BLIND PASS MAINTENANCE DREDGING PROJECT 2017 Post-Construction Report



Prepared for:

Lee County Government 1500 Monroe Street 3rd Floor Fort Myers, FL 33901

and

Florida Department of Environmental Protection 3900 Commonwealth Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-3000

> August 28, 2017 CEC File No. 16.180

> > **Prepared by:**



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	Introduction									
2	S	cope of Work	1							
3	E	Equipment and QA/QC Procedures								
	3.1	Survey Report	5							
	3.2	Equipment	5							
	3.3	QA/QC Procedures	5							
	3.4	Data Reduction and Deliverables	7							
4	Р	hysical Monitoring	7							
	4.1	Survey Dates	7							
	4.2	Depth of Closure	7							
	4.3	Beach Profiles	7							
	4.4	Ebb Shoal)							
	4.5	Blind Pass)							
5	S	ediments	5							
6	Conclusion									
7	References									

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.	Overall Project Location Map
Figure 2.	Blind Pass Location Map
Figure 3.	MHW Shoreline Positions Relative to 2012 Pre-Construction MHW Shoreline 10
Figure 4.	Histogram of Volumetric Changes to MHW between 2016 Pre-Construction and June
	2017 Post-Construction Surveys
Figure 5.	Histogram of Volumetric Changes to DOC between 2016 Pre-Construction and June
	2017 Post-Construction Surveys
Figure 6.	Pre-construction Survey Contour Map 17
Figure 7.	Post-construction Survey Contour Map18
Figure 8.	Morphologic Changes between Pre-construction and Pre-construction Surveys 19
Figure 9.	Histogram of Volumetric Changes within Dredge Template between 2017 Pre- and
	Post-Construction Surveys

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.	Shoreline Positions and Changes at MHW between June/August 2016 Pre-
	Construction and June 2017 Post-Construction Surveys
Table 2.	Volumetric Changes to MHW between June/August 2016 Pre-Construction and June
	2017 Post-Construction Surveys
Table 3.	Volumetric Changes to DOC between June/August 2016 Pre-Construction and June
	2017 Post-Construction Surveys
Table 4.	Volume Change within Dredge Template between February 2017 Pre-Construction
	and June 2017 Post-Construction Surveys
Table 5.	Volume Remaining within Dredge Template after June 2017 Survey24
Table 6.	Summary of Post-Construction Sediment Grain Size Sampling Analysis

LIST OF APPENDICES

- APPENDIX 1 SURVEY REPORT
- APPENDIX 2 BEACH PROFILES
- APPENDIX 3 BLIND PASS AND EBB SHOAL CROSS SECTIONS
- APPENDIX 4 POST-CONSTRUCTION GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS SAMPLES

1 INTRODUCTION

Blind Pass was originally opened through hydraulic dredging during the 2009 restoration project. In June 2013, Lee County completed the first maintenance dredging of the pass. In June 2017, the second maintenance dredging of the pass was completed. This report summarizes the results of the 2017 dredging pre-construction and post-construction surveys noting that the post-construction survey also serves as a monitoring survey. The work was performed by Coastal Engineering Consultants (CEC).

An overall location map of the project area which includes R-monument survey lines is presented in Figure 1. Figure 2 presents a location map of Blind Pass and depicts ebb shoal and dredge template survey lines.

The dredge Contractor, Ferreira Construction Southern Division (Ferreira), began dredging and beach fill placement on March 11, 2017. Approximately 89,700 cubic yards were excavated from the permitted dredge template between Stations 0+00 to 35+16 within Wulfert Channel and between Stations 100+50 to 105+26 within Roosevelt Channel. Approximately 67,060 cubic yards were placed within the North Beach fill area between R-112 and R-114+200 and approximately 22,640 cubic yards were placed within the South Beach fill area between R-116 and R-118. The dredging was completed on June 3, 2017.

By comparing the pre-construction and post-construction surveys, CEC computed shoreline and volumetric changes along the project area's beach and volumetric changes within the permitted dredge template.





2 SCOPE OF WORK

The following Scope of Work was conducted to address the monitoring requirements of FDEP Permit No 0265943-003-JM.

Pass: Conduct pre- and post-construction surveys of the Blind Pass, Wulfert Channel and Roosevelt Channel as described herein. The surveys shall serve as the basis for payment, thus the survey lines shall be spaced at approximate 50-foot intervals seaward of the bridge and 50-foot intervals landward of the bridge, and points of inflection along the channel baseline. The budget includes one pre-construction survey of the entire channel, one post-construction survey of the channel segment seaward of the bridge, and one post-construction survey of the channel segment landward of the bridge. The work shall extend 1000 feet either side of the pass or to the MHW line, whichever is less. The landward extent of the survey shall be 600 feet inland past the work area. Data points shall be collected at a maximum spacing of 25 feet. The inlet shoreline position (MHW) shall be measured for the pre- and post-construction survey to provide a baseline for future analyses described in the reporting section. Compute the potential quantities of sand to be excavated from the channel based on the pre-construction channel survey.

Ebb Shoal Survey: Incorporate and utilize the August 2016 Physical Monitoring ebb shoal data, collected on-behalf of the County, as the pre-construction survey. Conduct a post-construction survey of the ebb shoal as described herein. The survey lines shall be spaced no greater than 200 feet apart, and shall be aligned parallel to the shoreline. The alignment spacing shall be sufficient to document the channel position and shoal formation. The work shall extend 1000 feet either side of the pass or to the Mean High Water (MHW) line, whichever is less. The seaward extent of the surveys shall be a minimum of 3,000 feet offshore. Data points shall be collected at a maximum spacing of 25 feet.

Beach Profiles: Incorporate and utilize the August 2016 Physical Monitoring beach profile data, collected on-behalf of the County, as the pre-construction monitoring survey. Conduct a postconstruction survey of the beach profiles as described herein. Profile surveys of the active beach zone will be collected along the shoreline at each reference monument (R monument) from R-106, north of Blind Pass, to R-122, south of the fill area and will include half monuments from R-110.5 to R-118.5. Field verify control information utilized in the survey. The surveys shall be utilized to identify the shoreline position, average beach width and sediment transport rates in the vicinity of Blind Pass. The beach portion of the profile survey shall extend from a minimum of 150 feet landward of the monument or from the edge of a building or road, whichever is the most seaward, to a wading depth deep enough to provide a 50-foot overlap with the offshore portion of the profile survey where environmental conditions allow. Profile data points along the beach portion of the profile survey shall be collected at a maximum interval of 25 feet and at all breaks in grade. The offshore portion of the profile survey shall extend from as close to shore as safely possible to provide at least a 50-foot overlap with the beach portion of the profile survey where environmental conditions allow to length of at least 3,000 feet from the MHW line or an elevation of -30 feet NAVD, whichever is more landward. Profile data points along the offshore portion of the profile survey shall be collected at a maximum interval of 25 feet.

