%	352.22%

Table 2
Greater Pine Island Water Association
2006 Water Rate Study Optiate
Projection of Operating Expenses

Tookish and the second	Reference	2006 Ac	Adjustments [1]	Adjusted 2006	2007	2008	2009	2030	2011
OPERATING EXPENSES									
Wages	Tabor.	000 8228	Ş	6773 000	6911660	6863 232	E OOM OAN	702 000	777 7000
	Labor	131,700	; o	131,700	138,285	145.199	152.459	160 082	168.086
	Fealth	142,100	6	142,100	153,468	165,745	179,005	193,325	208,792
4 Worker's Compensation	Lapor	49,500	0	49,500	51,975	54,574	57,302	60,168	63,176
	Appor	29,000	0	29,000	61,950	65,048	68,300	71,715	75,301
	Labor	2,400	0	2,400	2,520	2,646	2,778	2,917	3,063
		002,76	O	1,157,700	1,219,848	1,285,444	1,354,689	1,427,794	1,504,983
Vehicles & Depreciation									
Vehicle Expense	Inflation	36.900	•	36 900	17.713	29.541	20.280	250 04	A1.145
Depreciation		0	0	0			0)	
Total Vehicles & Depreciation		36,900	0	36,900	37,712	38,541	39,389	40,256	41,142
Aoministration									
Bank Combre Charact		Č	3					- 1-3 - 1-3 - 1-3	1
Conference (Maler Passes)	Carre Carre	3 6	o (8 9	7.5	731	747	764	780
Office Sandiles		2,200	15,450	00/100	28,122	885,00	63,160	65,834	68,635
General Counties	Tabana Tabana	2007 2007 2007	> (4,700	4 . 803	4,909	5,017	5,127	5,240
Control of the second of the s	- Milation	Z,100	o)	2,100	2,146	2,193	2,242	2,291	2,341
Carried particular Spilles	Constant	3,500	•	2,600	2,600	2,600	2,600	2,600	2,600
	Inflation	008	o (800	00 60	336	854	873	892
	Longled	9 5 1 1	0.0	000	1,022	4	1.067	1,091	1,115
Annual Greetal Meetings	Transfer	2000	o (16,000	16,352	16,712	17,079	17,455	17,839
Tracel	Table	200	> (000,	800%	5,118	5,231	5,346	5,463
Doctoo Printing	Chine Man	0000	> <	0005	1,022	1,044	1,067	60,	1,115
	Distance of the second	0000	5 6	900,81	19,808	20,649	21,525	22,437	23,391
Interest Exhibits		007.00	001,5150	005.08	94,815	955,99	104,534	109,760	115,248
Mortgage Payment		00 1 V	(05:300)	> c	.	5 6	5 6	3 (Φ. 6
Loan Expense		C	000	200	2 000	0.00	> 6	ے د آ	2
Audithe	Inflation	2 CO	5 c	000	000°	000,101	0001	000,1	ODO:
Legal 21		72.100	77.633	00001	<u> </u>	12,421	19,833	767'07	85,02 00,03
Customer Billing	CusteWater	23.700	770°	73.700	0//**	40,119	47,503	527.84	20,25
Engineering Expense [3]	Inflation	27,72	257 781	210.01	70 07	201,02	00007	102,12	77.67
Miscellancous Expense	Inflation	7,200	- C	0000	852 6	04,130 7.530	00,243 7.586	200,000	00,069
Education	Inflation	3,000	C	3.000	200	2 133	2000	2,020	2 245
Operating Supplies & Expense	Cust-Water	3,300	0	3300	2 c	3.48	77.0	200	4.062
Cash (over) short	Inflation	0	0	0	0	0		. 0	
Permits	Inflation	2,400	Q	2,400	2.453	2.507	2.562	2.618	2.676
Security System	Inflation	4,200	o	4.200	4 292	43.87	4 481	4 582	4 693
Total Acministration						107.1		1777	

Table 2
Greater Pine Island Water Association
2000 Water Rate Shudy Update

Projection of Operating Expenses

	Reference	2006	Adjustments [1]	2006	2002	3000	2000	V10¢	
RO Plant						9007	7007	A I A	7011
Chemicals	Comm-W	89,000	0	89,000	92,786	96,724	100.829	105.098	109 569
Maintenance & Repairs	≱: ©	62,300		62,300	64,950	67,707	70,580	73,569	76,698
Laboratory	Comm-W	26,800	0	26,800	27,940	29,126	30,362	31,647	32,994
lotal Ke Klan		378,100	0	178,100	185,677	193,557	201,771	210,314	219,261
Distribution System				.*::		:			• .
Primary Mains	Cust-Water	2,300	0	2,300	2 398	2.500	2.606	2716	2.832
Secondary Mains	Cust-Water	55,250		55,250	57,600	60,045	62,593	65,243	68,019
St. James City Sub-Station	Cust-Water	900		9	979	652	089	709	739
Bokeelta Sub-Mation	Cust-Water	500		200	22	543	266	590	919
Conton A res Carter	Cust-water	0097	0.0	7,600	7,923	8,260	8,610	8,975	9,356
Total Distribution Sestem	Cust-water	77,000		10,750	70711	589,11	12.179	12,694	13,234
				Onot :	0/7'00	63,083	467,18	76.97	74,796
Miscellaneous									
Water Samples	Inflation	26,500	0	26,500	27,083	27,679	28.288	28.910	29.546
Communications	Cust-Water	16,600		16,600	17,306	18,041	18,806	19,603	20,436
Travel-Directors	Inflation	001		601	8	104	107	109	111
Disposal Service	Inflation	3,500		3,500	3,577	3,656	3,736	3,818	3,902
	Comm.	215,500		215,500	224,668	234,203	244,142	254,479	265,305
Special Projects	Jubnit	40,000	0.0	40,000	0 (0 (0 (0 1	0
		00,000		000,021	0	0	7	0	0
Join Miscellancous		427,200	0	427,200	272,736	283,682	295,079	306,919	319,301
Backflow Presention Program									
New Personnel - Backflow Technician	Labor		0	0	0	25,000	26,250	27,563	28,941
arer Cuality Operator	: '		3 (၁ (0	30,000	31,500	33,075	34,729
	7.04% Calculate			5	5 (9,372	9,841	10,333	10,849
icalian.	Calculate Calculate			-	> c	SOF OF	10,014	1.145	11,702
				> c	s e	2 197	4.40%	2,001	4.07.4
Unemployment Taxes			0	o	o	12	179	88	165
Software	Elminate		1,200	1,200	0	0	٥	0	
Backflow Devices - New Construction	indu.		0	•	o	6,274	6,410	6,502	9,690
Backflow Devices - Remobit Installation	Indul			. 0	0	32,166	32,799	33,491	34,103
Total Miscellaneous			0 1,200	1,200	0	120,809	125,695	130,805	136,143
TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES		\$2,824,600	(\$221.247)	F2 K03 353	\$9.101.800	45 A17 270	\$3 520 105	62 450 450	367 056 Ca
					2225	77.7		CO. 7.0.70	04,(1),4(3
Amuzi Growth %					-18.78%	933%	4 54%	70 5-5 P	4 5692
Contract August Character at 0						The second second		1	200

Table 2
Greater Plue Island Water Association
2006 Water Rate Study Update
Projection of Opeyating Expenses

The state of the s	Escalation	Budgeted [1]	4 dinstmente [1]	Adjusted		Seoc.	Outr	9010	200
Politices					7007	2000a	5007	2010	100
[1] Antounts as provided by Association staff.									
The following provides the detailed legal expenses anticipated to	ipated by the Assoc	ation 2006	Adjustments [1]	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	20]
Normal Amual Legal Expenses City of Cape Coral Litigation - Sandova City of Cape Coral Litigation - Walmar Burricane Wilma Renovation Hurricane Wilma Renovation Hurricane Wilma Renovation Hurricane Wilma Reconstruction Issues	Contract Eliminate Eliminate Eliminate Eliminate Eliminate		8,0000	\$3,770 \$3,770 2,765 15,500 17,215	\$44,776 0 0 0	0.00000 0.00000	\$47,503 0 0	\$48,928 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0
Total Estimated Legal Expenses		03	0\$	\$150,722	\$44,776	\$46,119	\$47,503	\$48,928	350,396
The following provides the detailed engineering expenses anuon	s anticipated by the	Association 2006	Adjustments [1]	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Normal Annual Enginecting Expenses On-going System Upgrades Hurricane Reconstruction - Sandy Hook Bridge Hurricane Reconstruction - Mantacha Bridge	Repair Repair Eliminate Eliminate		0 000	\$54,500 20,000 48,000 189,781	\$57,725 21,000 0	\$60,086 22,050 0 0	\$ 63,091 23,153 0 0	\$66,245 24,310 0 0	369,557 25,526 0 0
Total Estimated Engineering Expenses		0\$	0\$	\$312,281	\$78,225	\$82,136	\$86.243	\$90,555	\$95.083

Table 3 Grenter Pine Island Water Association 2006 Water Rate Study Update

Projection of Annual Debt Service

Line No. Description	2006	Proje	cted Calendar Year 2008	Ending December 2009	31,[1] 2010	2011
EXISTING DEBT SERVICE						
Morigage 000612441 1 Start Balance	\$1,074,	230 \$981,465	\$881,103	\$772,521	\$655,046	\$527,950
2 Interest 3 Principal	87, 92,			63,269 117,475	53,648 127,096	43,239 137,505
4 Total Debt Service	\$180,	144 S180,744	\$180,744	\$180,744	5180,744	\$180,744
Mortgage 001833841 5 Start Balance	52,908,	512 \$2,801,525	5 \$2,688,397	52,568,775	\$0	\$0
6 Interest 7 Principal	166. 106.			16,159 2,558,775	0	0.
8 Sub-Total Debt Service	5273.	936 \$273,936	\$273,936	\$2,584,933	\$0	50
Less Principal Refinance		a	0	(2,568,775)	0	0
9 Total Debt Service	\$273	36 \$273,93 6	\$273,936	\$16,159	\$0	\$0
Mongage 001864711 10 Shari Balance	31,331)	206 \$1,490,984	\$1,427,022	\$1,359,088	\$1,286,935	\$1,210,302
II Interest 12 Principal	96, 60,			84,399 72,153	79,919 76,633	75,160 81,392
13 Total Debi Service	\$156,	552 \$156,552	\$156,552	\$156,552	\$156,552	\$156,552
TOTAL EXISTING DEBT SERVICE 14 Start Balance	\$5,533,	148 \$5,273,974	\$4,596,522	\$4,700,384	\$4,510,756	\$4,307,027
15 Interest 16 Principal	351; 259,0			163,827 159,628	133,567 203,729	-118,399 218,897
17 Total Debt Service	\$611,			\$353,455	\$337,296	\$337,296
PROPOSED DEBT SERVICE	American Property					
Note 1 18 Stant Balance		\$0 50	\$0	So.	so	50
19 Interest 20 Principal	Ar Stein (1995) Program (1995) Program (1995)	0 0		0 0	0	0
21 Total Debt Service		50 30	\$0	50	\$0	20
Note 2 - Refinance Mortgage 001833841 22 Start Balance		50 50	\$0	\$2,680,000	\$2,623,270	\$2,557,128
23 Interest 24 Principal		0 9 0 0		184,250 56,730	196,745 66,142	191,785 71,102
25 Total Debt Service		S 0 S 0	\$0	\$240,980	\$262,887	\$262,887
TOTAL PROPOSED DEBT SERVICE: 26 Sign Balance		50 50	50	\$2,680,000	\$2,623,270	\$2,557,128
27 Injerest	Yar Yari belakula	0 0		184,250	196,745	191,785
28 Principal 29 Total Debi Service		0 0 \$0 \$0		56,730 \$240,980	66,142 \$262,887	71,102
TOTAL EXISTING AND PROPOSED D	EBT SERVICE					\$262,887
30 Suri Balance 31 Interest	\$\$,533.\$ 351.2		\$4,996,522 315,094	\$7,180,384 348,077	\$7,134,026 330,312	\$6,864,155 310,184
32 Principal	2593			246,358	269,871	289,999
3) Fotal Debt Service	S611/2	32 \$611,232	\$611,232	\$ 59 4, 435	\$600,183	\$600,183

