| Lee County Board of County Commissioners | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|-------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------|--|--|--|
| | Agenda item Summary Blue Sheet No. 20030134 | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. <u>REQUESTED MOTION</u> : <u>ACTION REQUESTED</u> : Approve the recommendations of the Conservation Lands Acquisition and Stewardship Advisory Committee to pursue the acquisition of four parcels totaling approximately 1.46 acres located within the boundaries of the Caloosahatchee Creeks Preserve in North Fort Myers. Authorize the Division of County Lands to begin the acquisition process for the properties known as Conservation 20/20 Nominations 225, 228, 229, and 231. | | | | | | | | | | |
| WHY ACTION IS NECESSARY: Required by Lee County Ordinance 96-12. | | | | | | | | | | |
| WHAT ACTION ACCOMPLISHES: Begins the process of negotiation and purchase of certain, identified environmentally sensitive lands as set forth by County Ordinance 96-12 and the Conservation 20/20 Program. | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2. <u>DEPARTMENTAL CATEGORY</u> : 06 COMMISSION DISTRICT #: 4 CGB 3. <u>MEETING DATE</u> : 02-18-2003 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. AGENDA: | 5. <u>REQUIRE</u> | MENT/PUR | | | 6. <u>REQUEST</u> | TOR OF INFORMATI | | | | |
| | (Specify) STATUT | F | | | A. COMMISSI | ONER | | | | |
| APPEALS | | NCE <u>96-</u> 1 | 12 | | B. DEPARTM | ENT Independent | | | | |
| PUBLIC WALK ON | | | | | C. DIVISION BY: <u>Karen L.</u> | County Land | Level 1 | | | |
| TIME REQUIRED: 7. BACKGROUND: In July 1996, | the Board adon | tod Loo C | ounty Ordinan | 00 06 12 | and in Novo | mbor 1006, the cit | izens of Les County | | | |
| approved the referendum to levy ce lands within Lee County. The Cons evaluating land nominations based | rtain millage for ervation Land A | the purpo cquisition | ses of acquirin and Stewards | ig and res hip Advis | toring identifi | ed environmental | ly critical or sensitive | | | |
| parcels will remove four out parcels ownerships within a County-maint conflicts if these parcels were to b problems were found. The Committee has continued to r integral part of the program, but mil necessary "match" for impacted we must be similar in nature and quality of any credits will be made at the ti Florida Water Management Distric | The Committee has continued to recognize the potential for off-site mitigation credits for this recommended parcel. Mitigation is an integral part of the program, but mitigation credits may not necessarily be obtained for all acquisitions, as every parcel may not have the necessary "match" for impacted wetlands for any given project by the County in a given sector. Impacted wetlands from a County project must be similar in nature and quality as those on the parcel(s) for off-site mitigation credits to be awarded by regulatory agencies. Award of any credits will be made at the time when County projects apply for them. This is consistent with the letter opinions from the South Florida Water Management District (June 17, 1996) and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (June 27, 1997). | | | | | | | | | |
| | 9. | RECON | | | /Al · | | | | | |
| A B | C | D | E | | F | | G | | | |
| Department Purchasing or Director Contracts | Human Resources | Other | County Attorney | | Budget Se | +103 | County Manager | | | |
| H. Forsych N/A | N/A | A. | 0 3 03 | 0A مربطور برجار | 2/4/03 | RISK GC 93' M 20403 2-4-53 | Uffenne | | | |
| 10. <u>COMMISSION ACTION</u> APPROVED | : | Г | Nec. by CoAtty |] | | • | | | | |
| DENIED | | | | | | | | | | |
| DEFERRED | | |)ate: 2/3/03 | | Pile Com | TIVED BY | and T | | | |
| | | [| 100: 4:30 p.m. | | | NTY ADMIN. | ĨW | | | |
| | | F | HOY SISSA | | | ANTY ADMIN. WARDED TO: | | | | |



| | CRITERIA | SCORE | COMMENTS |
|-------------|--|-------|---|