Sediment Analyses: Conduct post-construction sediment sampling and analyses in accordance with the DEP approved Sediment Quality Assurance / Quality Control Plan dated February 4, 2011, and as described herein. Post-construction samples shall be collected at each full and half R

monument in the fill area on approximate 500 foot intervals. Duplicate samples of a minimum of 1 U.S. pint (200 grams) shall be excavated from the bottom of a test hole 6 to 12 inches deep within the constructed berm. The location, date, and time shall be archived. Visually assess grain size, Munsell color, shell content, and silt content of the material by handling the fill material to ensure that it is predominantly sand, and further to note the physical characteristics. Note the existence of any layering or rocks within the test hole. One sample will be sent for laboratory analysis while the other sample will be archived and delivered to the County for storage. All samples and laboratory test results will be labeled with the Project name, FDEP Reference Monument Profile Line designation, date sample was obtained, and "Berm."

All samples will be evaluated for visual attributes (Munsell color and shell content), sieved in accordance with the applicable sections of ASTM D 6913 Particle Size Analysis of Soils, ASTM C 136 Sieve Analysis of Aggregates, and analyzed for carbonate content if applicable. The samples will be sieved using the following U.S. Standard Sieve Numbers: ³/₄", 3/8", 3.5, 4, 5, 7, 10, 14, 18, 25, 35, 45, 60, 80, 120, 170, 200, and 230. The testing shall be performed by an appropriately licensed and certified laboratory.

3 EQUIPMENT AND QA/QC PROCEDURES

3.1 Survey Report

The Survey Report is presented in Appendix 1.

3.2 Equipment

The following equipment was utilized for the survey work performed by CEC.

Upland: CEC employed two Trimble R10 Real Time Kinematic (RTK) Global Positioning Systems (GPS) with GLONASS capability for the upland surveys along with a Trimble R8 base receiver installed on an established control point. These systems are capable of delivering RTK positions with coordinate accuracy of ± 10 mm+2ppm. The standard 2-meter antenna rod allows for data collection seaward of the mean high water line up to 5 feet deep while protecting the equipment from the elements.

Offshore: The CEC survey vessel used for this work was a 20-foot fiberglass hull powered by an outboard. An Innerspace 456 single beam echo sounder was used with a side mounted transducer. The GPS antenna was mounted directly above the transducer. A Trimble R8 GLONASS RTK GPS receiver was integrated with the on-board computer system. The HYPACK 2016 software package was the hydrographic guidance program utilized.

3.3 QA/QC Procedures

CEC employs an advanced QA/QC program to ensure work performed by us meets the FDEP accuracy standards. CEC upland field crews utilize RTK systems for data collection. CEC also incorporates the necessary equipment on the survey vessel to collect bathymetric survey data "Real-Time". To meet the specification calling for an approximate 50-foot overlap in data between the boat and the upland crew, CEC implements the following procedure. Utilizing "Real-Time" data collection, the boat crew immediately accounts for the tide correction, as well as the draft,

and reports measured water depth in NAVD88 at each profile with the upland crew. This gives the upland crew, who simultaneously collects the upland and nearshore profile data, the necessary information to achieve the "overlap" specification.

Upland Data Collection: CEC mobilized one operator and GPS rover unit to collect survey data from the approximate mean high water line landward to the existing dune while an additional operator and unit collected data just landward of the mean high water seaward to wading depth or approximately -5 feet NAVD88. The recorded data was maintained within tolerances of ± 3.00 feet horizontal and ± 0.16 feet vertical. QA/QC procedures were maintained by both comparison of values with higher accuracy and by repeat measurement.

The Trimble base station was setup on a suitable control point for GPS observations, either a point with provided GPS coordinates or a point with coordinates derived from observations performed during monumentation. The point designation, record coordinates, ellipsoidal height, GEIOD model and antenna height are logged in the field book. At least one check shot was recorded for each RTK rover on a point with known coordinates and GPS observations were collected on known previously established survey control points throughout the day to ensure the integrity of the data.

An electronic list of R-monument coordinates and profile azimuths was loaded into the rover units and measurements were recorded along the azimuth line at intervals no greater than 25 feet or wherever geographical features dictated. The measurements were taken landward along the azimuth line to the location of the R-monument and a measurement was taken on the R-monument when possible. The extent of the vegetation line and prominent features such as seawalls were also noted in the data collection. The measurements were taken seaward along the azimuth line to a minimum depth of -5 feet NAVD88 or as far as conditions dictated, to maintain a minimum of 50 feet of overlap with the data being collected by the offshore survey crew. This data was then compiled and merged with the offshore data to produce the profile drawings.

Offshore Data Collection: All survey equipment was properly calibrated and operated in accordance with FDEP standards. Bar checks to calibrate the fathometer were performed periodically throughout the survey. Bathymetric survey data collection was conducted in calm seas. Maximum wave heights during the data collection period were less than 3 feet. The data was collected at intervals not exceeding 25 feet and at all grade breaks along the profile sufficient to accurately describe the bathymetry at the profile locations. The beach profile survey extended seaward to a minimum of 3,000 feet from MHW.

The vertical accuracy of the profile data meets or exceeds the GPS-derived heights (0.2 to 0.5 feet) standard. The horizontal positioning system accuracy of the data was within 2 feet and the offline horizontal deviation was within 30 feet. Measure downs form a known point to the water's surface were taken periodically throughout the survey as a check for the tides measured by the RTK GPS as necessary.

Bathymetric survey data collection was performed as close in time as possible with the upland topographic survey data collection. This significantly increased efficiency by conducting the work with the same base station set-up. Safety was also increased by having both crews visible to each other at all times. Difference in time between the onshore and offshore data was no greater than 7 days for the pre-construction survey and no greater than 2 days for the post-construction survey.