Greater Pine Band Water Association
2006 Water Rate Study Update

Development of Interest Income

(

	- Description	2002	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
	OPERATING/GENERAL RESERVE ACCOUNT (Cash in Brack) (U)						
;	Beginning Balance	\$3,362,032	51,117,746	\$1,302,870	\$1,127,521	\$1,236,030	\$1.282.656
ĊV.	Transfers In - Revenue Requirements	2,327,331	2,931,997	3,080,357	3,236,223	3,399,642	3,572,015
(الع	Transfers Out - Revenue Requirements	2,958,806	2,614,873	2,917,206	2,870,214	3,148,516	3,085,607
4	Transfers: Out - Capital Improvements	1,612,81	132,000	238,500	257,500	204,500	182,500
'n	Transfers Out. Capacity, Fee Fund	0		100,000	0	0	٥
٥	Operating Transfers in (Out)	0	0	•	0	0	Ö
. ,	microssRate	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2,00%
œ.	Interest-Income	44,798	24,206	24,304	23,636	25,187	28,692
-0	ACCOMMING OF THE STATE OF THE S	44 702	Anc. hr.	POE 70	202.00	Log Sc	607.60
		111774	002,F3	102.01	020,020	191,62	760'97
		000,000	000,000	1,127,126,1	000,000	000,202	#00'09C'T
.	Device O. C. M. Torreted	ממילמים	On Ch	On one	// nnc	524,620	149,041
1		<u> </u>	5 -	≥ <u>¥</u>	2 6	₹ 5	2 66
				3	o 21	1	707
. 4	Percent Alfocable to Water System	100:00%	1:00:00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100:00%
ų	denoving dillocation of Marion Caretina	906 KV	SOCIEC SOCIEC	20.00	74%	i i	40000
1.:		92/1F	007°	tnc'+7	000,02	791,07	769'97
	NEDICAL REIMBURSEMENT FUND (U)						
٠	Beginning Bance	\$48,282	\$48,282	\$48,282	\$48,282	\$48,282	\$48,282
	Transfer	0		0	0		•
20	TansfersOut	6	0	O	0	0	o
0.	The state of the s	2.00%	2:00%	2,00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%
2	(interest income	996	996	996	996	996	996
	Kecognition of interest ramings	77.0				1	
2 5	In Kevenue Kequirencos	200	9 6	2000	966	996	996
1	Percent Allocable to Water System	100,00%	787'04 100'00%	100,00%	48,482	787,84	48,282
4.	Amount Allocable to Water System	996	996	996	996	996	996

Tables
Greater Pine Island Water Association
2006 Water Rate Study Undate

[;

velopment of interest Income

The state of the s				Fiscal Year Ending December 31	December 31,			
Description		2006	2007	2008	2069	2010	2011	
Beginning Balance	3	\$115,000	\$115.000	0118 000	000 3110	Out Street	() () () () () () () () () ()	
Transfers.In		0	0005-1-0	000,0114	000,0118	000,0136	000,0114	
Transfers Out		6	٥	0) O	-		
Interest Rate		2.00%	2.00%	2,00%	2.00%	2,00%	2.00%	
Interest income		2,300	2,300	2,300	2,300	2,300	2,300	
Necognition of interferentialities		2 300	oue c	-		100		
Ending Balance		115,000	000,2	7,500	7.300	06 Z	2,300	
Percent Allocable to Water System		100.00%	100:00%	100.00%	100,000	15,000 101,00%	115,000	
) Vj.					200000	
Amount Allocable to Water System		2,300	2,300	2,300	2,300	2,300	2,300	
CAPITAL GONDINGENCYFIIND								
X A STATE TO THE WORLD TO THE STATE OF THE S			6					
		7	<u> </u>	2	G,	8	8	
Transfer In Pract Demander		-	.	o (α.	0	۵	
Transfers Out - Cabital Introvenents		> 0	. .c	o 6	0 6	0 4	0	
InterestiRate		2 00%	2 may	2000	7800.	0 000	0 100	
Interest Income		; 	χ. c	2 C	8,00.7 0	%.Q.7	%007 7	
Recognition of Interest Earnings					*** *	5	>	
in Revenue Requirements		0	0		=	•		
Ending Balance		0	O	0	· c		o : c	
Percent Allocable to Water System		700:001	100.001	100 00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	
		Α , γ .	. n					
Amount Anocable to water system		o	0	Ö	0	0	c	
(exputational control of the control	•							
Beginning Balance		20	\$200,883	\$420,839	\$217,269	\$469.902	\$546.127	
								1
New EKUS		<u>х</u>	132	134	137	139	143	
Capita Charge per EKU		\$1,487	\$2,083	52,145	\$2,209	\$2,275	\$2,343	
Transfers In - Capital Charges		220,883	274.956	287.430	302 633	318.095	235.040	
) 1	
Transfers In Operating Reserves		0	•	000,001	0	0	0	
ransiers Cut. Capital Improvencious		20,000	55,000	591,000	20,000	240,000	0	
The part of the content of the conte		2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2:00%	200%	2.00%	
Description of Information		5007	6,217	6,381	6,872	10,160	14,273	
io Revenue Requirements		2,000	6169	900	Ç			
Ending Balance		200 883	420 839	1976 616	7/8/0	00101	14,273	
Percent Allocable to Water System		100.00%	100.00%	%000001	100.00%	100.00%	001,1/0 100.005	
Amount Allocable to Water System		2,009	6,217	6,381	6,872	10,160	14,273	

:	
ì	
<i>j</i>	22
1.	6
7	186
	ŭ
[]	1.7
1.1	
100	1 1
100	-
	•
	21.3
100	
1,	100
(
	100
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	11.7
[T	
1.	
-	
f -	
: L 12:	
1 -	
1.	
4	
].	
).	
1.	
1.	
1.	ı
1.	
1.	
1.	
1.	

Table 4
Greater Pine Island Water Association
2006 Water Rue Study Update

Development of Interest Income

Requirements useRequirements ricEntings						
SRVE Evenue Requirements Revenue Requirements Interest Earnings						
Beginning Balance Transfers In - Revenue Requirements Transfers Out - Revenue Requirements Interest Rate Interest Income Recognition of Interest Earnings	9		A			
Fansiers in - Revenue Requirements Transfers Out - Revenue Requirements Interest Rate Interest Income Recognition of Interest Earnings	0%	20	20	20	00	20
IntaissessOuth Kevenne Acquirements Inferest Rate Interest Income Recognition of Laterest Barnings	0	0	0	o	Ö	Φ.
Instructivance Interest Income Recognition of Interest Earnings	O	0	0	0	0	Φ.
Recognition of Interest Earnings	%00%	2.00%	200%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%
.	>	.		0	0	0
in Revenue Requirements	ė.	G	· -	•	¢	
EndingBalance	Ö		÷ =	o .c	o-,e	9.0
Percent Allocable to Water System	%00.001	100:00%	100,00%	100,000%	100:00%	100.00%
Amount/Mocaole to war->ystem	o e	o .	9	0	o .	0
CONSTRUCTION FUND (FUTURE BONDS)	3					
		0\$	200	20	8	20
Transfers In Revenue Requirements	~	o,	•	0	0	
Tansfers cut - revenue requirements)	0 60	0	0	O	0
THE PERSON NAMED IN THE PE	0.707.5	6.00.7 0	ራ ያለ	%no.7	2.00%	20%
Recognition of Interest Earthings					S	>
in Revenue Requirements	Ö	0	•	0	0	0
Ending Balance	•	0	6	0	0	0
Percent-Allocable to Water System	%00.001	100:00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Amount Allocable to Water System		6	•			c
Water	48,063	27,472	27.570	26.901	28 452	31958
	\$48,063	\$27,472	\$27,570	\$26,901	\$28,452	\$31,958
COLATINIERESTINGOME						
Watch	690'84	27,472	27.570	26.901	28.452	31.958
	248,063	\$27,472	\$27,570	\$26,901	\$28,452	\$31,958
TOTALCASH	1,366,693	1,751.181	1372359	1 737 833	1.857.235	2 499 698

Page 1 of 2

Orester Pine Lisind Water Association 2006 Water Rate Study Update Capital Improvement Frogram

	Spille	2002	Adjustments	2000	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Total
Administration										
Computer Tape Back-up Exactions	REV	ଞ୍ଚ °	9, 0	05	\$1.000	8	S	8	\$1,000	\$2,000
Billing Software System	i Mag Mag Mag Mag Mag Mag Mag Mag Mag Mag	45,000	>	45,000	0	- C	00	0.0	0	45.85 SS SS
	KEV	0	Θ.	0	c	•	0	0	٥	
)	7,500	•	7,500	1.800	4,000	5,800	7,100	8,000	34,200
Administration Total		52,500	0	52,500	3,300	4,000	5,800	7,100	000.6	81,700
Wallow Kapilaciment Kenotanes Train A. State	ă.	,		•					•	, ,
Replace Membranes Irain B - Stage 1	O.	 	o	- 0	o o	o . e	0.0	000'05	0 6	20,000
dembranes Train C - Stage 1	క	9	0	0	i. a .	.0:	20,000	, <u>o</u>		50,000
demoranes frank A. Siage 2	OX OX	.	0 .9	•	6	٥	0	.: .	O:	. :
Achorene Train C. Stage 2	క క	5	o C	0 6	0 0	0.0	0 00	o (φ 6	76.04
B. Replacement	8	0) O	20,000	9 0	200°C7	o .c	> .c	20.02
	ಹ	•	•	0				15,000	000'09	75,000
Suffide Reduction (Air Sembber)	ĕ 8	o (ο (0	10,000	000'01	10,000	10,000	10,000	80'05
)	5 6	> 0	0	0 6	0 000	0 00	•	10,000	0 0	10.0
	ĕ	•	• •	0	25,000	3) ()		• •	25.000
	ő 6	•	0	•	o	25,000	0	•	•	25,0
100000	Š	•	0	•	0	0	25,000	.φ		25,000
Paing	ŝ	0	0	Ó	50,000	50,000	50,000		0	150.00
	GAP.	20,000	ē	20,000	D	300,000	٥	.a	0	320,000
Total RO Flant		20,000	0	20,000	115,000	389,000	160,000	85,000	70,000	839,000
Transmission/Distribution								h i		
re fiydrani Placement Program	REV	000'01	٥	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	00000
cood Upgrade cood Upgrade	KEV SAP	o c	00	÷ c	0.0	000'091	0	160,000	o .	320,000
Security-Scada	OR.	>	e ien	, 0	\$ 000	5,000	\$:000	240,000	a -c	20,000
	8 6	0	0	•	٥	0,	0	2,000	o	2,000
Arer Line Under Madacha Bridge	ž č	2.700,000	0 (9) (7)	10,000	.	0 0	0	0 (0 (10,000
ater Line Under Mariacha Bridge	FEMA2	0	1.167,549	1,167,549	•			.	- •	1,332,431
Replace Sandy Hook Sub Aqueous Bridge Crossing	OR	250,000	0 (179,640)	0 70 360	ρ.c	<i>o</i> ∈	ρ. c	0.0		0
Replace Sandy Hook Sub Aqueous Bridge Crossing	FEMAI	0	179.640	179,640	. 0	Φ.	. 0	9 0	0	179,640
no-Aqueous A. Dassing Matacha Postotrice Bridge	Š Š	o (0	6	0	62,500	62,500	62,500	62,500	250,000
	Š	>	3	6	0	20,000	20,000	20,000	20,000	200,000
sion.Distribution		2,970,000	0	2,970,000	15,000	527,500	127,500	\$29.500	122.500	4.792 0810
42.00m-0.5-0-0.00m	Computer Tape Back-up Suifing Software System Copy Machine Copy Mach	min in the control of	REV	REV Store Store	NEW State Color Color	NEW 50 50 50	New York	NEW SO SO SO SO SO SO SO S	NEW State State	NEW SEV CASO CA