| ZE AND | CONTIGUITY | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| 1. Siz | e of Property | | |
| | ≥ 500 acres | 6 |] |
| b. | . 400 to < 500 acres | 5 | |
| с. | • | 4 | |
| d. | . 200 to <300 acres | 3 | |
| e. | 100 to <200 acres | 2 | |
| f. | 50 to <100 acres | 1 1 | |
| g | | 0 0 | 0.59 acre |
| | ntiguous to: | | - |
| | Coastal waters and other sovereignty submerged lands | 4 4 | Daughtrey's Creek |
| | Existing preserve area, c.e., wma or refuge | 4 4 | Caloosahatchee Creeks Preserve |
| | Preserve areas officially proposed for acquisitior | 2 0 | |
| | FOR PLANTS AND ANIMALS | | |
| 1. Nat | tive Plant Cover | | - |
| а. | \geq 75 % of the property has native plant cover | 8 | |
| b. | 50% to < 75% has native plant cover | 4 4 | |
| c. | 25% to <50% has native plant cover | 2 | Site composed of tidal marsh community invaded by Brazilia |
| d. | < 25% has native plant cover | 0 | pepper |
| 2. Sig | nificant for wide-ranging species | | |
| | ····· | | Setlands present on site and listed birds species have been |
| | | | documented to use this habitat at the Caloosahatchee Creek |
| | Panther Habitat, wetlands, ponds, grass lands, etc. | 2 1 | Preserve |
| 3. Rai | re and Unique Uplands | | |
| a. | Scrub, hammock, old growth pine | 2 0 | |
| b. | Mature, second growth pine flatwood | 1 0 | No uplands present |
| 4. Div | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
| Div a. | 5 or more FLUCCS native plant community categories | 2 | д |
| | 3 or 4 FLUCCS native plant community categories | | |
| | | | |
| Ċ. | 2 or less FLUCCS native plant community categories | 0 0 | Tidal marsh |

| | | NCE FOR WATER RESOURCES | | | COMMENTS |
|----|-----------|--|----|----------|---|
| 1. | Ser | ves or can serve as flow-way | | | |
| | | Site contains a primary flow-way, creek, river, wetland corridor; | | | |
| | | large contributing watershed; possibly identified in the Lee | | | |
| | a. | County Surface Water Master Plan, South Lee County Study, or by staff | 4 | | |
| | а. | Site contains flow-way, through tributary, medium size | 4 | | |
| | | watershed, or just a portion of system/one side of conveyance, | | | |
| | b. | may not be identified in study | 3 | 3 | |
| | c. | Same as b., smaller watershed, not as defined, disconnected | 2 | | |
| | d. | Site conveys runoff, minimal area | 1 | | On Daughtrey's Creek shoreline, one side of conveyance, short |
| | e. | Site provides no conveyance of surface water | 0 | † | segment (3pts) |
| | f. | Add 2 points if conveyance is natural (not man-made) | +2 | 2 | Natural system (2pts) |
| 2. | Stra | ategic to Flood Management | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| | | Well defined flood-way within parcel, possibly identified in FEMA | | | |
| | | or other study, both sides of conveyance, large upstream area, | | | |
| | a. | protection from encroachment critical or known flooding history | 4 | | |
| | | _ | | | |
| | b. | Same as a., portion of floodway (one side) or within floodplain | 3 | 1 | A |
| | _ | Medium size watershed, need for floodplain protection, possibly | • | | |
| | C. | serves as an outfall for a road or development | 2 | 2 | |
| | d. | Small watershed, minimal flooding | 1 | | Coastal High Hazard Area. Provides flood plain protection, eas |
| | е. | No significant flood issues | 0 | | side of creek, short segment – 2pts |
| 3. | Pro | tect a water supply source. | | | - |
| | | Parcel is within area designated high potential productivity of the Water Table, Sandstone or Tamiami Aquifer and also within | | | |
| | a. | area of current or proposed wellfield development | 2 | | |
| | a. | Not within above mentioned zones, but advantageous due to | 2 | | |
| | | proximity to existing water supply facilities or identified as | | | |
| | b. | potential water storage area | 1 | | |
| | C. | No recharge or potential water supply opportunities | 0 | Ö | No significant water supply source – 0pts |
| 4. | Offs | set Damage to or Enhance Water Quality. | | L | |