3.4 Data Reduction and Deliverables

The data from the upland and offshore surveys were merged together using the HYPACK 2016 subroutines. The reduced data was converted to "xyz" and FDEP formats. The survey report is provided in Appendix 1. The "xyz" data file was imported into AutoCAD to generate beach profiles and channel cross sections to the specified scale. These profiles and cross sections are presented in Appendices 2 and 3, respectively.

4 PHYSICAL MONITORING

4.1 Survey Dates

CEC conducted the pre-construction survey of the Blind Pass channel on February 7, 2017. For the half R-monument beach profiles between R-110 and R-119 (e.g, R-110.5) the physical monitoring survey conducted by CEC on August 18, 2016 was utilized. The R-monument beach profiles surveyed by CB&I in June 2016 which included R-106 through R-122 were utilized. For the Blind Pass ebb shoal, the physical monitoring survey conducted by CEC on August 18, 2016 was utilized. The post-construction survey which included the beach profiles, Blind Pass channel, and ebb shoal was conducted by CEC on June 26-27, 2017.

4.2 Depth of Closure

Based on the Birkemeier depth of closure (DOC) formulation (Birkemeier, 1985), CEC estimated DOC near Blind Pass to be -13.3 feet NAVD88 (CEC, 2011). It is consistent with DOC values reported by others, e.g., Coastal Planning & Engineering (CPE) used DOC equal to -13.0 feet NAVD88 for their Captiva and Sanibel Islands Beach Renourishment Project (CPE, 2007).

4.3 Beach Profiles

Appendix 2 presents the beach profiles measured between R-106 and R-122 at each R-monument and also includes half R-monuments from R-110.5 to R-118.5 for the June (CB&I) and August (CEC) 2016 and June 2017 surveys.

Table 1 presents the 2016 and 2017 shoreline positions at Mean High Water (MHW = +0.28 feet NAVD88) along with the shoreline changes between the two surveys.

	Construction and Sune 2017 1 0st-Construction Surveys.										
Mon	Pre-Con Position June/ August 2016 (ft)	Post-Con Position June 2017 (ft)	2016-2017 Shoreline Change (ft)	2016-2017 Average Shoreline Change (ft)							
R-106*	559.6	534.2	-25.4								
R-107*	179.3	171.1	-8.1	36.8	Updrift of						
R-108*	272.9	229.3	-43.6	-30.8	Blind Pass						
R-109*	357.7	287.8	-69.9								
		Blind Pass									
R-110*	82.7	111.8	29.1								
R-110.5**	178.6	284.4	105.8	42.0	Downdrift of						
R-111*	92.4	109.3	16.8	42.8	Blind Pass						
R-111.5**	155.5 174.8 19.3		19.3								
R-112*	64.2	109.8	45.6								
R-112.5**	432.3	444.0	11.7								
R-113*	94.0	132.1	38.0	32.5	North Beach						
R-113.5**	2.2	75.7	73.5		FIII						
R-114*	44.8	38.7	-6.2								
R-114.5**	-7.7	-44.9	-37.2		Downdrift of						
R-115*	12.4	-28.5	-41.0	22.4	North Beach						
R-115.5**	65.6	73.6	8.0	-23.4	Fill						
R-116*	120.4	128.3	7.9								
R-116.5**	178.3	182.7	4.4								
R-117*	174.5	208.0	33.5	11.6	South Beach						
R-117.5**	317.4	338.0	20.7		FIII						
R-118*	445.1	436.4	-8.7								
R-118.5**	514.5	505.8	-8.7								
R-119*	543.9	564.5	20.6		Downdrift of						
R-120*	413.9	446.3	32.4	20.6	South Beach						
R-121*	479.4	523.3	43.9		Fill						
R-122*	516.7	531.5	14.7								

 Table 1.
 Shoreline Positions and Changes at MHW between June/August 2016 Pre-Construction and June 2017 Post-Construction Surveys.

* surveyed in June 2016

** surveyed in August 2016

A summary of the shoreline changes based on the comparisons between the 2016 pre-construction and 2017 post-construction surveys at the R-monuments is presented below.

Updrift of Blind Pass: The beach segment north of Blind Pass, extending from R-106 to R-109, receded on average approximately 36.8 feet. The range of shoreline recession measured at MHW was from 8.1 feet at R-107 to 69.9 feet at R-109.

Downdrift of Blind Pass: The beach segment south of Blind Pass, extending from R-110 to R-112, advanced on average approximately 42.8 feet. The range of shoreline advancement measured at MHW was from 16.8 feet at R-111 to 105.8 feet of advancement at R-110.5.

North Beach Fill: This fill segment extended from R-112 to R-114+200 (Figure 1), within which approximately 67,060 cubic yards were placed. The segment's shoreline measured at MHW

advanced on average approximately 32.5 feet. The range of shoreline change was from 6.2 feet of recession at R-114 to 73.5 feet of advancement at R-113.5.

Downdrift of North Beach Fill: The beach segment extending from R-114+200 to R-116 receded on average approximately 23.4 feet. The range of shoreline change measured at MHW was from 41.0 feet of recession at R-115 to 8.0 feet of advancement at R-115.5.

South Beach Fill: This fill segment extended from R-116 to R-118 (Figure 1), within which approximately 22,640 cubic yards were placed. The segment's shoreline measured at MHW advanced on average approximately 11.6 feet. The range of shoreline change was from 8.7 feet of recession at R-118 to 33.5 feet of advancement at R-117.

Downdrift South Beach Fill: The beach segment extending from R-118 to R-122 advanced on average approximately 20.6 feet. The range of shoreline change measured at MHW was from 8.7 feet of recession at R-118.5 to 43.9 feet of advancement at R-121.

Figure 3 presents a schematic of the historic annual MHW shoreline positions relative to the 2012 dredging project pre-construction positions that serves as a baseline.



Figure 3. MHW Shoreline Positions Relative to 2012 Pre-Construction MHW Shoreline.

Tables 2 and 3 present volumetric changes to MHW and DOC, respectively, calculated from comparing the 2016 pre-construction and 2017 post-construction surveys. A summary of the volumetric changes to MHW and DOC is presented below.