Table S Greater Pine Island Water Association 2006 Water Rate Study Opdate

Capitel Improvement Program

State Stat			Funding	Budgeted	A dinember	Adjusted	e evyc	ouv.	6000			
OR CAP		Center Fump Station				0007	*00*	2008	2009	2010	2031	Total
OR 70 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		HS Pump #1. Replacement	ĕ	Ö	0	o	32,000	0	0		c	32.600
OR CAP 0 0 0 0 0 55000 OR 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 OR 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 OR 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 OR 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 OR 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 OR 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 OR 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 OR 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 OR 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 OR 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 OR 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 OR 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 OR 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 OR 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 OR 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 OR 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 OR 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 OR 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 OR 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 OR 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 OR 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 OR 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 OR		HS Pump #2 Replacement	క	a	0	0	30,000	32,000	. 0	o • e	· c	52 OPP CS
CAP 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		Emergency Generator	క	8	0	0	0	\$0,000	ø		۰۰	50,000
CAP		Total Center Pump Station		0	0	0	62,000	R7 800	c	e		
OR CAY 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0									> , ·	?	-	144,000
CAY 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0			Ko	0		0		, e	00000		1	
CAP 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0							•		owor's	>	3	and of the
CAP 0 0 0 5,000 1,				0	6	ø	0.	0.	30,000	0	0	30,000
NEV 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		Off-Island Pump Station Security-Scada-Fiber Op	8		: ₽ :	0	2,000	1,000		c	c	V.
REV		Total Off-Island Improventants.		0	0	0	600.5	1,000	0		0	0009
REV 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	11.									•		
REV 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		1994 Chevy Blazer		0	ा, ा ,० ००	0	20,000	0	•	O	0	20,000
REV 40,000 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		Replace 2000 Ford F-150	12	⊃ . ⊕	0 0	> 0	⊝ ⊂	-	20,000	0 000	0 (20,000
Color Colo				0	•		20,000	. 0	s e	0	.	20,000
A 40,000				2000	-	40,000	40.000	96	00	0 0	00	40,000
A2JORU 0 40,000 80,000 0 S3J08Z.500											>	200,04
S\$1,082,500	171			0000	P	40,000	80,000	0	20,000	20,000	0	160,000
CAN 22,850,000 (\$1,347,189) \$116,12.8(1 \$152,000 \$238,500 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	55 5	TOTAL WATER SYSTEM CAPITAL COSTS		\$3,082,500	20	53,082,500	5280,300	\$1,003,500	\$343,300	\$641,600	5201,500	\$5,552,700
OR \$72,869,000 (\$1,347,189) \$1,612,811 \$132,000 \$238,500 O	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1											
CAP 20000 0 20000 55000 591000	CHARLEST A. 1921 1	overve Mary		52,960,000	(\$1,347,189)	\$1612.8	\$132,000	005'852%	\$257,500	\$204,500	S182,500	SZ,627,811
	ME			ZO:000 ZO:000	0 0 0	000 2000 2000	000'SS	000'165	0 0000	248,000	00	000'956
EEN/A2				102.500	0 179,640	102,500	93,360 0	174,000	35,800	197,100	000,21	00Z1Z9
\$P\$100000000000000000000000000000000000	100.00	FEWA Reimbursement	FEWA2	•	[.167,549	1,167,549	•	ė	0) O		1,167,549

nounts as provided by Association staff on May 1, 2006 and revised by the General Manager on June 2, 2006.

Table 6 Greater Plue Island Water Association 2006 Water Rate Study Update

Projected Debt Service Analysis

No. Description 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2010 2010 System Revenues System Revenues Seles Revenues S	Line	化二醇化物 一人说话 新沙		Projecte	d Calendar Year E	nding December 3	1-111	
System Revenues System Revenues Syzem Revenue Syzem Reven	No.	Description	2006					2011
Sales Revenues Substrict		System Revenues				And the section in a		
Additional Rate Revenues 40,028 598,719 700,413 808,660 923,791 1.0	1	3 N. 330 C. 330 C. 320 C.		100	W ++			
Additional Rate Revenues 40,028 598.719 700,413 808.660 923.791 1.0	2	Water- Existing Rate Revenues	\$2,287,303	\$2,333,278	\$2,379,944	\$2 427 543	\$2 475 851	\$2,525,616
Total Sites Revenues \$2,327,311 \$2,311,997 \$3,080,357 \$3,225,223 \$3,399,642 \$3,55 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	3	Additional Rate Revenues					11211 (2002)	1,046,399
5 Other Operating Revenue	4		\$2,327,331		\$3,080,357			\$3,572,015
Total System Revenues	- 5			319,749	330,246	341,187		364,477
Total Operating Expenses \$2,603,353 \$2,257,563 \$2,489,790 \$2,608,068 \$2,732,249 \$2,89 \$2,89 \$2,80 \$2,608,068 \$2,732,249 \$2,80 \$2,80 \$2,80 \$2,608,068 \$2,732,249 \$2,80 \$2,8	6						28,452	31,958
Net Revenues w/o Capacity Fees \$82,257 \$1,021,656 \$948,383 \$996,244 \$1,048,409 \$1,110 \$1,000 \$1,110 \$1,000	7	Totat System Revenues	\$2,685,610	\$3,279,218	\$3,438,173	\$3,604,311	\$3,780,658	\$3,968,450
Capacity Fees 220,383 274,956 287,430 302,633 316,225 3	8	Total Operating Expenses	\$2,603,353	\$2,257,563	\$2,489,790	\$2,608,068	\$2,732,249	\$2,862,859
Net Revenue Including Capacity Fees \$303,140 \$1,296,612 \$1,235,813 \$1,298,877 \$1,364,634 \$1,44						\$996,244	\$1,048,409	\$1,105,591
Long-term Debt Service								335,049
Existing Debt Service \$611,232 \$611,232 \$353,455 \$337,296 \$3435 \$5600,183 \$5600,183 \$3600 \$3600,183 \$3	ertiki. T	Not Revenue Including Capacity Fees	\$303,140	\$1,296,612	\$1,235,813	-\$1,298,877	\$1,364,634	\$1,440,640
13								
Total Long-term Debt Service \$611,232 \$611,232 \$611,232 \$594,435 \$600,183 \$600			\$611,232	\$611,232	\$611,232	\$353,455	\$337,296	\$337,296
Debt Service Coverage Test	1000				100		262,887	262,887
15 Coverage Ratio w/o Capacity Fees - Calculated 13.46% 167.15% 155.16% 167.60% 174.68% 116 125.00% 125.00	14	Total Long-term Debt Service	\$611,232	\$611,232	\$611,232	\$594,435	\$600,183	\$600,183
16 Coverage Ratio + Required [2] 125.00% 125.00% 125.00% 125.00% 125.00% 125.00% 1 17 Coverage Ratio w/ Capacity Fees - Calculated 49.59% 212.13% 202.18% 218.51% 227.37% 20 18 Coverage Ratio - Required N/A				radio di Pari		i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i		
17 Coverage Ratio w/ Capacity Fees - Calculated 49.59% 212.13% 202.18% 218.51% 227.37% 2.18 Coverage Ratio - Required N/A			. 7 / 6 / 6 / 7				174.68%	184.21%
18 Coverage Ratio - Required N/A N/A <td>16</td> <td>Coverage Ratio - Required [2]</td> <td>125.00%</td> <td>125.00%</td> <td>125 00%</td> <td>125,00%</td> <td>125.00%</td> <td>125.00%</td>	16	Coverage Ratio - Required [2]	125.00%	125.00%	125 00%	125,00%	125.00%	125.00%
18 Coverage Ratio - Required N/A N/A <td>17</td> <td>Coverage Ratio w/ Capacity Fees - Calculated</td> <td>49 50%</td> <td>212 13%</td> <td>202:18%</td> <td>719 510/</td> <td>227 170/</td> <td>240.03%</td>	17	Coverage Ratio w/ Capacity Fees - Calculated	49 50%	212 13%	202:18%	719 510/	227 170/	240.03%
19						in in the property of the contract of the cont		240,0372 N/A
19		Net Cach Aveilable		protovár Mi				
20 Total Long-term Debt Service 611,232 611,232 611,232 594,435 600,183 66 21 Amount Available for Required Transfers and Other Disbursements (\$308,092) \$685,380 \$624,581 \$704,442 \$764,451 \$34 Required Transfers and Other Disbursements 22 Capital Funded from Renewal & Replacements \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 23 Capital Funded from Rates 102,500 93,300 174,000 35,800 197,100	19		\$303.140	\$1.296.612	C1 22 C 21 2	C1 200 077	01.367.634	\$1,440,640
Required Transfers and Other Disbursements 22 Capital Funded from Renewal & Replacements 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 5								600,183
22 Capital Funded from Renewal & Replacements \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 23 Capital Funded from Rates 102,500 93,300 174,000 35,800 197,100 1	21	Amount Available for Required Transfers and Other Disbursements	(\$308,092)	\$685,380	\$624,581	\$704,442	\$764,451	\$840,457
23 Capital Funded from Rates 102,500 93,300 174,000 35,800 197,100		Required Transfers and Other Disbursements	•					
23 Capital Funded from Rates 102,500 93,300 174,000 35,800 197,100			so	\$0	.\$0	\$0	\$0	. so
			102,500			10,000		19,000
24 Total Required Transfers and Other Distrusciments \$102,500 593,300 \$174,000 \$35,800 \$197,100 \$3	24	Total Required Transfers and Other Disbursements	\$102,500	\$93,300	\$174,000	\$35,800	\$197,100	\$19,000
25 Net Amount Available for Other Lawful Purposes (\$410,592) \$592,080 \$450,581 \$668,642 \$567,351 \$82	25	Net Amount Available for Other Lawful Purposes	(\$410.592)	\$592.080	\$450.581	\$668.642	\$569.351	\$821,457

Footnotes:
[1] Amounts derived from Table 1.

^[2] The Debt Service Coverage Test based upon covenant requirements provided for within the existing loan agreement and is equal to Net Income (adjusted for non-cash revenues and expenses) divided by the total debt service (principal and interest) of all outstanding Long-term Debt Obligations.