| | | Presence of wetland, retention, or lake that is currently providing | | | |
| | а. | water quality benefits | 2 | L | |
| | | Same as a., but achieved through some alterations to existing | _ | | Mangrove swamp/tidal creek swamp. Wetlands enhance water |
| | b. | site or very limited in contributing watershed | | 1 | quality through natural filtration of runoff. Natural creek functions |
| | с. | No existing or potential water quality benefits | 0 | | provide water quality benefit – 1pt |

| AND MANAGEMENT/RECREATION/PLANNED LAND USE | | | COMMENTS |
|--|----------|---------|---|
| 1. Good Access for Public Use and Land Managemen | t | | |
| Parcel can be accessed from a freeway, expressway, arterial | | | |
| street, or major collector | 3 | | |
| Parcel can by accessed from a minor collector or local street | 2 | | |
| Parcel can be accessed from a privately-maintained road that is | | | |
| dedicated for public use | 1 | | |
| Parcel can only be accessed by a private road or does not have | | | No physical access for vehicles. Access is available by boat or |
| physical or legal access | 0 | 0 | Daughtrey's Creek. |
| 2. Recreation/Eco-Tourism Potential | | | |
| Score depends upon the variety or uniqueness of potential | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| public uses. Examples of appropriate public uses include | | | |
| paddling sports, fishing, hiking, equestrian, mountain biking, | | | Creek frontage is esthetically pleasing and its preservation wo |
| photography, nature study, and environmental education | 0-2 | 1 | provide scenic value to paddlers |
| | | | |
| 3. Land Manageability | | | |
| 75% or greater of the perimeter of site is surrounded by low | | | |
| impact land uses | 3 | 3 | |
| 50%-75% of the perimeter of site is surrounded by low impact | - | | |
| land uses | 2 | | |
| 25%-50% of the perimeter of site is surrounded by low impact and uses | | | |
| Less than 25% of the perimeter of the site is surrounded by low | 1 | | Surrounded on three sides by the Caloosahatchee Creeks |
| impact land uses | 0 | | Preserve and Daughtrey's Creek on the west boundary |
| | <u> </u> | | I reserve and baughtey's creek of the west boundary |
| 4. Development Status (Maximum 4 points) | | | |
| The Parcel is Approved for Development or is Exempt from | | | |
| a. Clearing Regulations | 4 | 0 | Wetland |
| b. The Parcel is Zoned for Intensive Use | 2 | 0 | AG-2 |
| c. Future Land Use Map: Intensive Land Use Category | 1 | 0 | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | |
| TOTAL POINTS | | 25 | · · · · |
| | | | |
| MMENTS: Acquisition of this parcel will remove an o | ut pa | rcel | from the Caloosahatchee Creeks Preserve. This w |
| rove the boundary configuration and prevent possibl | o fut | urole | and use conflicts by eliminating a private |
| | eiun | | and use connicts by enminating a private |
| lownership within a County maintained preserve. | | | |
| | | | |
| OMMENDATION: Pursue for acquisitior | | | |

CLASAC Meeting 10/04/02

| CRITERIA | |)RE | COMMENTS |
|--|---|-------------|--|
| | | | |
| 1. Size of Property | | | |
| a. ≥ 500 acres | 6 | |] |
| b. 400 to < 500 acres | 5 | [| |
| c. 300 to <400 acres | 4 | | |
| d. 200 to <300 acres | 3 | | |
| e. 100 to <200 acres | 2 | | |
| f. 50 to <100 acres | 1 | | |
| g. < 50 acres | 0 | 0 | 0.25 acre |
| 2. Contiguous to: | | | - |
| a. Coastal waters and other sovereignty submerged lands | 4 | 0 | |
| b. Existing preserve area, c.e., wma or refuge | 4 | 4 | Caloosahatchee Creeks Preserve |
| c. Preserve areas officially proposed for acquisitior | 2 | 0 | |
| ABITAT FOR PLANTS AND ANIMALS | | | ······································ |
| 1. Native Plant Cover | | | |
| a. \geq 75 % of the property has native plant cover | 8 | 8 | |
| b. 50% to < 75% has native plant cover | 4 | | |
| c. 25% to <50% has native plant cover | 2 | | Site composed of sand live oak community, minimal exotic pla |