Updrift of Blind Pass: The beach segment north of Blind Pass, extending from R-106 to R-109, lost approximately 4,150 cubic yards above MHW and lost approximately 56,580 cubic yards to DOC.

Downdrift of Blind Pass: The beach segment south of Blind Pass, extending from R-110 to R-112, gained approximately 18,580 cubic yards above MHW but lost approximately 29,630 cubic yards to DOC.

North Beach Fill: The fill segment extending from R-112 to R-114+200, within which approximately 67,060 cubic yards were placed, gained approximately 18,390 cubic yards above MHW and gained approximately 33,580 cubic yards to DOC noting that these volumes were calculated based on the R-monument surveys conducted in June/August 2016 and June 2017 while the volume of material placed, 67,060 cubic yards, was calculated based on the 100-foot station pre-construction and pay surveys conducted immediately before and after fill placement.

Downdrift of North Beach Fill: The beach segment extending from R-114+200 to R-116 lost approximately 3,490 cubic yards above MHW and lost approximately 21,900 cubic yards to DOC.

South Beach Fill: The fill segment extending from R-116 to R-118, within which approximately 22,640 cubic yards were placed, gained approximately 13,670 cubic yards above MHW and lost approximately 10,510 cubic yards to DOC noting that these volumes were calculated based on the R-monument surveys conducted in June/August 2016 and June 2017 while the volume of material placed, 22,640 cubic yards, was calculated based on the 100-foot station pre-construction and post-construction surveys conducted immediately before and after fill placement.

Downdrift of South Beach Fill: The beach segment extending from R-118 to R-122 gained approximately 36,270 cubic yards above MHW and lost approximately 26,710 cubic yards to DOC.

Figures 4 and 5 present histograms of the 2016-2017 volumetric changes to MHW and DOC, respectively.

Table 2.	Volumetric Changes to MHW between June/August 2016 Pre-Construction and
	June 2017 Post-Construction Surveys.

Mon	Area (cy/ft)	Average Area (cy/ft)	Length (ft)	Volume (cy)	Total Vo	olume (cy)		
R-106*	-3.2							
		-1.7	1,101	-1,925				
R-107*	-0.3		1.010			Updrift of		
D 100*	0.1	-1.7	1,310	-2,172	-4,149	Blind Pass		
R-108*	-3.1	0.1	966	51				
P 100*	2.0	-0.1	800	-51				
K-109	2.9		Blind Pass					
R-110*	5.8		Difficit diss					
IC II O	5.0	15.3	525	8.032				
R-110.5**	24.8			- ,				
		14.3	482	6,884	18 582	Downdrift of		
R-111*	3.8				10,502	Blind Pass		
D 444 Fibili		3.5	411	1,438				
R-111.5**	3.2	5.(401	2 220				
D 112*	7.0	5.0	401	2,229				
K-112*	1.9	53	731	3 897				
R-112.5**	2.7	5.5	751	5,077				
1(112.5	2.7	5.7	658	3,728				
R-113*	8.6			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	18,393	North Beach Fill		
		15.6	449	6,988		Deach Fill		
R-113.5**	22.6							
		10.6	356	3,780				
R-114*	-1.3			215				
D 1145**	0.6	-0.4	577	-217				
K-114.5**	0.6	0.7	585	410		Downdrift of		
R-115*	-2.0	-0.7	365	-419	-3 486	North		
IC 115	2.0	-2.9	573	-1.651	5,100	Beach Fill		
R-115.5**	-3.8			,				
		-2.1	572	-1,198				
R-116*	-0.4							
		0.4	533	218				
R-116.5**	1.2		500	2.122				
D 117*	10.5	5.9	532	3,132	12 (71	South		
K-11/*	10.5	11.4	531	6.069	13,071	Beach Fill		
R-117 5**	12.3	11.4	551	0,007				
R 117.5	12.5	7.5	567	4.251				
R-118*	2.7			.,				
		4.1	529	2,182				
R-118.5**	5.6							
		7.3	531	3,891				
R-119*	9.1	11.0	1.0.40	11.701		Downdrift of		
D 120*	12.4	11.3	1,040	11,701	36,274	South		
K-120*	13.4	11.6	1.048	12 108		Beach Fill		
R-121*	97	11.0	1,040	12,100				
1 121	2.1	6.5	977	6,392				
R-122*	3.4			~,~/~				

* surveyed in June 2016 ** surveyed in August 2016

Table 3.	Volumetric Changes to DOC between June/August 2016 Pre-Construction and
	June 2017 Post-Construction Surveys.

Mon	Area (cy/ft)	Average Area (cy/ft)	Length (ft)	Volume (cy)	Total Vo	olume (cy)			
R-106*	-10.8								
		-10.6	1,101	-11,633	-				
R-107*	-10.3		1.010			Updrift of			
D 100*	24.4	-17.4	1,310	-22,738	-56,583	Blind Pass			
R-108*	-24.4	25.7	966	22.212	-				
P 100*	26.0	-23.1	800	-22,212	-				
K-109	-20.9		Blind Pass						
R-110*	-80.5		Dilla I uss						
IC II O	00.5	-48.2	525	-25,296	-				
R-110.5**	-15.9			ĺ ĺ					
		-16.5	482	-7,949	20.634	Downdrift of			
R-111*	-17.1				-27,034	Blind Pass			
D 444 Webst		-4.7	411	-1,926	-				
R-111.5**	1.1	12.0	401	5 529	-				
D 112*	10.0	13.8	401	5,538					
K-112*	19.9	6.0	731	5.061	-				
R-112 5**	-6.0	0.9	/31	5,001	-				
K-112.5	-0.0	11.1	658	7.321					
R-113*	28.3			.,	33,578	North			
		33.5	449	15,042	Í Í	Beach Fill			
R-113.5**	38.8								
		17.3	356	6,154	-				
R-114*	-4.2			1.7.7					
D 114 5**	0.7	-0.7	577	-428	-				
K-114.5**	2.1	6.5	505	2 909	-	D			
R_115*	-157	-0.3	383	-3,808	-21.896	Downdrift of North			
K-115	-15.7	-13.8	573	-7 900	-21,070	Beach Fill			
R-115.5**	-11.8	1010	0,0	1,,, 00	-	Deach Fin			
		-17.1	572	-9,759					
R-116*	-22.3								
		-15.3	533	-8,148					
R-116.5**	-8.3				-				
D 1154	0.1	-8.2	532	-4,375	10 511	South			
R-117*	-8.1	1.0	521	1.022	-10,511	Beach Fill			
D 117 5**	12.0	1.9	531	1,035	-				
K-117.5**	12.0	17	567	980	-				
R-118*	-8.6	1.7	507	700	-				
IC III0	0.0	-7.7	529	-4.060					
R-118.5**	-6.8			.,	1				
		-6.8	531	-3,597					
R-119*	-6.8					Downdwift of			
		-5.4	1,040	-5,598	-26 712	South			
R-120*	-4.0		1.0.10		20,712	Beach Fill			
D 101*	F 0	-4.9	1,048	-5,117	-				
K-121*	-3.8	0 5	077	0.240	4				
R-122*	-113	-0.J	7//	-0,340	-				
11 144	11.5	I	1	1	1	1			