Table 7 Greater Pinc Island Water Association 2006 Water Rate Study Update

Other Debt Service Test Requirements

Line No.	Description	2006	Projecto 2007	ed Calendar Year I	Inding December 3	1, [1] 2010	2011
	Working Capital (2)						
:12	Current Assets						
2	Beginning Balance Inflows - Excludes Capacity Fees	\$3,836,237	\$3,204,762	\$3,521,886	\$3,685,037	\$4,051,046	\$4,302,172
4	Outflows - Includes Capital Payments	2,327,331 2,951,806	2,931,997 2,614,873	3,080,357 2,917,206	3,236,223 2,870,214	3,399,642 3,148,516	3,572,015 3,085,607
5	Ending Balance	\$3,204,762	\$3,521,886	\$3,685,037	\$4,051,046	\$4,302,172	\$4,788,580
6	Current Liabilities Beginning Balance (Days Outstanding)	\$363,960	\$363.756	4.11.12.4			
?	laflows - A/P Outlows	\$363,960 (204) 0	48,316) 0	\$315,440 32,448 0	\$347,888 16,527 0	\$364,415 17,351	\$3\$1,766 18,250
9	Ending Balance - Before Current Portion 51	\$363,756	\$315,440	5347,888	\$364,415	\$381,766	\$400,016
10	Current Postion - Existing Debt	277,452	296,138	189,628	203,729	218,897	235,214
1 2	Current Portion - Proposed Debt Ending Balance	\$641,208	5611,578	56,730 \$594,246	\$6,142 \$634,286	71,102 \$671,765	76,435 \$711,665
		200		and the second	45.444	3, 41, 11	
13 14	Current Assets in Excess of Current Liabilities Working Capital Required	\$2,563,554 \$500,000	\$2,910,308 \$500,000	\$3,090,791 \$500,000	\$3,416,760 \$500,000	\$3,630,406 \$500,000	\$4,076,915 \$500,000
		i Presidenti.	tia i				
	Total Debt to Capitalization (2)						
15	Total System Debt	\$5,273,974	\$4,996,522	\$4,700,384	\$4,454,026	\$4,184,155	\$3,894,156
	Capitalization						
	Assets						
16 17	Beginning Balance Inflows - Excludes Capacity Fees	\$15,923,301	\$17,627,851 2,931,997	\$17,470,391	\$17,852,053	\$17,766,074	517,844,264
13 19	Inflows - CIP	2,327,331 3,082,500	280,300	3,080,357 1,003,500	3,236,223 343,300	3,399,642 641,600	3,572,915 201,500
20	Outflows - Includes Capital Payments Outflows - Depreciation	2,958,806 746,475	2,614,873 754,884	2,917,206 784,989	2,870,214 795,288	3,148,516 814,536	3,085,607 820,581
21	Ending Balance	\$17,627,851	\$17,470,391	\$17,852,053	\$17,766,074	\$17,844,264	\$17,711,591
22	Liabilities			i de esta desert	Policie.	ATTRACT OF THE	
23	Beginning Balance Inflows - AIP	\$5,897,908 (204)	\$5,637,730 (48,316)	\$5,311,962 32,448	\$5,048,272 16,527	\$4,818,441 17,351	\$4,565,921 18,250
24 25	Inflows - Debt Outflows	(259,974) 0	(277,452) 0	(296,138) 0	(246,351) 0	(269,871) 0	(289,999) 0
26	Ending Balance	\$5,637,730	\$\$311,962	\$5,048,272	\$4,8[8,44]	\$4,565,921	\$4,294,172
27	Assets in Excess of Liabilities (Equity)	\$11,990,121	\$12,758,429	\$12,803,781	\$12,947,633	\$13,278,343	S13,417,419
23	Plus Total Debi	5,213,974	4,996,522	4,700,384	4,454,026	4,184 <u>,1</u> 55	3,894,156
29	Total Capitalization	\$17,264,095	\$17,154,951	\$17,504,165	\$17,401,659	\$17,462,498	\$17,311,575
30 31	Total Debt to Capitalization Raño Maximum Total Debi to Capitalization Raño	30,55% 60,00%	29.13% 60.00%	26.85% 60.00%	25.60% 60.00%	23,96% 60,00%	22.49% 60.00%
	Total Debt to EUITDA [2]						
32	Total System Dobt	\$5,273,974	\$4,996,522	\$4,700,384	\$4,4\$4,026	54,184,155	\$3,894,156
	ЕВІТОА						
33	Sales Revenues Water - Existing Rate Revenues	\$2,287,303	\$2,333,278	\$2,379,944	\$2,427,543	\$2,475,851	\$2,525,616
34 35	Additional Rate Revenues Total Sales Revenues	40,028 \$2,327,331	593,719 52,931,997	700,413 \$3,080,357	\$08,680 \$3,236,223	923,791 \$3,399,642	1,046,399 \$3,572,015
36 37	Other Operating Revenue Unrestricted Interest Income	310,216 43,063	319,749 27,472	330,246 27,570	341,187 26,901	352,563 28,452	364,477 31,958
38	Total System Revenues	\$2,685,610	\$3,279,218	\$3,438,[73	53,604,311	\$3,780,658	\$3,968,450
39	Total Operating Expenses	\$2 ,603,353	\$2,257,563	\$2,489,790	\$2,608,068	\$2,732,249	\$2,862,859
40	TABLESTOA	\$82,257	\$1,02),656	\$948,383	\$996,244	\$1,048,409	\$1,105,591
41 42	Total Debt to EBITDA Ratio Maximum Total Debt to Capitalization Ratio	6411.56% 300.00%	489,06% 800.00%	495.82% 800.00%	447.08% 800.00%	399.10% 800.00%	332,22% 800,00%
45 i		i hiduse ^{rwerse} k		og a mandligg			

Footnote

^[1] Amounts derived from Table 1.

^[2] The Debt Service Coverage Test based upon coverant requirements provided for within the existing loan agreement.

Table 8
Greater Pine Island Water Association
2006 Water Rate Study Update

Existing and Proposed Retail Water Rates

Line			
No.	Description	Existing [1]	Proposed [2]
	Residential Water Services		
	Monthly Service Base Rate (per account):		
1	All Meters	\$3.17	\$3.87
	Monthly Ready-to-Serve Charge (per account):		
	Water Meter Size (inches)		
2	5/8 inch	\$7.92	\$9.66
3	3/4 inch	11,92	14.54
4	1 inch	19.84	24.20
· .			
	Usage Charge per 1,000 gallons of water (per account	()	
	All Meters	00 00	700 0.4
5	0 - 2,000	\$2.33	\$2.84
6	3 - 5,000	2.61 2.91	3.18
7	6-10,000	2.91 3.64	3.55 4.44
8	11 - 15,000 Above 15,000	4.36	5.32
9	Adove 15,000	4,30	J.JL
	Multi-family Water Services		
	Monthly Service Base Rate (per account):		
10	All Meters	\$3.17	\$3.87
	Monthly Ready-to-Serve Charge (per unit):		
	Water Meter Size (inches)		
11	Duplex/Triplex/MH Parks	\$4.00	\$4.88
12	Travel Trailer Parks	2,36	2.88
13	Condominiums	7.11	8.67
	Usage Charge per 1,000 gallons of water (per unit)		
	Duplex/Triplex/MH Parks		
I 4	0 - 1,000	\$2.33	\$2.84
1.5	1 - 2,600	2.61	3.18
16	3-5,000	2.91	3.55
17	6 - 7,000	3.64	4.44
18	Above 7,000	4.36	5.32
	그리는 그 그 그 그 그는 그는 사람들 불편하는데 그리고 하는 그는 그 가지 않는 그 그 그리는 그 가장 되었다.		raj jegresor sijaklarna PoPolisja, ur

Table 8 Greater Pine Island Water Association 2006 Water Rate Study Update

Existing and Proposed Retail Water Rates

tar s	Line				
	<u>No.</u>	Description	<u> </u>	Existing [1]	Proposed [2]
l .		Travel Trailer Parks			
e e	19	0-1,000		\$2.33	\$2.84
	20	1 - 2,000		2.61	3.18
L.	21	3 - 3,000	•	2.91	3.55
	22	4,000	4.24 (1)	3,64	4.44
	23	Above 4,000		4.36	5,32
•					
er.		Condominiums			
Ť	24	0 – 2,000		\$2.33	\$2.84
L.	25	2 - 4,000		2.61	3.18
, n •	26	5-9,000		2.91	3.55
	2 7	10 - 13,000		3.64	4.44
	28	Above 13,000		4.36	5.32
34					
)		Commercial Water Services			
		Monthly Service Base Rate (per	account):		
, -	29	All Meters		\$3.17	\$3.87
g (14)			Andrew Company		
li.e.		Monthly Ready-to-Serve Charge	(per account):		
		Water Meter Size (inches)			
	30	5/8 inch		\$7.92	\$9,66
	31	3/4-inch		11,92	14,54
erie in de	32	l inch		19,84	24.20
	33	I.S inch		39.60	48.31
4	34	2 inch		63.35	77,29
interior in the state of the st	35	3 inch		126.69	154.56
	36	4 inch		197,96	241.51
	37	6 inch		395.91	483.01

Table 8
Greater Pine Island Water Association
2006 Water Rate Study Update

Existing and Proposed Retail Water Rates

Line <u>No.</u>	Description	Existing [1]	Proposed [2]
*** 3.4 **			
	Usage Charge per 1,000 gallons of water (per account) Water Meter Size (inches)		
38 39	5/8 inch 0 = 15,000 Above 15,000	\$2.91 3.64	\$3.55 4.44
40 41	3/4 inch 0 - 22,000 Above 22,000	\$2.91 3.64	\$3.55 4.44
42 43	1 inch 0 – 37,000 Above 37,000	\$2.91 3.64	\$3.55 4.44
44 45	1:5 inch 0=75,000 Above 75,000	\$2.91 3.64	\$3.55 4.44
46 47	2 inch 0 = 120,000 Above 120,000	\$2.91 3,64	\$3:55 4.44
48 49	3 inch 0 + 240,000 Above 240,000	\$2.91 3.64	\$3.55 4.44
50 51	4 inch 0 - 375,000 Above 375,000	\$2.91 3.64	\$3.55 4.44
52	6 inch 0 - 750,000	\$2.91	\$3.55

Footnotes:

^[1] Amounts effective with bills rendered on or after June 1, 2006.

^[2] Amounts reflect the proposed system-wide rate increase of 22.0% to become effective with bills rendered on or after January 1, 2007.

Table 9 Greater Pine Island Water Association 2006 Water Rate Study Update

Comparison of Typical Monthly Residential Bills For Water Service [1]

- "			leure Hitesperitus <u>Lie</u>	Reside	ntial Service	for a 5/8" or	3/4" Meter	eran iku basa Managaran n	la la Maria Lac
Line No.	Description	0 Gallons	2,000 Gallons	4,000 Gallous	5,000 Gations	8,000 Gallons	10,000 Gallons	15,000 Gallons	30,000 Gallons
	Greater Pine Island Water Association								
1	Existing Rates - June 1, 2006	\$11.09	\$15.75	\$20.96	\$23.56	\$32.28	\$38.09	\$56.27	\$121.62
2	Proposed Rates - January 1, 2007	13.53	19.21	25.57	28.75	39.38	46.47	68.65	148,39
	Other Florida Utilities:	-							
3	City of Bradenton	\$9.34	\$12.88	\$17.49	\$20.33	\$28.85	\$34,53	\$48.73	\$91,33
4	Bonita Springs Utilities, Inc. [2]	10.39	16.63	22.87	25.99	36.69	44.27	65.23	140.17
5	City of Cape Coral	9,33	13.73	18.13	20.33	28.13	33,33	49.58	69.08
6	Charlotte County [2]	17.83	25.55	33.27	37.13	48.71	56,43	79.53	165.40
7	City of Clearwater	11.34	11.34	15.93	20,52	34.29	44,33	71.58	153,33
8	Collier County [2]	13.32	16.58	19 84	21.47	28.46	33.12	48.47	102,92
9	Englewood Water District	10.00	13.60	17.20	19 00	25.60	35.20	68.80	242.80
10	FGUA - Lehigh Acres System (Lee County)	10.43	18.33	26.23	30.18	42.03	49,93	69.68	128.93
11	Gasparilla Island Water Association, Inc. [2]	21.50	21.50	21.50	21.50	34.40	43,00	64.50	139.00
12	City of Fort Myers	5.44	12.28	19.12	22.54	35.11	43,49	68.39	221.99
13	Hillsborough County	11.70	17.20	22.70	25.45	37.15	44.95	64,45	140.95
14	City of Sanibel	11.00	16.60	22.20	25.00	35.05	41.75	61.25	136.25
15	Lee County	8.45	12,97	17.49	19.75	27.57	33,13	48.59	110.45
16	Manatee County [2]	6.25	8.89	11,53	12.85	17.45	20,73	28.93	121.73
17	City of Naples	11.44	13.82	16.20	17.39	20.96	23.34	29.29	50.44
18	City of North Port	11.79	16.83	21.87	25.65	36.99	47.57	79,33	248.67
19	Pinellas County	3 00	10.20	17.40	21.00	31.80	39,00	57.00	111.00
20	City of Punta Gorda	11.65	17.09	22.53	25.25	33,41	38.85	54.50	105.35
21	City of Sarasota	8.38	13.62	18.86	21.48	29.34	34,58	50.59	110.26
22	Sarasota County [2]	14.30	17.98	21.66	24.32	32.30	41,26	75.16	117.44
23	Other Plorida Utilities' Average	\$10,84	\$15.38	\$20.20	\$22.86	\$32.21	\$39.14	\$59.18	\$135.37

Footnotes:

[1] Unless otherwise noted, amounts shown reflect residential rates in effect June 2006 and are exclusive of taxes or franchise fees, if any, and reflect rates charged for inside the city service. All rates are as reported by the respective utility. This comparison is intended to show comparable charges for similar service for comparison purposes only and is not intended to be a complete listing of all rates and charges offered by each listed utility.

^[2] Utility is currently involved in a rate study, or is planning one within the next few months.