| d. < 25% has native plant cover | 0 | { | linvasion |
| 2. Significant for wide-ranging species | | L | |
| | | | |
| Ponther Habitat watlanda panda grass landa eta | 2 | | Migratory songbird species have been documented to use this |
| Panther Habitat, wetlands, ponds, grass lands, etc. | 2 | | habitat at the Caloosahatchee Creeks Preserve |
| 3. Rare and Unique Uplands | | | - |
| a. Scrub, hammock, old growth pine | 2 | 2 | |
| b. Mature, second growth pine flatwood | 1 | | Sand live oak community present |
| 4. Diversity | | | _ |
| a. 5 or more FLUCCS native plant community categories | 2 | [| |
| b. 3 or 4 FLUCCS native plant community categories | 1 | | |
| c. 2 or less FLUCCS native plant community categories | 0 | 0 | Sand live oak community only |

| | | NCE FOR WATER RESOURCES | | | COMMENTS |
|----|------|---|-----|-----|--|
| 1. | Ser | ves or can serve as flow-way | | | |
| | | Site contains a primary flow-way, creek, river, wetland corridor; | | | |
| | | large contributing watershed; possibly identified in the Lee County Surface Water Master Plan, South Lee County Study, or | | | |
| | a. | by staff | 4 | | |
| | | Site contains flow-way, through tributary, medium size | - | 1 | |
| | | watershed, or just a portion of system/one side of conveyance, | | | |
| | b. | may not be identified in study | 3 | | |
| | C. | Same as b., smaller watershed, not as defined, disconnected | 2 | | |
| | d. | Site conveys runoff, minimal area | 1 | 1 | |
| | e. | Site provides no conveyance of surface water | 0 | | Near Daughtrey Creek, overland flow (1pt) |
| | f. | Add 2 points if conveyance is natural (not man-made) | +2 | 2 | Natural condition (2pts) |
| 2. | Stra | ategic to Flood Management | - | · | |
| | | Well defined flood-way within parcel, possibly identified in FEMA | | | |
| | | or other study, both sides of conveyance, large upstream area, | | | |
| | a. | protection from encroachment critical or known flooding history | 4 | | |
| | | | | ł . | |
| | b. | Same as a., portion of floodway (one side) or within floodplain | 3 | | |
| | | Medium size watershed, need for floodplain protection, possibly | | | |
| | с. | serves as an outfall for a road or development | 2 | ļ | FIRM (Flood) Zone: AE:EL8. Within Coastal High Hazard Are |
| | d. | Small watershed, minimal flooding | 1 | 1 | Tropical Storm Surge Category. Chapel Branch Watershed. C |
| | е. | No significant flood issues | 0 | | Within floodplain – 1pt |
| 3. | Pro | tect a water supply source. | | | |
| | | Parcel is within area designated high potential productivity of the Water Table, Sandstone or Tamiami Aquifer and also within | | | |
| | a. | area of current or proposed wellfield development | 2 | | |
| | . u. | Not within above mentioned zones, but advantageous due to | . 4 | ł | |
| | | proximity to existing water supply facilities or identified as | |] | |
| | b. | potential water storage area | 1 | | |
| | c. | No recharge or potential water supply opportunities | 0 | 0 | No significant water supply source – 0pts |
| 4. | Offs | set Damage to or Enhance Water Quality. | | | +- |
| | | Presence of wetland, retention, or lake that is currently providing | | | T |
| | а. | water quality benefits | 2 | L | |
| | h | Same as a., but achieved through some alterations to existing site or very limited in contributing watershed | | | |
| | b. | | 1 | 1 | No wetlands. Sheet flow over limited area provides some wate |
| | с. | No existing or potential water quality benefits | 0 | | quality benefit – 1pt |

| LAND MANAGEMENT/RECREATION/PLANNED LAND L | | | COMMENTS |
|---|--|----------|---|
| 1. Good Access for Public Use and Land Man | agement | | _ |
| Parcel can be accessed from a freeway, expressway, and | | | |
| street, or major collector | 3 | ļ | |
| Parcel can by accessed from a minor collector or local s | street 2 | | |
| Parcel can be accessed from a privately-maintained roa | ad that is | ł | |