* surveyed in June 2016 ** surveyed in August 2016



Figure 4. Histogram of Volumetric Changes to MHW between 2016 Pre-Construction and June 2017 Post-Construction Surveys.



Figure 5. Histogram of Volumetric Changes to DOC between 2016 Pre-Construction and June 2017 Post-Construction Surveys.

Figures 6 and 7 present contour maps based on the pre-construction and post-construction survey data, respectively. The figures depict the limits of dredging and fill placement. The pre-construction survey data was a combination of the June 2016 (beach R-monument lines), August 2016 (beach half R-monument and Blind Pass ebb shoal lines), and February 2017 (Blind Pass channel lines). The Blind Pass channel data were used to derive its limits. The 2016 ebb shoal survey data within these limits were first excluded and then merged with the 2017 channel survey data. Using the channel and ebb shoal merged data, data limits were updated and used to eliminate the 2016 beach (R-monument and half R-monument) survey data which were within the limits. The remainder of the 2016 beach data was merged with previously merged channel and ebb shoal data.

Figure 8 presents a morphology change map depicting changes in elevations that occurred between the two surveys.



Figure 6. Pre-construction Survey Contour Map.



Figure 7. Post-construction Survey Contour Map.



Figure 8. Morphologic Changes between Pre-construction and Pre-construction Surveys.

4.4 Ebb Shoal

Appendix 3 presents the ebb shoal cross sections, 200-foot increment Stations 196+00 through 228+00 depicted in Figure 2. The ebb shoal was surveyed in August 2016 (pre-construction survey) and June 2017 (post-construction survey), noting that the dredge template's seaward end is located along Station 224+00. The survey data comparison indicates no changes seaward of Station 220+00. Station 222+00 indicates that the ebb shoal grew in elevation by as much as 2 feet. Further landward, along Stations 226+00 and 228+00, the sections show material that was removed from the dredge template. Station 228+00 also indicates ebb shoal growth toward the beach on the south side of the pass between R-110 and R-111 which can also be seen in Figure 5.

4.5 Blind Pass

Appendix 3 presents the Blind Pass cross sections surveyed in February 2017 (pre-construction survey) and June 2017 (post-construction survey). The dredge template and stations are depicted in Figure 2. It should be noted that the bridge precludes accurate surveying of Station 10+00 due to its orientation, instead two offset stations were surveyed, Stations 9+50 and 10+50, to monitor changes near the bridge.

Table 4 presents the volume change within the channel which was calculated from comparing the February 2017 pre-construction and June 2017 post-construction surveys. The total volume change within the dredge template including the 1-foot tolerance was computed to be approximately -77,780 cubic yards utilizing the 200-foot station survey lines with the addition of Stations 9+50 and 10+50 due to the presence of the bridge. It should be noted that the volume of material excavated from the template, 89,700 cubic yards, was calculated based on the 50-foot station pre-construction and pay surveys.

Figure 9 presents a histogram of the volumetric changes within the dredge template between the 2017 pre- and post-construction surveys.

Station	Area (cy/ft)	Average Area (cy/ft)	Length (ft)	Volume (cy)
		Wulfert Channel		
0+00	-32.4			
		-54.7	200	-10,937
2+00	-77			
		-72.4	200	-14,474
4+00	-67.8			
		-63.5	200	-12,709
6+00	-59.3			
		-43.4	200	-8,684
8+00	-27.5			
		-26.3	150	-3,949
9+50	-25.1			
		-18.8	100	-1,878

Table 4.VolumeChangewithinDredgeTemplatebetweenFebruary2017Pre-
ConstructionConstruction and June 2017Post-ConstructionSurveys.

Station	Area	Average Area	Length	Volume
10+50	-12.4	(Cy/It)	(11)	(Cy)
10+30	-12.4	-14.2	150	-2 133
12+00	-16	11.2	150	2,135
12100	10	-19.9	200	-3.972
14+00	-23.7			
		-23.9	200	-4,782
16+00	-24.1			
		-20.6	200	-4,120
18+00	-17.1			
		-13.8	200	-2,760
20+00	-10.5			
		-10.6	200	-2,113
22+00	-10.6			
		-5.9	200	-1,173
24+00	-1.1			
		-1.1	200	-220
26+00	-1.1	_		
		-1.4	200	-286
28+00	-1.8	10	200	27.1
20,00		-1.9	200	-374
30+00	-2	1.0	200	207
22+00	1.0	-1.9	200	-387
32+00	-1.9	1.0	200	272
34+00	1.8	-1.9	200	-373
34+00	-1.0	-14	100	-139
35+00	-1	1.7	100	157
55100	1	Roosevelt Channel		
101+00	-12.3			
		-10.8	100	-1,077
102+00	-9.2		1	
		-5.6	200	-1,123
104+00	-2			
		-1.2	100	-118
105+00	-0.3			
		Total		-77,781



Figure 9. Histogram of Volumetric Changes within Dredge Template between 2017 Pre- and Post-Construction Surveys.

Based on the June 2017 survey, the total volume remaining within the Blind Pass dredge template was approximately 24,770 cubic yards (Table 5), of which approximately 13,080 cubic yards were within the design cut and 11,690 cubic yards were within the overdredge tolerance.