Table 16 Greater Pine Island Water Azstopation 2006 Water Rate Study Update

٠.										
		Remil Otho	\$460,124 78,394 78,394 87,001 29,465 35,119 1,429 691,532	26,398 0 26,398	715 58 122 4,803 2,146 2,600 818	16,352 5,008 1,022 1,808 0	7.5.2. 7.7.7.0 7.3.58 7.3.58	3,066 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	04,950 0 04,950	
		Allocation ketail Bulk & Renail	5351,226 59,891 66,467 22,510 26,831 1,091 528,316	11,314 0 11,514	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1.006 19,009 44,776 23,468	3,440 0 0 2,453 <u>4,292</u> 193,253	92,786 0 27,940 120,726	
		Bulk/Retai Total Bu	\$811,650 158,285 153,468 153,468 51,975 61,950 7,2,520 12,520	37,712	715 58,722 4,803 2,146 2,600 2,600 8,818	16,352 5,008 1,022 19,308 94,815	0 1,000 19,009 14,776 24,708 78,225 7,235	3,440 3,440 2,453 4,292 395,560	92.786 64.950 27.940 185.677	
		recentages [2] Retail Only	56.69% 56.69% 56.69% 56.69% 56.69% 56.69%	70:00%	100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 100.00%	%00.00 %00.00 100.000 0.000 0.000	%0000 %0000 %0000 %0000 %0000	0.00% 0.00% 0.00%	0.00% 0.00%	
	Incremental Basis	Allocation Percentages [2] Bulk / Refail Refail Only	43.31% 43.31% 43.31% 43.31% 43.31%	30.00% 30.00%	0.000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000	%00.0 %00.0 %00.0 %00.0 (00.00)	100,00% 100,00% 100,00% 30,00% 30,00%	%00.001 %00.001 %00.001 %00.001	700.001 700.001	
.v Vater Association Study Update	5 to Bulk Service - Incre	A llocation Pactor	nission nission nission nission nission nission	126D 178D	Reall-Only Reall-Only Reall-Only Reall-Only Reall-Only Reall-Only Reall-Only Reall-Only	Retail-Only Retail-Only Retail-Only Retail-Only Retail-Only Bulk-Retail	Dulic Regal Bulle Regal Bulle Regal Retail-Only T&D Regal-Only Regal-Only	Bulk-Reinl Bulk-Reinl Bulk-Reinl Bulk-Reinl	Bulk-Retail Retail-Ony Bulk-Retail	
Greater Pine Island Water Association 2006 Water Rate Study Update	Net Revenue Requirement	Projected Calendar Year Excluse December 31, Budgeted (1) Adjusted 2007 Adjustments 2007	900000	0 39.712 0 0 0	28,122 0 58,122 0 4,803 0 2,146 0 2,600 0 818 0 1,022	— — W .	0 1,000 0 19,009 0 14,776 0 24,708 0 18,225 0 13,338	0 3440 0 0 0 0 2,453 0 1292 0 395,560	0 92,786 0 64,950 0 27,940 0 185,677	
	Allocation of N	Projected Calendar Year Budgeted [1] 2007 Adjust	5811,650 138,285 133,468 51,975 61,950 2,550 1,219,848	37.712 0 37.712		16,532 1,023 19,839 94,815	1000 19009 14,776 17,722 17,338 18,58	2,440 0 0 2,453 1,022 303,560	92,786 64,950 27,950 185,677	
		Description	OPERATING EXPENSES Personnel Wages Employee Bunefite Employee Bunefite Redubli Insurance Worker's Compensation Payroll Taxes Unemployment Taxes Total Personnel	Velucies & Depretation Velucie Expense Depreciation Tetal Velicles & Depreciation	Mank Service Charges Contract Services (Neter Reading) Office Supplies General Supplies Analosia/Cleaning Supplie: Coffee Equipment	Computers Annual/Special Meetings Travel Postage/Printing Instrume Instrume Minical Expense	Loan Expense Arieling Logal Customer Billing Expense Expense Nikseellancous Expense Execution	Operating Supplies & Expense Cash Cover) short Permis Security System Total Administration	Gremicals Maintenance & Repair Laboratory Total RO Plane	
		Line No.	in wite a wine is:	8 0 <u>0</u>	= BB	≃ខន≂ឧឧ≃	·治华尔莱克莱州) 5889	

| Anie 10 Grester Pine Islam! Water Associatio 2006 Water Rate Study Dydate

[,

	Projected to Landary Van Francisco						Allocation	
Line Noi Bescoption	Budgeted [1] 2007 Adjustments	Adjusted ts 2007	Allocation	Allocation Percentages [2] Bulk / Resail Resail Only	Zentages [2]	Bulk	Bulk / Retail Doilt-9: Obesit	Retail
Describution System 12 Secondary Mains 12 Secondary Mains 13 St. James City Shub-Station 14 Bokeelia Sub-Station 15 Center Sub-Station 16 Secolup Ave Sub-Station 17 Center Sub-Station 18 Secolup Ave Sub-Station 19 Secolup Ave Sub-Station 10 Distribution System	2008 2721 2731 2731 273		Bulk-Retai Retail-Only T&D T&D T&D T&D		0.00% 10.00% 70.00% 70.00% 70.00% 70.30%	2.398 57,600 526 521 7,923 11,207	2398 2398 188 156 2377 2372	57,600 57,600 438 365 5,546 7,845
Missellaneous 48 WaterSamples 49 Communications 50 Travel-Directors 51 Disposal Service 52 Utilities 53 Special Projects 54 Special Projects 55 Total Missellaneous			Bulk-Renal T&D Renal-Only Bulk-Renal Bulk-Renal Bulk-Renal Bulk-Renal	100.00% 30.00% 0.00% 100.00% 100.00% 100.00%	0000% 100000% 0000% 0000% 0000%	80.276 27.083 17.306 10.2 3.577 224,668 0	8481 27,083 5.192 0 3,577 224,668	71/755 127.14 10.2 0 0
			Retail-Only Retail-Only Retail-Only Retail-Only Retail-Only	%(00°0 %(00°0 %(00°0 %(00°0	100,00% 100,00% 100,00% 100,00%	272,736	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	122.4 0 0 0 0 0
ē	0 0		Retail-Only Retail-Only Retail-Only Retail-Only	%00.0 %00.0 %00.0 %00.0	100,00% 100,00% 100,00% 100,00%	00000	00000	
67 Operating Contingency. 31 52 TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES	3.00% 65.754 0	65,754	O&W	%\$4.59	34.25%	65.754	43,233	LZ\$,22
OTHER REVENUE REQUIREMENTS Delt Service Stating Delt Service Proposed Delt Service Til Total Delti:Service	611 232 611 232 611 233		Retail-Only Retail-Only	%00.0 %00.0	.00.000 100.000	\$2,257,563 611,232 611,232	\$1,65,844 0 0	\$1,091,719 611,232 611,232
72 Capital Funded from Rates 75 Transfer to Capital Contingency Fund 74 Fotal Other Revenue Requirements 75 GROSS REVENUE REQUIREMENTS	93.300 004.553 704.553 7.952.0955	0 53,300 0 704,532 0 2,562,095	T&D. Bulk-Retail	30,00%	70.00%	93,300 0 704,532 2,362,095	27,990 27,990 1,193,834	65,310 0 676,542 17.88.281

Table 10
Greater Pine Island Water Association
2006 Water Rate Snub. Undate

[]

2066 Water Rank Straty Update Allection of Net Revenue Requirements to Bulk Service. Increments B

	Protected Calendar Year Briting December 31.	Ending December 3 L				Alfocation Bulk / Resail	
Description	Budgeted [1] 2007 Adjusments	Adjusted Jents 2007	Allocation	Allocation Percentages (2) Bulk / Retail Renai Only	Pors	Bulb & Darmi	Retail
LESS INCOME AND FUNDS FROM OTHER SOURCES Other Operating Revenue Interest Income Operating Reserves - (Supilus) Deficiency	0 208/202 208/202	0 319749 0 27.472 0	Retail-Only Revenue Revenue	11 17 18 18		222.079	319,749 53393 0
79 NETREVENUE REQUIREMENTS.	82,614,873	50 \$2,6,4,873			52,614,873	\$52,[17],754	\$1,443,119
80 TEST REVENUE REQUIREMENTS	52,614,873	50 52,614,873			. .	20	8
81 PLUS ADDITIONAL RESERVE REQUIREMENTS	7.7	0 51,7,12	Ratail-Only	2,000.001	317,124	0	317,124
82. AMOUNT TO BE RECOVERED FROM TATES	\$2,931,997	80 \$2,931,997			52,931,997	\$1,171,754	\$1,760,243
83 PROJECTED SALES OF WATER (THOUSAND: GALLONS)[2]						451,681	451,681
PROBECTED COST PER THOUSAND CALLONS ADDITIONAL CONTRIBUTION TO DEBT SERVICE COVERA PROPOSED BULK RATE PER THOUSAND GALLONS	ELVA BLANCE				%00:0	Cost to Bulk Customer \$2.59	Cost to Retail Customer \$6.49
PERCENT OF RETAIL CUSTOMER COST: WITHOUT COVERAGE CONTRIBUTION PERCENT OF RETAIL CUSTOMER COST: WITH COVERAGE CONTRIBUTION	VERAGE CONTRIBUTION AGE CONTRIBUTION					39.91%	100.00%

[2] Amount estimated based upon Calendar Year 2005 water production, less an experienced line loss of 12% per year plus customer growth.