| dedicated for public use | 1 | 1 | |
| Parcel can only be accessed by a private road or does r | not have | | No physical access due to substandard bridge. Although it is |
| physical or legal access | 0 | | sufficient for management it is not appropriate for public use. |
| 2. Recreation/Eco-Tourism Potential | | | |
| Score depends upon the variety or uniqueness of potent | tial | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| public uses. Examples of appropriate public uses include | | | |
| paddling sports, fishing, hiking, equestrian, mountain bil | | | Site could be incorporated into a trail system at the |
| photography, nature study, and environmental education | n 0-2 | 1 1 | Caloosahatchee Creeks Preserve |
| | ······································ | <u> </u> | |
| 3. Land Manageability | · · · · · · · | ••• | |
| 75% or greater of the perimeter of site is surrounded by | low | | |
| impact land uses | 3 | 3 | |
| 50%-75% of the perimeter of site is surrounded by low in | mpact | | |
| land uses | 2 | | |
| 25%-50% of the perimeter of site is surrounded by low in | mpact | | |
| land uses | 1 | 1 | |
| Less than 25% of the perimeter of the site is surrounded | t by low | 1 | |
| impact land uses | 0 | | Surrounded on all sides by the Caloosahatchee Creeks Presen |
| 4 Development Status (Merimum 4 meinte) | | | : |
| 4. Development Status (Maximum 4 points) The Parcel is Approved for Development or is Exempt f | | | _ |
| a. Clearing Regulations | | <u>^</u> | |
| enter a subsection of the second se | 4 | 0 | |
| b. The Parcel is Zoned for Intensive Use | 2 | 0 | AG-2 |
| c. Future Land Use Map: Intensive Land Use Category | 1 | 1 | Suburban |
| | | | • |
| TOTAL POINTS | | 26 | |
| DMMENTS: Acquisition of this parcel will remov | e an outpa | rcel f | rom the Caloosahatchee Creeks Preserve. This wi |
| prove the boundary configuration and provent r | | kura I | and use conflicts by aliminating a multiple |
| prove the boundary configuration and prevent p | ur aidiceor | ure l | and use connicts by eliminating a private |
| ndownership within a County maintained preser | ve. | | |
| COMMENDATION: Pursue for acquisition | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |

| CRITERIA | SCORE | COMMENTS |
|--|-------|---|
| ZE AND CONTIGUITY | | |
| 1. Size of Property | | ······ |
| a. ≥ 500 acres | 6 | |
| b. 400 to < 500 acres | 5 | |
| c. 300 to <400 acres | 4 | |
| d. 200 to <300 acres | 3 | |
| e. 100 to <200 acres | 2 | |
| f. 50 to <100 acres | 1 | |
| g. < 50 acres | 0 0 | 0.25 acre |
| 2. Contiguous to: | | |
| a. Coastal waters and other sovereignty submerged lands | 4 0 | |
| b. Existing preserve area, c.e., wma or refuge | 4 4 | Caloosahatchee Creeks Preserve |
| c. Preserve areas officially proposed for acquisitior | 2 0 | |
| BITAT FOR PLANTS AND ANIMALS | | |
| 1. Native Plant Cover | | |
| a. \geq 75 % of the property has native plant cover | 8 8 | |
| b. 50% to < 75% has native plant cover | 4 | |
| c. 25% to <50% has native plant cover | 2 | Site company of and live ack community minimal availants |
| d. < 25% has native plant cover | | Site composed of sand live oak community, minimal exotic plan invasion |
| 2. Significant for wide-ranging species | | |
| | | |
| Panther Habitat, wetlands, ponds, grass lands, etc. | | Migratory songbird species have been documented to use this |
| 3. Rare and Unique Uplands | 2 1 | habitat at the Caloosahatchee Creeks Preserve |
| | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| a. Scrub, hammock, old growth pine | 2 2 | |
| b. Mature, second growth pine flatwood | 1 | Sand live oak community present |
| 4. Diversity | | |
| a. 5 or more FLUCCS native plant community categories | 2 | |
| b. 3 or 4 FLUCCS native plant community categories | 1 | |
| c. 2 or less FLUCCS native plant community categories | 0 0 | Sand live oak community only |

| GNI | FICA | NCE FOR WATER RESOURCES | | | COMMENTS |