Station	Area (cy/ft)	Average Area (cy/ft)	Length (ft)	Volume (cy)			
		Wulfert Channel					
0+00	9.6						
		9.0	200	1,791			
2+00	8.3						
		9.3	200	1,857			
4+00	10.3						
		9.3	200	1,857			
6+00	8.3						
		18.6	200	3,714			
8+00	28.9						
		17.3	150	2,590			
9+50	5.7						
		7.7	100	768			
10+50	9.7						
		8.5	150	1,280			
12+00	7.4			,			
		8.5	200	1.699			
14+00	9.6		200	1,077			
11100	7.0	10.0	200	2 001			
16+00	10.4	10.0	200	2,001			
10+00	10.4	8.0	200	1 607			
18+00	57	0.0	200	1,007			
10+00	5.1	5.4	200	1.073			
20+00	5 1	5.4	200	1,075			
20+00	5.1	2.0	200	704			
22.00	2.0	3.9	200	/ 84			
22+00	2.8	2.0	200	570			
24.00	2.0	2.9	200	579			
24+00	3.0	2.2	200	452			
26.00	1.7	2.3	200	453			
26+00	1.5	1.2	200	251			
20.00	1.0	1.3	200	251			
28+00	1.0		200	254			
		1.8	200	356			
30+00	2.6						
		3.1	200	618			
32+00	3.6						
		2.2	200	445			
34+00	0.8						
		0.5	100	46			
35+00	0.1						
Roosevelt Channel							
101+00	6.4						
		4.1	100	407			
102+00	1.7						
		2.1	200	417			
104+00	2.4						
		1.7	100	174			
105+00	1.0						
		Total		24,766			

 Table 5.
 Volume Remaining within Dredge Template after June 2017 Survey.

5 SEDIMENTS

A grain size analysis was performed on sediment samples collected on June 21, 2017. The samples were collected in accordance with the projects Sediment Quality Assurance / Quality Control plan. The samples were collected at each full and half R-monument in the fill area (R-112 to R-114+200 and R-116 to R-118). The samples were excavated from depths of 6 to 12 inches below the surface in the newly constructed berm. The average mean grain size of the sediment samples collected was 0.59 mm. The average gravel content of the sediment grab samples was 4.9% and the average silt content (finer than the #230 sieve) was 0.72%. The samples of the post-construction grain size analysis are presented in Appendix 4 and the summary of the analysis is presented in Table 6. Based on the results of the post-construction sampling, the sediment placed on the beach is consistent with the Sediment Quality Assurance / Quality Control plan.

	Sample No.	gINT Granularmetrics								Munsell Color		
Location		Size Class (wt%)			Descriptive Statistics		USC	Carbonates	Organics	Wet		
		Gravel	Sand	<#200	<#230	Mean (mm)	Verbal			0	Verbal	Value
R-112	1-2	0.34	98.9	0.76	0.75	0.35	М	SP	35.10%	0.80%	Gray	10YR-6/1
R-112+487	2-2	7.39	91.73	0.88	0.77	0.60	С	SW	58.40%	1.10%	Gray	10YR-6/1
R112+800	3-2	7.42	91.67	0.91	0.90	0.60	С	SW	47.60%	1.20%	Gray	10YR-6/1
R113	4-2	4.07	95.03	0.9	0.85	0.46	М	SW	48.10%	0.90%	Gray	10YR-6/1
R113+406	5-2	4.45	94.58	0.97	0.94	0.44	М	SW	32.00%	0.90%	Gray	10YR-6/1
R114	6-2	5.08	93.84	1.08	1.06	0.49	М	SW	48.50%	1.00%	Gray	10YR-6/1
R114+190	7-2	3.02	96.09	0.89	0.88	0.51	С	SW	53.60%	1.00%	Gray	10YR-6/1
R116	8-2	5.31	93.9	0.79	0.78	0.95	С	SW	77.30%	1.40%	Gray	10YR-6/1
R116+500	9-2	1.79	97.91	0.3	0.28	0.40	М	SW	40.60%	1.10%	Gray	10YR-6/1
R117	10-2	1.44	98.26	0.3	0.28	0.40	М	SW	40.40%	1.00%	Gray	10YR-6/1
R-117+380	11-2	8.08	91.48	0.44	0.40	0.87	С	SW	67.00%	1.50%	Gray	10YR-6/1
R117+800	12-2	12.58	86.89	0.53	0.50	1.06	VC	SW	79.90%	1.10%	Gray	10YR-6/1
R118	13-2	2.53	96.51	0.96	0.95	0.51	С	SW	64.50%	1.50%	Gray	10YR-6/1
Avera	age	4.88	94.37	0.75	0.72	0.59						

Table 6. Summary of Post-Construction Sediment Grain Size Sampling Analysis.

6 CONCLUSION

This report describes the pre-construction and post-construction physical monitoring results of Lee County's Blind Pass restoration project completed in June 2017. The information presented herein provides the necessary data for both Lee County and FDEP to regularly observe and assess, with quantitative measurements, the performance of the project, any adverse effects which have occurred, and the need for any adjustments, modifications, or mitigative response to the project. The monitoring process also provides the County and FDEP information necessary to plan, design, and optimize subsequent follow-up projects, potentially reducing the need for and costs of unnecessary work, as well as potentially reducing any environmental impacts that may have occurred or be expected.

The data used in the analysis included surveys conducted by CB&I in June 2016 (used as preconstruction), and by CEC in August 2016 (used as pre-construction), February 2017 (used as preconstruction), and June 2017 (used as post-construction and monitoring).

Based on the February 2017 pre-construction and June 2017 post-construction surveys, the total volume change within the dredge template including the 1-foot tolerance was approximately 77,780 cubic yards, noting that the volume of material excavated from the template was approximately 89,700 cubic yards.

As of June 2017, the total volume remaining within the Blind Pass dredge template was approximately 24,770 cubic yards.

Based on the shoreline change analysis conducted by comparing MHW positions between the June/August 2016 and June 2017 surveys at the R-monuments:

- beach segment north of Blind Pass, extending from R-106 to R-109, receded on average approximately 36.8 feet;
- beach segment south of Blind Pass, extending from R-110 to R-112, advanced on average approximately 42.8 feet;
- North Beach Fill segment extending from R-112 to R-114+200 advanced on average approximately 32.5 feet;
- beach segment downdrift on North Beach Fill extending from R-114+200 to R-116 receded on average approximately 23.4 feet;
- South Beach Fill segment extending from R-116 to R-118 advanced on average approximately 11.6 feet; and
- beach segment downdrift on South Beach Fill extending from R-118 to R-122 advanced on average approximately 20.6 feet.