Table \$1 Greater Pine Island Water Association 2006 Water Rate Study Update

Existing Assets

,			*	Extrade West	: '	in the	1.43			er a si
Lin No		Service Service Date Year	Historical Cost [1]	Adjusted Adjustment Cost	Annualized SNR Index	Estimated Replacement Cost	All	ocator Transmission	Total Replaces	ncut Costs Transmission
	EXISTING ASSETS						-1 vi 1 ja, s	The transfer of	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	
	Land and Improvements									
	1 Land Substation	6/30/1969 1969	\$3,359	\$0 \$3,359	4,98%	\$20,276	0%	100%	-	
	2 Land Storage 3 Improvements	6/30/1971 1971 6/30/1972 [972	4,061 462	0 4,061 0 462	4.61% 4.43%	19,676 2,019	0% 0%	100%	0 20	\$20,276 19,676
٠	Culvers & Calch Baştın Fence Substation	6/30/1977 1977 4/17/1980 1980	1,586 4,785	0 1,586	3,81% 3,37%	4,716	0%	100% 100%	0	2,019 4,716
	6 Fence Substation 7 Fence Substation	4/22/1980 1980 6/30/1980 1980	524 2,885	0 524	3.37%	11,323 1,240	0% 0%	100% 100%	0	11,323
	8 Land Clearing Center Station 9 Sidewalk Center Station	6/2/1980 198 0	250	0 2,885 0 250	3.37% 3.37%	6,827 592	0% 0%	100% 100%	0	6,827 592
	10 Shell Parking Lot 11 3 Garage Doors Center Station	10/23/1980 1980 9/24/1980 1980	410 400	0 410 0 400	3.37% 3,37%	970 947	0% 0%	100% 100%	0	970 937
	12 Land St. James Substation	12/9/1980 1980 3/15/1980 1980	1,042 20,457	0 1,042 0 20,457	3.37% 3.37%	2,466 48,409	0% 0%	100% 100%	0	2,466 48,409
1 4	13 Survey Lot for 3m Tank 14 Land Scaping Main Office	4/30/1981 1981 9/30/1982 1982	880 780	0 180 0 780	3.14% 2.94%	1,907 1,562	100%	0% 0%	1,907	48,405 6
	 Pave and Stripe Parking Lot Stripe Parking Lot 	12/28/1983 1983 2/21/1989 1989	2,596 590	0 2,596 0 590	2.79% 3.03%	4.891 979	100%	6%	1,562 4,891	0
	17 34 Acres New RO Plant 18 10 Acres New RO Plant	8/10/1989 1989 5/20/1991 1991	194,901 6,471	0 194,901	3.03% 3.12%	323,498	100%	0% 0%	979 323,498	0
	19 Test Well - New RO Plant 20 Road / PERC Pond	10/15/1989 1989 5/7/1993 1993	13,828	0 13,628	3.03%	10,252 22,952	100% 100%	0% 0%	10,252 22,952	0
	21 Mitigation Landscaping 22 Fencing	5/7/1993 1993	677,644 83,044	0 677,644 0 83,044	3.01% 3.01%	996,306 122,095	100%	0% 0%	996,306 122,093	0
7	23 Trees / Shrubs RO Plant	5/7/1993 (993 3/23/1994 1994	18,592 1,530	0 (8,592 0 1,530	3.01% 2.94%	27,335 2,167	100%	0% 0%	27,335 2,167	0
	24 Additions / Deferious 2003 through 2005 25 Total	12/31/2005 2005	535,777	0 535,777	2,92%	551,398	200%	0%	551,398	0
	ROPlani	a ^d	1,576,854	0 1,576,854	4.98%	2,184,803	95%	5%	2.065,342	119,461
	6 Fire Hydrant	6/30/1979 1979	572	0 522	3.53%	1/332	100%	Ö%		- 3 - 1 - 2 - 3
. 2	27 2 Air Compressors 28 3 Flor Rate Transmitters	12/(4/1983 1983 11/25/1987 1987	3,935 5,089	0 3,935 0 5,089	2.79% 2.95%	7,413 8,847	100%	0%	1,332 7,413	0
3	9 Brine Treatment 0 RO Plans Building	4/1/1992 1992 5/7/1993 1993	68,092 855,102	0 68,092 0 855,102	3.12% 3.01%	104,631	100%	0% 0%	8,847 104,631	0
3 1 3		5/7/1993 1993 5/7/1993 1993	1,888,396 225,313	0 1,888,306	3.01%	1,257,213 2,776,281	100%	0% 0%	1,257,213 2,776,281	0
, 3	3 2 Mil Gallon Storage Tarks	5/7/1993 1993	392,858	D 225,213 D 392,858	3.01% 3.01%	331,266 577,599	100%	0% 0%	331,266 577,599	0 6
3	5 15" Alubinura Gate Valve	5/7/1993 1993 7/21/1993 1993	10,993 681	0 10,993 0 683	3.01% 3.01%	16,162 1,001	100%	0% 0%	16,162 1,001	0
3	7 2 Air Cooleis	5/31/1994 1994 7/13/1994 1994	4,215 6,252	0 4,215 0 6,252	2.94% 2.94%	5,970 8,855	100% 100%	0% 0%	5,970 1,855	ŏ
3	9 115 Vac Moior w/ Pump	7/29/1994 1994 11/28/1994 1994	182 555	0 182 0 555	2.94% 2.94%	258 786	100% 100%	0% 0%	258 786	0
4	Concrete Fan Pads	2/7/1995 1995 7/6/1995 1995	787 960	0 787 0 960	3.11% 3.11%	1,102 1,344	100% 100%	0%	1,102	0 0
4.	3 EL 100 Activators	8/7/1995 1995 11/2/1995 1995	944 1,261	0 944	3.11%	1,322	100%	0% 0%	1,344 1,322	D D
4		6/4/1996 1996 7/23/1996 1996	9,300	0 9,300	3.11% 3.15%	1,766 [2,676	100%	0% 0%	1,766 12,676	0
44	6 7 1/2 HP 3* Pismp	8/20/1996 1996	29,100 1,205	0 29,100 0 1,205	3,15% 3,15%	39,663 1,642	100%	0% 0%	39,663 1,642	0
43	8 Chlorine Injection System	8/20/1996 1996 9/24/1996 1996	5,321 1,905	0 5,32) 0 1,505	3.15% 3.15%	7,252 2,396	100%	0% 0%	7,252 2,596	Ó
49 54	D Pump Installation	(2/17/1996 1996 1/22/1997 1997	1.226 218	0 1,226 0 218	3.15% 3.09%	1,671 247	100% 100%	0% 0%	1,671	Ŏ
51 5	2 42 Membranca	4/16/1997 1997 5/23/199 7 1997	2,395 31,680	0 2,395 0 31,680	3.09% 3.09%	3,149 41,653	100%	0%	287 3,149	0
53 54		5/24/1997 1997 1/7/1997 1997	470 1,226	0 470 0 1,226	3.09% 3.09%	618	100%	0% 0%	41,653 618	0 6
55 56		3/26/1998 1998 4/10/1998 1998	3,075 1,203	0 1,075	3.27%	1,612	100% 100%	0% 0%	1,612 1,391	0
. 57 58	Covers for Generator	6/25/1998 1998	1,072	0 1,203 0 1,072	3.27% 3.27%	1,357 1,387	100%	0% 0%	1,557 1,387	0
59	42 Membrane Elements	1/21/1999 (999 4/1/1999 (999	1,670 31,774	0 1,670 0 31,774	3,41%	2,111 40,170	100%	0% 0%	2,011 40,070	0 0
60 61	Programming RO Computer	4/2/1999 1999 6/1/1999 1999	935 765	0 935 0 765	3.41%	1,182 967	100%	0% 0%	1,182 967	ò
62 63		12/11/1999 1999 2/1/1999 1999	7,890 1,130	0 7,890 0 1,130	3.41% 3.41%	9,975 1,429	100%	0%	9,975	0
64 65		3/3/1999 1999 3/10/1999 1999	9,343 6,453	0 9,343	3,41%	11,812	100%	0% 0%	1,429 11,812	Q 6
66 67	Raco CB-4 Chatterbox	4/11/2000 2000	1,341	0 1,346	3,41% 3,53%	8,158 1,651	100%	0% 6%	8,258 1,651	0 0
68	Plant Software Upgrade	10/31/2000 2000 1/18/2000 2000	1,725 630	0 1,725 0 630	3.53% 3.53%	2,124 776	100%	0% 0%	2,124 776	0
69 70	14" Krohne Mag Meter	12/8/2000 2000 12/28/2000 2000	1,008 6,385	0 1,008 0 6,385	3.53% 3.53%	1,241 7,862	100%	0% 0%	1,241 7,862	ő o
71 72	Siemens Network Board for RO Plant	12/31/2000 2000 3/1/2001 2001	25,225 2,838	0 25,225 0 2,838	3.53% 3.85%	31,060 3,427	100% 100%	0% 0%	31,060	0
73 74	Dry Acid Turk	9/14/2001 2001 [2/31/200] 2001	2,162 3,780	0 2162 0 1780	385% 3.85%	2,611	100%	0%	3,427 2,611	0
73 76	Variable Speed Drive for Membratic	[1/29/2001 2001 1/17/2002 2002	10,578	0 10,578	3.85%	4,565 12,774	100%	0% 0%	4,565 12,774	0 0
77 78	Degassifier	2/5/2002 2002	1,162 79,730	0 1,162 0 79,750	4.04% 4.04%	1,36) 93,436	100%	0% 0%	1,361 93,435	0
. 79	Membrane System	2/5/2002 2002 2/5/2002 2002	40,435 428,635	0 40,435 9 428,635	4,04%	47,374 502,194	(00% 100%	0% 0%	47,374 502,194	. 0 0
81 80		2/5/2002 2002 8/8/2002 2002	99,000 59,580	0 99,000 0 59,580	4.04% 4.04%	(15,990 69,805	100%	0% 0%	1 5,990 69,805	0
	ことは、共体により、は、基金を対し		46 10 10 10	graduate and the full	200	1555.1 .			7771VVV	- F

f :

[.

Table II
Greater Fine Island Water Association
2006 Water Rate Study Update

Existing Assets

	*	:		Existing A	77 L			58.0		5.	
Line No.	Description	Sorvice Serv Date Ye		Adjustment	Adjusted Cost	Annualized ENR Index	Estimated Replacement Cost	A)	locator Transmission	Total Replace	ament Costs Transmission
82	Additions / Deletions 2003 through 2005	12/31/2005 200	(136,524)	0	(136,524)	0.00%	0	100%	0%	0	
83	Tolai		4,241,113	6	4,241,113	4.98%	6,194,357	100%	0%	6,194,357	
	Water Supply Wells				- 147					6.194,337	
84	Pumping Station & Structures	6/30/1969 196	9 28,337	0	28,337	4.98%	171,049	300%	0%	171,049	ing into any
85 86	Supply 2 Deep Wells #4 and #5	6/30/1970 197 5/7/1993 199		0	36.614 122,570	4.87% 3.01%	203,087 J80,208	100%	0%	203,087	Ç
87 88	Decp Well #6 Monitoring Well	5/7/1993 199	3 85,691	0.	85,691	3.01%	125,987	100%	0% 0%	180,208 125,987	0
89	3-Signet Flowmeters	5/7/1993 199 11/23/1999 199	9 3,152	0	9,575 3,152	3.01% 3.41%	14,078 3,985	100%	0%	14,07E 3,985	. 0
90 91	Well #7 Additions / Deletions 2003 through 2005	2/28/2002 200 12/31/2005 200		. F., 0	420,013 4,162,277	4.04%	492,092 4,283,628	100% 100%	0% 0%	497,092 4,283,628	0
92	/fotal		4,868,229	0	4,868,229	4.98%	5,474,114	100%	0%	5,474,114	
	Primary Mains			: .		4940711			i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	P2 W2.1	
93	Pump Station and Res Center	6/30/1970 197	62.282	0	62,282	4.87%	345,460	0%	100%		ر بادار کاری
94 95	Pump Station and Test St Jam Mains	6/30/1970 197 6/30/1970 197	78,154	0	78,154	4.87%	433,497	0%	100%	0	345,460 433,497
96	Sambel Mains	6/30/1970 197	137,911	0	271,853 137,911	4,87% 4,87%	1,507,888 764,952	0% 0%	100%	Ğ	1,507,888 764,952
97 98	Mains Relocation Mains by Flow	6/30/1970 197 6/30/1970 197		0	1,007 11,938	4.87% 4.87%	5,586	0%	100%	0	3,586
99	Mains	6/30/1973 197	4,477	Ó	4,477	4.32%	66,217 18,097	0% 0%	100%	9	66,217 18,097
100	Mains and Bridge Bypass Fire Hydraut Flamingo	6/30/1979 197: 6/30/1979 197:		0	967 206	3.53% 3.53%	2,467 525	0% 0%	100%	Ŏ	2,467
102	Doors Pump Station 3m Gallon Storage Tank	6/30/1979 197	263	Ď.	263	3,53%	671	0%	100% 100%	0	525 671
104	10" Line to Bokeels	3/5/1981 198 9/30/1982 198		0	444,524 156,493	3.14% 2.94%	963,240 313,395	0% 0%	100%	0	961,240 313,395
	Jack and Bore 2 Jacks and Bore	7/30/1982 198: 8/31/1982 198:	2,600	0	2,600	2.94%	5,207	0%	109%	0	5,207
107	Jack and Boro	7/26/1983 198	3,240	0	7,464 3,240	2.94% 2.79%	14,948 6,104	0% 0%	100%	0	14,948 6,164
108	Line Extensions Pine Island Other Miscellancous Additions	5/1/1984 1984 7/1/1984 1984		0	34,719 2,412	2.83% 2.83%	64,146	0%	100%	0	64,146
110	Pump House Building	9/30/1985 198:	26,136	0	26,136	2.91%	4,512 47,724	0% 0%	100%	0	4,512 47,724
	Primary Line Extensions 3 New Pumps & Connection	7/30/1985 1985 8/31/1985 1985		0	4,347 63,916	291% 291%	7,938 116,710	0% 0%	100%	0	7,938
113	New Pumps & Installation Base, Grade and Paving	8/26/1986 1986	36,571	0	36,571	2,94%	65,223	0%	100%	0	116,710 65,223
115	Motor and Installation	8/7/1986 1986 7/3/1986 1986		0	2,852 625	2.94% 2.94%	5,086 1,115	9% 0%	100%	Ö	5,085
	Sliner Box Remodel RO Plant Office	7/7/1986 1986		0	6,310	2.94%	11,254	0%	100%	0	1,115 11,254
118	Addinous Primary Mains	10/22/1986 1986 7/1/1986 1986	3,545	o o	3,462 3,545	2.94%	6,174 6,322	0% 0%	2001 2001	0	6,174
	40 HP SS Pump/ [ristal] Jack and Bore	10/27/1987 1987 12/9/1987 1987	20,50t 18,595	0	20,501	2.95%	35,642	0%	100%	0	6,322 35,642
121	Primary Line Extensions	7/1/1987 1987	21,426	0	18,395 21,426	2.95% 2.95%	32,328 37,250	0% 0%	100%	0	32,328 37,250
122 123	Line Extensions - Kreamer Primary Line Extensions	6/1/1988 1988 7/1/1989 1989		0	58,275	2.98%	98,780	0%	100%	Ō	98,780
124	12" Force Main	1/26/1990 1990	1,144	Ď	50,621 1,144	3.03% 3.06%	84,021 1,852	0% 0%	100%	0	84,021 1,852
	Guard Ralts Jack and Bore / St Aido	3/15/1990 1990 3/29/1990 1990		D O	5,940 6,807	3.06%	9,615	0%	100%		9,615
127	Line extensions - Saddlewood	2/1/1990 1990	28,529	0	28,529	3.06%	11,019 46,182	6% 6%	100%	8	11,019 46,182
	2" Meter & Accessories Hydrants / Stringfellow	-[/[4/1992 1992 -[/[3/1992 1992		O O	1,316 150	3.12% 3.12%	2,130 230	0% 0%	100%	Ō	2,130
130	Engineering - Office Main Fire Hydrant - Bokeelia	4/6/1993 1993	1.554	0	1,534	3,01%	2,285	0%	100%	0	230 2,285
	Sarubel Interconnect	7/7/1993 1993 10/31/1994 1994	1,294 11,468	0	1,294 11,468	3.01%	- 1,903 - 16,244	0% 0%	100%	Ó	1,903
	Water Main Extension Phase I Water Main Extension Phase II	11/30/1995 1995	380,008	0	380,008	3.11%	\$32,053	0%	100%) 0	16,244 532,053
135	Line Relocation - Bookeelia	6/1/1997 1997 4/1/1999 1999	483,826 40,938	0	483,826 40,938	3.09% 3.41%	636,132 53,755	0% 0%	100%	0	636,132
136 137	Water Main Extension - Phase III Phase III - Additional Costs	11/30/1999 1999	558,654	0	558,654	3.41%	706,270	0%	100%	0	51,753 706,270
138 7	Phase IV + Water Main Upgrade	4/1/2000 .2000 5/31/2000 2000	90 349 326 335	0	90,349 326,335	3,53% 3,53%	111,248 401,821	0% 0%	100%	0	111,248 401,821
139 I 140 '	Phase V Area 1-3 Main Upgrades Veterans Parkway Line Relocation	3/1/2001 2001	589,720	0	589,720	3.85%	7[2,164	0%	100%	0	712,164
[4]	Phase V Area 3&4 Line Upgrade	9/19/2002 2002	130,503 336,627	0	130,503 336,627	4.04% 4.04%	152,899 394,396	0% 0%	100%	0	152,899 394,396
	Additions / Deletions 2003 through 2005	12/31/2005 2003		đ	296,443	2.92%	305,086	0%	100%	Ď	305,086
	Total		4,829,397	Ó	4,829,397	4.98%	9,167,763	0%	100%	0	9,167,763
144	TOTAL ASSETS		\$15,515,593	50	\$15,515,593	4.98%	523,021,037	60%	40%	\$13,733,813	59,287,224