|-----|-------------|--|----|----------|--|
| 1. | Sen | ves or can serve as flow-way | | | ······································ |
| | | Site contains a primary flow-way, creek, river, wetland corridor; | | | |
| | | large contributing watershed; possibly identified in the Lee County Surface Water Master Plan, South Lee County Study, or | | | |
| | a. | by staff | 4 | | |
| | ч. | Site contains flow-way, through tributary, medium size | | + . | |
| | | watershed, or just a portion of system/one side of conveyance, | | | |
| | b . | may not be identified in study | 3 | | |
| | c. | Same as b., smaller watershed, not as defined, disconnected | 2 | | |
| | d. | Site conveys runoff, minimal area | 1 | 1 | |
| | e. | Site provides no conveyance of surface water | 0 | Ī | Near Daughtrey's Creek, overland flow (1pt) |
| | f. | Add 2 points if conveyance is natural (not man-made) | +2 | 2 | Natural condition (2pts) |
| 2. | Stra | tegic to Flood Management | | | |
| | | Well defined flood-way within parcel, possibly identified in FEMA | | | |
| | | or other study, both sides of conveyance, large upstream area, | | | |
| | а. | protection from encroachment critical or known flooding history | 4 | | |
| | b. | Same as a., portion of floodway (one side) or within floodplain | 3 | | |
| | • • • | Medium size watershed, need for floodplain protection, possibly | | 1 | |
| | , c. | serves as an outfall for a road or development | 2 | | FIRM (Flood) Zone: AE:EL8. Within Coastal High Hazard Are |
| | d . | Small watershed, minimal flooding | 1 | 1 | Tropical Storm Surge Category. Chapel Branch Watershed. |
| | е. | No significant flood issues | 0 | | Within floodplain – 1pt |
| 3. | Pro | tect a water supply source. | | • | |
| | | Parcel is within area designated high potential productivity of the | | | |
| | a. | Water Table, Sandstone or Tamiami Aquifer and also within area of current or proposed wellfield development | 2 | | |
| | a. | Not within above mentioned zones, but advantageous due to | 4 | | |
| | | proximity to existing water supply facilities or identified as | | | |
| | b. | potential water storage area | 1 | | |
| | c. | No recharge or potential water supply opportunities | Ö | 0 | No significant water supply source – Opts |
| 4. | Offs | set Damage to or Enhance Water Quality. | | • | |
| | | Presence of wetland, retention, or lake that is currently providing | | | |
| | a. | water quality benefits | 2 | | |
| | L | Same as a., but achieved through some alterations to existing | | | No continue of the station of the former of the |
| | b. | site or very limited in contributing watershed | 1 | 1 | No wetlands. Sheet flow over limited area provides some wate |
| | с. | No existing or potential water quality benefits | 0 | <u> </u> | quality benefit – 1pt |

| AND MANAGEMENT/RECREATION/PLANNED LAND USE | | | COMMENTS |
|---|----------|----------|--|
| 1. Good Access for Public Use and Land Managemen | t | | |
| Parcel can be accessed from a freeway, expressway, arterial | | | |
| street, or major collector | 3 | | |
| Parcel can by accessed from a minor collector or local street | 2 | | |
| Parcel can be accessed from a privately-maintained road that is | ·· · - · | | |
| dedicated for public use | 1 | 1 | |
| Parcel can only be accessed by a private road or does not have | | | No physical access due to substandard bridge. Although it |
| physical or legal access | 0 | | sufficient for management it is not appropriate for public use |
| 2. Recreation/Eco-Tourism Potential | | | |
| Score depends upon the variety or uniqueness of potential | | | |
| | | | |
| public uses. Examples of appropriate public uses include | | | Rite could be incomparated into a tabil sustain of the |
| paddling sports, fishing, hiking, equestrian, mountain biking, | • • | | Site could be incorporated into a trail system at the |
| photography, nature study, and environmental education | 0-2 | 1 | Caloosahatchee Creeks Preserve |