Based on the beach volumetric change analysis conducted by comparing volume changes above MHW and to DOC between the June/August 2016 and June 2017 surveys at the R-monuments:

• beach segment north of Blind Pass, extending from R-106 to R-109, lost approximately 4,150 cubic yards above MHW and lost approximately 56,580 cubic yards to DOC;

- beach segment south of Blind Pass, extending from R-110 to R-112, gained approximately 18,580 cubic yards above MHW but lost approximately 29,630 cubic yards to DOC;
- North Beach Fill segment extending from R-112 to R-114+ gained approximately 18,390 cubic yards above MHW and gained approximately 33,580 cubic yards to DOC;
- beach segment downdrift on North Beach Fill extending from just south of R-114+200 to R-116 lost approximately 3,490 cubic yards above MHW and lost approximately 21,900 cubic yards to DOC;
- South Beach Fill segment extending from R-116 to R-118 gained approximately 13,670 cubic yards above MHW and lost approximately 10,510 cubic yards to DOC; and
- beach segment downdrift on South Beach Fill extending from R-118 to R-122 gained approximately 36,270 cubic yards above MHW and lost approximately 26,710 cubic yards to DOC.

Based on the monitoring, there were no documented adverse impacts to the natural resources or coastal system within the project area as a result of construction.

7 References

Birkemeier, W.A. 1985. Field Data on Seaward Limit of Profile Change, *Journal of Waterway*, *Port, Coastal and Ocean Engineering*, vol. 111, number 3, pp. 598-602.

Coastal Engineering Consultants (CEC). 2011. Lee County Blind Pass Restoration Project 1-Year Monitoring Report, March 2010.

Coastal Planning & Engineering (CPE). 2007. Captiva and Sanibel Islands; Beach Renourishment Project; 1 Year Post-Construction Engineering Monitoring Report. May 2007.

I:\2016\16180 Blind Pass Dredge Design and Permit Services\Reports\ 16180_Monitoring_Report - 2017-08-23 - DRAFT.docx

APPENDIX 1

SURVEY REPORT



<u>CECI Group Services</u> Civil Engineering Planning Services Survey & Mapping Coastal Engineering Environmental Services Website: www.coastalengineering.com

BLIND PASS, SANIBEL-CAPTIVA LEE COUNTY, FLORIDA 2017 POST-CONSTRUCTION SURVEY REPORT

All Surveys were conducted utilizing multiple Trimble Real Time Kinematic (RTK) Global Positioning Systems (GPS). The Pre-Construction Surveys were performed on August 10 and August 18, 2016, and February 7 and February 13, 2017. The Post Construction / Monitoring Survey was conducted on June 26 and 27, 2017. All GPS control during this survey was referenced from previously established Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP) Bureau of Beaches and Coastal Systems (BBCS) and meets or exceeds Geospatial Positioning Accuracy Standards, Range VIII.

All "R monument" and intermediate beach profiles were collected on the State Plane Coordinate System Grid, Florida West Zone and survey data was collected along FDEP established grid bearings as outlined in the project Scope of Work. The horizontal and vertical datums were North American Datum (NAD) of 1983/1990 Adjustment and North American Vertical Datum (NAVD) of 1988, Geoid 2012A, respectively.

All survey control was established as part of the upland topographic survey control work, and conducted in accordance with the FDEP Monitoring Standards for Beach Erosion Control Projects. These surveys meet the requirements set forth in Chapter 5J-17 (F.A.C.) Florida Administrative Code.

The following National Geodetic Survey Benchmarks were used during this Survey:

FLDEP TIDAL 872 5383B PID No. DL8722, Elevation: 5.18 feet NAVD 1988 FLDEP TIDAL 872 5383A PID No. DL8724, Elevation: 9.41 feet NAVD 1988

Equipment

Upland: CEC employed two Trimble R10 Real Time Kinematic (RTK) Global Positioning Systems (GPS) with GLONASS capability for the upland surveys along with a Trimble R8 base receiver installed on an established control point. These systems are capable of delivering RTK positions with coordinate accuracy of ± 10 mm+2ppm. The standard 2-meter antenna rod allows for data collection seaward of the mean high water line up to 5 feet deep while protecting the equipment from the elements.

Offshore: The CEC survey vessel used for this work was a 20-foot fiberglass hull powered by an outboard. An Innerspace 456 single beam echo sounder was used with a side mounted transducer. The GPS antenna was mounted directly above the transducer. A Trimble R8

³¹⁰⁶ So. Horseshoe Drive, Naples, Florida 34104 * Phone (239) 643-2324 Fax (239) 643-1143 * E-mail: info@cecifl.com SERVING COASTAL COMMUNITIES SINCE 1977

Blind Pass, Lee County, FL 2017 Pre-Construction and Post-Construction Survey Report Page 2 of 2

GLONASS RTK GPS receiver was integrated with the on-board computer system. The HYPACK 2016 software package was the hydrographic guidance program utilized.

QA/QC Procedures

CEC employs an advanced QA/QC program to ensure our work meets the FDEP accuracy standards. CEC upland field crews utilize RTK systems for data collection. CEC also incorporates the necessary equipment on the survey vessel to collect bathymetric survey data "Real-Time". To meet the specification calling for an approximate 50-foot overlap in data between the boat and the upland crew, CEC implements the following procedure. Utilizing "Real-Time" data collection, the boat crew immediately accounts for the tide correction and reports measured water depth in NAVD88 at each profile with the upland crew. This gives the upland crew, who simultaneously collects the upland and near shore profile data, the necessary information to achieve the "overlap" specification.

Upland Data Collection: CEC mobilized one operator and GPS rover unit to collect survey data from the approximate mean high water line landward to the existing dune while an additional operator and unit collected data just landward of the mean high water seaward to wading depth or approximately -5 feet NAVD88. The recorded data was maintained within tolerances of ± 3.00 feet horizontal and ± 0.16 feet vertical. QA/QC procedures were maintained by both comparison of values with higher accuracy and by repeat measurement.