Foomote

⁽¹⁾ Amounts based upon assets records as available by the Association and reconciled to the audited Calendar Year 2005 Financial Statements

Greeter Phe Island Water Association 2006 Water Rate Study Update Canital Improvement Fragram by Function

	Timedian	Town Capital	al Improvoment Pro	gram			-				:.
No. Description	Source	2006 - 2011 [1]	Adjustment [2]	Adjusted	Existing	Future	Treatment	Facilities	Futur Treatment	Future Facilities nent Transmission	ı,
WATER WATER											≞[·.
ą.	REV	\$2,000	(25,000)	\$	%00T	%0	95	80	SA SA	ė.	
2 Par Marchine 3 Billing Software System	<u>}</u> ∂	500 45,000	(45,000)	00	% 8 8 8	88	00	00	:O.C		
Copy Machine Computers	REV	34,200	(34,200)	00	%001 100%	%0 0%		00		• • •	: .
6 Administration oral		007,18	(81,700)	0	760%	%0°					
RO-Plant, Renewal, & Replacement							s			•	
Replace Membranes Train A Stage	ð	20,000	(20,000)		100%	%0	0	0	Q		
	ž š	0 0000	(20.000)	•	100%	88	0	0 (01	.	
10 Replace Membranes: Train AStage 2	58	0		- G	? % (8)	88	-	⇒ ⇔.	0	•	
12 Regiace Membranes (Trait) G Stage 2	56	25.000	0.000	c	180%	% è	6	○ (4	•	•	
13 HS Plump B Replacement	ĕ.	20,030	(20,000)) o	2 % 3 00	88	> 0	3 6	م د		
Li Hydrogen Sulfide Reduction (Air Sorubber)	ž ő	75,000 S0,000	(75,000)	ې د	% 8 8 8	% &	0.6	٠ <u>٠</u>	0		
Computer/PLC	5	10,000	(10,000)	s 6	% 88 88	%	- 0	- 0	o o	С	
### 1997 A	కో క	14,000	(14,000)		190%	% %		6	Ö		
19 Well #4	8	28.000 28.000	(25,000)	> . G	% 8 8 8 8	\$ 8	3 0	0	00	00	
RO Plant Expansion	ž	000	(25,000)	0 0	8 8 8 8	88	0 6	0.6	•	0 (
21 Dograde finaliss	A A	150,000	00	150,000	%00I	88	150,000	.	> •	0 0	
				מאמיאסכי	8	ŝ	320,000	0	0	•	· .
		000 XC0	(300%) (300%)	4.40,000	%00X	%	470,000	o	0	0	. :
<u>.</u>	REV	000'09		00009	700%	- 13 - 13		90			
	à 3	320,000		320,000	%001 100%	%0 <u>0</u>	ے کہ د م	320,000		0 000	
	కక	20,000	6 6	20,000 2,000	100%	%%	00	20,000	> 5 ¢	200 s	
	రో రో	1,532,451	(1 S32.45.1)	00,001	100% 100%	కి	000	10,000) O (, 0	
31 Replace Water Line Under Matacha Bridge 32 Replace Water Line Inder Matacha Bridge	FEMA2	1,167,549	(1.167.549)	. 0	\$ % 6 8	\$ % 0		- -	⇔ •	0	
33 Replace Sandy Hook Sub-Aqueous Bridge Crossing	: 8 }	70,360	(40,360)	9 0	\$ % 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	% % 6 %	00	6 6	e 0	& &	
	2 2 5 5 6	258,000	(179,640) (250,000)	0 0	100% 100%	%%	00	00	00	~ ,	
	š	00000	(200,000)	Q	% 2002	%	Ф.	0	•	0	
37 John Transmission/Distribution		4,292,000	(3,490,000)	892,000	46%	% %	ŀ	412,000	0	480,000	