| 3. Land Manageability | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| 75% or greater of the perimeter of site is surrounded by low | | <u> </u> | 1 |
| impact land uses | 3 | 3 | |
| 50%-75% of the perimeter of site is surrounded by low impact | 3 | . | |
| land uses | 2 | | |
| 25%-50% of the perimeter of site is surrounded by low impact | ٠ | ł | |
| land uses | 1 | | |
| Less than 25% of the perimeter of the site is surrounded by low | • | ŀ | |
| impact land uses | 0 | | Surrounded on all sides by the Caloosahatchee Creeks Pre |
| ······· | <u> </u> | | Concentration and sides by the Calobsanatchee Creeks FTE |
| 4. Development Status (Maximum 4 points) | | | |
| The Parcel is Approved for Development or is Exempt from | | | |
| a. Clearing Regulations | 4 | 0 | |
| b. The Parcel is Zoned for Intensive Use | 2 | 0 | AG-2 |
| c. Future Land Use Map: Intensive Land Use Category | 1 | 1 | Suburban |
| | | | |
| TOTAL POINTS | | 26 | |
| MENTS: Acquisition of this parcel will remove an or | ut par | rcel | from the Caloosahatchee Creeks Preserve. This |
| ove the boundary configuration and prevent possible | | | and use conflicte by climination - mature |
| ove the boundary configuration and prevent possible | e ruti | ure i | and use conflicts by eliminating a private |
| ownership within a County maintained preserve. | | | |
| OMMENDATION: Pursue for acquisition | | | |

| | CRITERIA | SCC | RE | COMMENTS |
|-----------|--|-----|--------------|---|
| . SIZE A | ND CONTIGUITY | | | |
| 1. | Size of Property | | | |
| | a. ≥ 500 acres | 6 | | |
| | b. 400 to < 500 acres | 5 | | |
| | c. 300 to <400 acres | 4 | | |
| - | d. 200 to <300 acres | 3 | | |
| | e. 100 to <200 acres | 2 | L | |
| | f. 50 to <100 acres | 1 | | |
| | g. < 50 acres | 0 | 0 | 0.37 acre |
| 2. | Contiguous to: | | | _ |
| | a. Coastal waters and other sovereignty submerged lands | 4 | 0 | |
| | b. Existing preserve area, c.e., wma or refuge | 4 | 4 | Caloosahatchee Creeks Preserve |
| | c. Preserve areas officially proposed for acquisitior | Z | | |
| | AT FOR PLANTS AND ANIMALS | | | ······································ |
| 1. | Native Plant Cover | | —— | T |
| | a. \geq 75 % of the property has native plant cover | 8 | 8 | |
| | b. 50% to < 75% has native plant cover | 4 | [| |
| | c. 25% to <50% has native plant cover | 2 | | site composed of sand live oak community, minimal exotic plar |
| | d. < 25% has native plant cover | 0 | [| invasion |
| 2. | Significant for wide-ranging species | L | <u> </u> | |
| | | | | migratory songbird species have been documented to use this |
| | Panther Habitat, wetlands, ponds, grass lands, etc. | 2 | 1 | habitat at the Caloosahatchee Creeks Preserve |
| · · | Rare and Unique Uplands | | <u> </u> | |
| э. | | | | |
| | a. Scrub, hammock, old growth pine | 2 | 2 | |
| | b. Mature, second growth pine flatwood | | L | sand live oak community present |
| 4. | Diversity | | - | |
| | a. 5 or more FLUCCS native plant community categories | 2 | l | |
| | b. 3 or 4 FLUCCS native plant community categories | 1 | | |
| | c. 2 or less FLUCCS native plant community categories | 0 | 0 | sand live oak community only |

| GNIFICA | NCE FOR WATER RESOURCES | | | COMMENTS |
|------------|---|----------|----------|--|
| 1. Serv | ves or can serve as flow-way | | | |
| | Site contains a primary flow-way, creek, river, wetland corridor; | | | |
| | large contributing watershed; possibly identified in the Lee | | | |
| a. | County Surface Water Master Plan, South Lee County Study, or by staff | 4 | | |
| | Site contains flow-way, through tributary, medium size | 4 | | |
| | watershed, or just a portion of system/one side of conveyance, | | | |
| b. | may not be identified in study | 3 | | |
| C. | Same as b., smaller watershed, not as defined, disconnected | 2 | | |
| d. | Site conveys runoff, minimal area | 1 | 1 | |
| e. | Site provides no conveyance of surface water | 0 | ····· | Near Daughtrey's Creek, overland flow |