Table 12
Graver Pire Island Water Association
2005 Water Rate Stady Upgate

Canital Improventent Program by Function

Description Description Source Lange (August Control of the Control o	Description Source Long State 2006-2011(I) Adjustment (2) Total Integration Description Total Control Integration Catalogue (3,2,000) Control Integration Description Total Control Integration Description Total Control Integration Catalogue (3,2,000) Catalogue (3,2,000	CAPPER Southern Colored Colo	ė.		Funding	Total	fal improvement Prog	gram Adjusted	Allo	Allocation	Existino	Existing Eacilities	Sibir	Butter Foundations
Strong Spring Strong Spring Spring Strong Spring Strong Spring Spring Spring Strong Spring Spring Spring Strong Spring Spring Spring Spring Strong Spring Spring Spring Spring Strong Spring S	Chairt Plumy Stabion Chairt Plumy Stabion Chairt Plumy Stabion CAP 22,000 (23,000)	Chairt Plumy Stations OR \$2,000 (\$2,000) 0 100% 0% 0		Description	Source	2006-2011[1]	Adjustment [2]	Total	Existing	Future	Treatment	Transmission	Treatment	Transmission
Fig. Pump # Krplacement OR 52,000 (22,000) 0 100% 9% 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Fig. Pump # 1 Scripterment OR \$2,000 (\$2,000) 0 100% 9% 9% 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	15 Pump #1 Scalesment OR	andi Gar	Center Prime Station										
High pit Replication	Mathematical Color Mathema	Harden Figure F		HS Pluip #1 Replacement	ď	32,000	(32,000)		%001	%0		0	0	0
Cold Cinete Pump Sistion	Color Control Color Co	Col. Center Puris Station	<u>~</u>	HS Pump #2 Replacement	ð	62,000	(62,000)	o	% 88	%	P.	Ö	0	0
Cock Center Pump Station Center Pump	Total Varieties Total Vari	Total Camera Pump Station		Emergency Concrator	ð	20,090	0	20,000	%	%	•	20,000	. •	0
Deep Well Injection OR. 30,000 0 30,000 100%. 35,000 0 0 Total Deep Well Injection Total Originate Pump Station 30,000 0 30,000 100%. 0%. 30,000 0 0 Off-Listed Pump Station S	Deep Well Injunction OR 30,000 0 30,000 100% 0% 30,000 0 0 Total Deep Well Injection Total Varieties Apple of the part o	Deep Well injection OR 30,000 0 30,000 100% 9% 30,000 0 0 Total Deep Well injection Off-Lidard Integroy Test 50,000 0 30,000 100% 0% 0 <td></td> <td>Total Center Pump Station</td> <td></td> <td>144,000</td> <td>(94,000)</td> <td>20,000</td> <td>26001</td> <td>80</td> <td>0</td> <td>20,000</td> <td></td> <td>0</td>		Total Center Pump Station		144,000	(94,000)	20,000	26001	80	0	20,000		0
Votest Integracy Test	Netert Integrated OR	Total Deep Weld Integrity Test	- 25	Deco Well Innection							·			
Total Dress Well historion	Total Disp Well Injection	Total Diesy Well Injection CAP Signor O O O O O O O O O		Weet, Integrity Test	రో	30,000	•	30,000	100%	%0	30,000	O	0	0
Off-lating Pump Station Off-lating Scalar Pump Scalar Pump Station Off-lating Scalar Pump Sc	Off-lating Parity Station Off-lating Stati	Off-Lifeard Pump Smith CAP 6,000 0 6,000 100% 0% 0 6,000 0 Security-Solide Filter Opportunents Security-Solide Filter Opportunents 6,000 0 6,000 100% 0% 0 6,000 0 Vehicles REP 20,000 (20,000) 0 100% 0% 0		Total Deep Well Injection		30,000		30.000	7,007	%0	30.000	0	9	
Dobal Official Improvements	Color Colo	Dotal Official direct control of the control of t										•	,	•
Total Off-Liand Improvements	Total Officiand Improvements Science Colored Col	Total Officiand Improvements Control of	100	Security-Scada-Fiber Op	CAP	000'9	0	000 9	%00T	%0	0	000.9	0	
Obt.	Total Off-Litand Improvements C 000	Total Utilizard Improvements 6,000 0 6,000 100% 0 6,000 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0												,
Vehicles Vehicles Vehicles C0,000 (20,000) <	Vehicles	Vehicles Vehicles Vehicles Vehicles 0 00% 0% 0% 0	1,445	-otal Uni-Island Improvements		000'9		000'9	100%	%0	0	000'9	0	0
Replace 1994 Chowy Blazer REV 20,000 (20,000) 0 100% 0% 0 0 Replace 2004 Nissan Frontier REV 20,000 (20,000) 0 100% 0% 0 0 Replace 1906 Food Ranger REV 20,000 (20,000) 0 100% 0% 0 0 Replace 1926 STEP Van REV 40,000 (40,000) 0 100% 0% 0 0 Total Vanides REV 40,000 (40,000) 0 100% 0% 0 0 Total Vanides REV 40,000 (40,000) 0 100% 0% 0 0 Total Vanides REV 40,000 (40,000) 0 100% 0% 0 0 Total Vanides REV 40,000 (40,000) 0 100% 0% 0 0 Total Vanides REV 40,000 (40,000) 0 100% 0 0 0 Replace 1924 STEP Vanides REV 40,000 (40,000) 0 100% 0	Replace 1994 Chavy Blazer REV 20,000 (20,000) 0 100% 0% 0 0 0 Replace 2000 Nissan Frontion REV 20,000 (20,000) 0 100% 0% 0 0 0 Replace 1995 Food Ranger REP 20,000 (20,000) 0 100% 0% 0 0 0 Replace 1995 Food F-330 REV 40,000 (40,000) 0 100% 0% 0 0 0 Replace 1995 Food F-330 REV 40,000 (40,000) 0 100% 0% 0 0 0 Replace 1995 Food F-330 REV 40,000 (40,000) 0 100% 0% 0 0 0 0 TOALUS VALIDICAS TOALUS VALIDICAS 160,000 (40,000) (40,000) (40,000) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Replace 1995 Chow) Blazer REV 20,000 (25,000) 0 100% 0% 0 0 0 Replace 2004 Nissan Frontor REV 20,000 (20,000) 0 100% 0% 0 0 0 Replace 1995 Ford Ranger REV 20,000 (20,000) 0 100% 0% 0 0 0 Replace 1995 Ford Ranger REV 40,000 (20,000) 0 100% 0% 0 0 0 Replace 1995 Ford Ranger REV 40,000 (40,000) 0 100% 0% 0 0 0 Replace 1995 Ford Ranger REV 40,000 (40,000) 0 100% 0% 0 0 0 Replace 1995 Ford Ranger REV 40,000 (40,000) 0 100% 0% 0 0 0 Replace 1995 Ford Ranger REV 40,000 (40,000) 0 100% 0% 0 0 0 Total Wallets		Vende										
Keplace 1926 STEP Van REV ZQ,000 (20,000) 0 100% 0% 0 0 Replace 1926 STEP Van RED ZQ,000 (20,000) 0 0 0 0 Replace 1926 STEP Van REV 40,000 (40,000) 0 100% 0% 0 0 Total Valuetes Total Valuetes 160,000 (46,000) 0 100% 0% 0 0 Total Valuetes 160,000 (46,000) 0 100% 0% 0 0 0 Total Valuetes 160,000 (46,000) 51,448.000 67% 0 0 0	Replace 1998 Food Files EEV 20,000 (20,000) 0 100% 0% 0 0 0 Replace 1998 Food File RED 20,000 (20,000) 0 100% 0% 0 0 0 Replace 1998 Food File RED 40,000 (40,000) 0 100% 0% 0 0 0 Replace 1998 Food File REV 40,000 (40,000) 0 100% 0% 0 0 0 Replace 1998 Food File REV 40,000 (40,000) 0 100% 0% 0 0 0 Replace 1998 Food File REV 40,000 (40,000) 0 100% 0% 0 0 0 TOTAL WATER SYSTEM CAPITAL COSTS 160,000 (34,104,700) 51,448,000 67% 0 0 0 0	Replace 1998 Ford Facel REV 20,000 (20,000) 0 100% 0% 0 0 Replace 1998 Ford Facel REV 20,000 (20,000) 0 100% 0% 0 0 Replace 1998 Ford Facel REV 20,000 (20,000) 0 100% 0% 0 0 Replace 1998 Ford Facel REV 40,000 (40,000) 0 100% 0% 0 0 Replace 1998 Ford Facel REV 40,000 (40,000) 0 100% 0% 0 0 Replace 1998 Ford Facel REV 40,000 (40,000) 0 100% 0% 0 0 Replace 1998 Ford Facel REV 40,000 (40,000) 0 100% 0% 0 0 Total Water SYSTEM CAPITAL COSTS EST 522.700 GALLOROR SALA488.000 SALA4888.000 SALA4888.000 SALA4888.000 S		Replace 1994 Chovy Blazer	KE (20,000	(20,000)	0	100%	%	0	Φ.	0	0
Replace 1998 Ford Ranger REV 20,000 20,000 0	Replace 1998 Food Kanger REV 20,000 (20,000) 0	Replace 1998 Fold Ranger REV 20,000 (20,000) 0		Replace 2000 Rocal F. 150	RE <	20,000	(20,000)	00	% 2005	88	-	0 (0	0
Replace 1994 STEP Van REV 40,000 (40,000) 0 100% 0% 0 0 Total Vanicles Total Vanicles 160,000 (46,000) 0 100% 0% 0 0 0 Total Vanicles 100% VATER SYSTEM CARITAL COSTS 156,000 (46,000) 0 100% 0% 0 0 0	Replace 1939 Four F-530 REV 40,000 (40,000) 0 100% 0% 0 0 0 Replace 1924 STEP Van REV 40,000 (40,000) 0 100% 0% 0 0 0 Total Vanidas 100 Value 100 Value 0 0 0 0 0 0 TOTAL WATER SYSTEM CAPITAL COSTS 155.552,100 (34,104,700) 51,448,000 5774 33% 5500,000 3468,000 56,550	Replace 1959 Ford F-3.0 REV 40,000 (40,000) 0 100% 0% 0 0 0 Replace 1954 STEP Van REV 40,000 (40,000) 0 100% 0% 0 0 0 Total Water SYSTEM CAPITAL COSTS 100% 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	ete Secon	Replace 1998 Ford Ranger) <u>a</u>	20,000	(20,000)	•	700%	\$ %	9 0		-	0 6
Keptect 1944 Stat Van KEY 40,000 (40,000) 0 100% 0% 0 0 0 Total Vanicies 100 WATER SYSTEM CAPITAL COSTS 155,532,700 (34,104,700) 51,448,000 67% 44% 64,600,000 <td< td=""><td>Replace 1964's LELY Van REFY 40,000 (40,000) 0 100% 0% 0 0 0 Total Vanidas 160,000 (160,000) 0 100% 0% 0 0 0 TOTAL WATER SYSTEM CAPITAL COSTS 185,552,700 (34,104,700) \$3,448,000 1579 3576,000 3468,000 150 56,550</td><td>KEPIGGE 128/A S. LELF VAIN KEV 40,000 (40,000) 0 100% 0% 0 0 0 Total WATER, SYSTEM CAPITAL COSTS 155.527.700 (54.104.700) \$1,448.000 657% 33% \$500,000 5468.000 50</td><td> M</td><td>Replace 1990 Ford F-330</td><td>Æ</td><td>40,030</td><td>(40,000)</td><td>0</td><td>100%</td><td>%</td><td></td><td>Ó</td><td>. 0</td><td></td></td<>	Replace 1964's LELY Van REFY 40,000 (40,000) 0 100% 0% 0 0 0 Total Vanidas 160,000 (160,000) 0 100% 0% 0 0 0 TOTAL WATER SYSTEM CAPITAL COSTS 185,552,700 (34,104,700) \$3,448,000 1579 3576,000 3468,000 150 56,550	KEPIGGE 128/A S. LELF VAIN KEV 40,000 (40,000) 0 100% 0% 0 0 0 Total WATER, SYSTEM CAPITAL COSTS 155.527.700 (54.104.700) \$1,448.000 657% 33% \$500,000 5468.000 50	 M	Replace 1990 Ford F-330	Æ	40,030	(40,000)	0	100%	%		Ó	. 0	
	Total Vanidas 160,000 (160,000) (160,000) 0 0 0 0 TOTAL WATER SYSTEM CAPITAL COSTS \$55,552,00 (34,104,700) \$1,448,000 \$772 33% \$500,000 \$468,000 \$500,000	Total Vanices Total Values 0% 0% 0% 0 0 TOTAL WATER SYSTEM CAPITAL COSTS \$555,527.00 \$51,448,000 \$77% \$3% \$500,000 \$468,000 50		Keplace 1984 STEP Van	.	40,000	(40,000)	0	%D01	%	•	0	0	•
TOTAL WATER SYSTEM CAPITAL COSTS.	TOTAL WATER SYSTEM CAPITAL COSTS 55.552,700 (\$4,104,700) \$1,448,000 67% 33% 5500,000 4468,000 50	TOTAL WATER SYSTEM CAPITAL COSTS 55.552,700 (\$4,104,700) \$1,448,000 (57% 55,000,000 4468,000 75,000 75,000 75	ا دور دادوست	Total Valides		000 000 000 000 000 000 000 00	(160,000)	0	100%	8	0	o	0	0
			6. 3	TOTAL WATER SYSTEM CAPITAL COSTS		\$5,552,700	(\$4,104,700)	\$1.448,000	67%	33%	\$500.000	SAKRODO	c,	OUD DAPS

S4 CHECK

Footnotes:

[1] Amounts as provided by Association staff on May 1, 2006 and roviced by the General Manager on June 2, 2006.

[2] Amounts reflected to exclude ordinary and uniscultureous equipment and renewals and replacements of extering assets from fee

Table 13 Greater Pinc Island Water Association 2006 Water Rate Study Update

Development of Water System Capital Charge

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Ex	isting Facilities			Total Existing and
Linc			Available for	New Growth		Additional Facilities
No.	Description	Total	Percent	Amount	Additional Facilities	Available for New
			-		1 actimies	Growth
	Water Production and Treatment Facilities					
1 2 3	Cost of Existing Facilities Additional Costs from Capital Plan Total Facilities Cost	\$13,733,813 [1 500,000 [2 \$14,233,813		\$6,761,061	\$0 0_[2] \$0	\$6,761,061
4 5 6	Plant Capacity (MGD) (MDF) Plant Capacity (MGD) (ADF) ERU Factor - GPD [1] Estimated ERUs to be Served	3,000 250 12,000	47.50% [3] 47.50% [3]	1,425 250 5,700	0.000 250	1.425 250 5,700
7	Estimated ERUs	12,000		5,700		5.700
8	Cost per ERU	\$1,186		\$1,186	<u>\$0</u>	\$1,186
	Primary Transmission/Distribution System					
9 10 11	Cost of Existing Facilities Additional Costs from Capital Plan Total Facilities Cost	\$9,287,224 [1] 468,000 [2] \$9,755,224		\$4,633,731	\$0 480,000_[2] \$480,000	\$5,713,731
12 13	Plant Capacity (MGD) (ADF) ERU Factor - GPD	3.000 250		I.425 250	0.000 250	1.425 250
14	Estimated ERUs to be Served	12,000		5,700	0	5,700
15	Cost per ERU	\$813		\$813	50	\$897
16 17	Total Water Capital Facility Charge (Rounded) per ERU (lin	e 8 + line 15)				\$2,083
18	Existing Rate Increase					I,532 \$551

Footnotes:

- [1] Existing plant costs obtained from the Association's fixed asset schedule as of December 31, 2002 shown in Table 11.
- [2] Amounts derived from Table 12, which reflect planned capital construction costs as provided by staff.
- [3] Percent of existing water treatment capacity available for new growth is determined as follows:

Total Water Production/Treatment Capacity 3.000 MGD
Estimated Average Daily Flow 1.575 MGD.
Remaining Capacity of Existing Facilities 1.425
Percent of Existing Facilities Remaining 47.50%

Table 14 Greater Pine Island Water Association 2006 Water Rate Study Update

Comparison of Capacity Charges For Water Service

Unless otherwise noted amounts shown reflect residential rates in officet June 2006 and are exclusive of taxes or
franchise feet, if any, and reflect rates charged for inside the city service. All rates are as reported by the respective

utility. This comparison is intended to show comparable charges for similar service for comparison purposes only and is not intended to be a complete listing of all rates and charges offered by each listed utility.

Table 15 Greater Pine Island Water Association 2006 Water Rate Study Update

Existing and Proposed Miscellaneous Fees

	Line				
	No.	Description		Existing	Proposed [1]
('	era jir				
[,		Meter Fee:			
	•				
f "	1	5/8" Meter		\$220	\$540
. ر	2	3/4" Meter		\$350	\$860
	3	I" Meter	and the second second	\$550	\$1,350
f	4	1 1/2" Meter (Calculated)		\$900	\$2,210
Ĺ,	5	2" Meter (Calculated)		\$1,000	\$2,450
	6	3" Meter		Actual Cost x 2	Actual Cost x 2
f . 2	7	4" Meter	•	Actual Cost x 2	Actual Cost x 2
	8	6" Meter		Actual Cost x 2	Actual Cost x 2
k -					
e •	:	Other Fees:			
t .	9	Turn off fee, if requested by Member		\$10	\$20
	10	Turn on fee, if requested by Member		\$10	\$20
)	11	Service Charge		\$2 5	\$35
(a	12	Special Meter Location Fee		\$50	\$100
	13	Special Meter Reading Fee, if requested by Memb	er	\$20	\$25
['	14	Special Meter Test Fee, if requested by Member		\$25	\$50
l .	15	D.O.T. Permit Fee (where applicable)		\$30	Actual Cost
	16	Plan Review Fee		\$50	\$100
[]	17	Inspection Fee		\$150	\$25/Unit
: ::i	18	Re-inspection Fee		\$50	\$100/Inspection
At Teach	19	Residential Irrigation Meter (5/8")		\$220	\$540
f	20	Fire Hydrant Installation (Commercial)		\$2,000	\$3,000 + Jack/Bore
		사이트 프로그램 스타트 프레이트 (1982년 - 1982년 - 19 - 19 12년 - 1982년 - 1982년 - 1982년 - 1982년 - 1 982년 - 1982년 - 1982			
• •	1.54	Curb Stop Replacement Costs:			
	21	5/8" Meter		\$40	
	21 22	3/4" Meter	보통하를 만든	to detail to a part of the forest transferred	\$40
L, h	23	J" Meter		\$40	\$50
ree .	23 24	1 1/2" Meter		\$55	\$60
	The afficiency of the			\$75	\$80
[.	25	2" Meter		\$100	\$120

Footnotes:
[1] Amounts reflect recommended changes as prepared by Association staff.