| f. | Add 2 points if conveyance is natural (not man-made) | +2 | 2 | Natural condition |
| 2. Stra | tegic to Flood Management | | 4 | |
| | Well defined flood-way within parcel, possibly identified in FEMA | | | |
| | or other study, both sides of conveyance, large upstream area, | | | |
| a. | protection from encroachment critical or known flooding history | 4 | | |
| b. | Same as a., portion of floodway (one side) or within floodplain | 3 | | |
| | Medium size watershed, need for floodplain protection, possibly | - | l | |
| C. | serves as an outfall for a road or development | 2 | | Within floodplain. FIRM (Flood) Zone: AE:EL8. Within Coa |
| d. | Small watershed, minimal flooding | 1 | 1 | High Hazard Area. Tropical Storm Surge Category. Chapel |
| е. | No significant flood issues | 0 | | Branch Watershed. |
| 3. Prot | ect a water supply source. | | | |
| | Parcel is within area designated high potential productivity of the | | | |
| a. | Water Table, Sandstone or Tamiami Aquifer and also within area of current or proposed wellfield development | 2 | 1 | |
| a . | Not within above mentioned zones, but advantageous due to | - | 1 | |
| | proximity to existing water supply facilities or identified as | | | |
| b. | potential water storage area | 1 | | |
| c. | No recharge or potential water supply opportunities | 0 | 0 | No significant water supply source |
| 4. Offs | et Damage to or Enhance Water Quality. | | L | |
| | Presence of wetland, retention, or lake that is currently providing | | | |
| a. | water quality benefits | 2 | . | |
| 6 | Same as a., but achieved through some alterations to existing site or very limited in contributing watershed | | 4 | |
| b. | | | 1 | No wetlands. Sheet flow over limited area provides some wa |
| С. | No existing or potential water quality benefits | 0 | <u> </u> | quality benefit. |

| AND MANAGEMENT/RECREATION/PLANNED LAND USE | | | COMMENTS |
|--|--------|----------|--|
| 1. Good Access for Public Use and Land Managemen | it | | |
| Parcel can be accessed from a freeway, expressway, arterial | | | |
| street, or major collector | 3 | | |
| Parcel can by accessed from a minor collector or local street | 2 | | |
| Parcel can be accessed from a privately-maintained road that is | | | |
| dedicated for public use | 1 | 1 | |
| Parcel can only be accessed by a private road or does not have | - | | No physical access due to substandard bridge. Although it is |
| physical or legal access | 0 | | sufficient for management it is not appropriate for public use |
| 2. Recreation/Eco-Tourism Potential | | | · · · · · · · · · |
| Score depends upon the variety or uniqueness of potential | | | |
| public uses. Examples of appropriate public uses include | | | |
| paddling sports, fishing, hiking, equestrian, mountain biking, | | | Site could be incorporated into a trail system at the |
| photography, nature study, and environmental education | 0-2 | 1 | Caloosahatchee Creeks Preserve |
| 25%-50% of the perimeter of site is surrounded by low impact land uses Less than 25% of the perimeter of the site is surrounded by low impact land uses | 1 0 | | surrounded on all sides by the Caloosahatchee Creeks Pres |
| 4. Development Status (Maximum 4 points) The Parcel is Approved for Development or is Exempt from | | 1 | 1 |
| a. Clearing Regulations | 4 | 0 | |
| b. The Parcel is Zoned for Intensive Use | 2 | 0 | AG-2 |
| c. Future Land Use Map: Intensive Land Use Category | 1 | 1 | Suburban |
| C. Future Land Ose map. Intensive Land Ose Category | | • | |
| TOTAL POINTS | | 26 | |
| IMENTS: Acquisition of this parcel will remove an o | ut pa | rcel | from the Caloosahatchee Creeks Preserve. This |
| ove the boundary configuration and prevent possible | - | | |
| | | | and use connots by childrening a private |
| ownership within a County maintained preserve. | | | |
| OMMENDATION: Pursue for acquisition | | | |

-