The Trimble base station was setup on a suitable control point for GPS observations, either a point with provided GPS coordinates or a point with coordinates derived from observations performed during monumentation. The point designation, record coordinates, ellipsoidal height, GEIOD model and antenna height are logged in the field book. At least one check shot was recorded for each RTK rover on a point with known coordinates and GPS observations were collected on known previously established survey control points throughout the day to ensure the integrity of the data.

An electronic list of R-monument coordinates and profile azimuths was loaded into the rover units and measurements were recorded along the azimuth line at intervals no greater than 25 feet or wherever geographical features dictated. The measurements were taken landward along the azimuth line to the location of the R-monument and a measurement was taken on the R-monument when possible. The extent of the vegetation line and prominent features such as seawalls were also noted in the data collection. The measurements were taken seaward along the azimuth line to a minimum depth of -5 feet NAVD88 or as far as conditions dictated, to maintain a minimum of 50 feet of overlap with the data being collected by the offshore survey crew. This data was then compiled and merged with the offshore data to produce the profile drawings.

Offshore Data Collection: All survey equipment was properly calibrated and operated in accordance with FDEP standards. Bar checks to calibrate the fathometer were performed periodically throughout the survey. Bathymetric survey data collection was conducted in calm seas. Maximum wave heights during the data collection period were less than 3 feet. The data was collected at intervals not exceeding 25 feet and at all grade breaks along the profile

Blind Pass, Lee County, FL 2017 Pre-Construction and Post-Construction Survey Report Page 2 of 2

sufficient to accurately describe the bathymetry at the profile locations. The beach profile survey extended seaward to a minimum of 3,000 feet from MHW.

The vertical accuracy of the profile data meets or exceeds the GPS-derived heights (0.2 to 0.5 feet) standard. The horizontal positioning system accuracy of the data was within 2 feet and the off-line horizontal deviation was within 30 feet. Measure downs form a known point to the water's surface were taken periodically throughout the survey as a check for the tides measured by the RTK GPS as necessary.

Bathymetric survey data collection was performed as close in time as possible with the upland topographic survey data collection. This significantly increased efficiency by conducting the work with the same base station set-up. Safety was also increased by having both crews visible to each other at all times.

COASTAL ENGINEERING CONSULTANTS, INC. FLORIDA BUSINESS AUTHORIZATION NO. LB 2464

Richard J. Ewing, P.S.M. Professional Surveyor and Mapper Florida Certificate No. 5295 NOT VALID WITHOUT THE SIGNATURE AND THE ORIGINAL RAISED SEAL OF A FLORIDA LICENSED SURVEYOR AND MAPPER CEC FILE NO. 16.213 DATE OF SIGNATURE: 8.29.17

APPENDIX 2

BEACH PROFILES






















































APPENDIX 3

BLIND PASS AND EBB SHOAL CROSS SECTIONS



LEGEND:

- = FEB. 2017 PRE-CON SURVEY
- = JUNE 2017 POST-CON SURVEY



= DESIGN DREDGE AREA

| | = OVERDREDGE AREA

SCALE:

H: 1" = 100' V: 1" = 10'

							O. DATE BY REVISION DESCRIPTION
DATE: 7/10/2017 SCALE: AS NOTED	UKAWN: SDB F.B.	CHECKED: PG	MTP C:		ACAD NO.	16180-2017 Mon-PASS-XSECT.dwg	REF. NO. 16.180 N
TEE COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS			BLIND PASS RESTORATION	CROSS SECTIONS		STA DEDD TO 19EDD	
COASTAL & MARINE ENGINEERING CUEN ENVIRONMENTAL & GEOLOGICAL SERVICES	LAND & MARINE SURVEY AND MAPPING			PHONE: (239)643-2324	FAX· (230)643-1143	www.coastalengineering.com	E-Mail: info@cecifl.com
			SN	Serving Florida Since 1977	-1	3106 SOUTH HORSESHOF DRIVE	NAPLES, FLORIDA 34104



								VO. DATE BY REVISION DESCRIPTION
DATE: 7/10/2017 SCALE: AS NOTED	DRAWN: SDB F.B.	CHECKED: DG		CEC TWE BNC	04CC.	ACAD NO.	16180-2017 Mon-PASS-XSECT.dwg	REF. NO. 16.180
TEE COLINITY BOADD OF COMMISSIONEDS			BLIND PASS RESTORATION		CROSS SECTIONS		STA 14+00 TO 34+00	
COASTAL & MARINE ENGINEERING CUER ENVIRONMENTAL & GEOLOGICAL SERVICES	LAND & MARINE SURVEY AND MAPPING				PHONE: (239)643-2324	FAX: (239)643-1143	www.coastalengineering.com	E-Mail: info@cecifl.com
副留 COASTAL			ON 6118		Serving Florida Since 1977	-2	3106 SOUTH HORSESHOE DRIVE	NAPLES, FLORIDA 34104



COASTAL					
	COASTAL & MARINE ENGINEERING		DATE: 7/10/2017 SCALE: AS NOTED		
			DRAWN: COR F.B.		
	0		CHECKED: PG		
CN		RI IND PASS RESTORATION	MTP C		
Serving Florida Since 197	7 PHONE: (239)643-2324	CDORE REPTIONS REAL 26, AD TO AD, AD			
	EAY. (220)6/3-11/2		ACAD NO.		
TH HORSESHOE DRIVI	E www.coastalendineerind.com		16180-2017 Mon-PASS-XSECT.dwg		
			REF. NO. 46.400	2	
			10.100	6	












226+00	LEGEND: = AUGUST 2016 = JUNE 2017 SCALE: H: 1" = 200'		REVISION DESCRIPTION
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	V: 1" = 6'	OTED	I.dwg DATE BY   6.180 NO. DATE BY
200	00	7/10/2017 SCALE: AS No Science F.B.	MTP PG. MTP PG. 6180-2017 Mon-PASS-XSEC
228+00		DATE: DRAWN:	CHECKED: SEC. ACAD NO. 16 REF. NO.
		VG CLENT AGE COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS	TTLE: BLIND PASS RESTORATION CROSS SECTIONS EBB SHOAL: STA 226+00 TO 228+00
200	00	COASTAL & MARINE ENGINEERIN ENVIRONMENTAL & GEOLOGICAL SERVICE I AND & MARINE SLIRVEY AND MAPPIN	PHONE: (239)643-233 PHONE: (239)643-14 www.cestalengineemguo E-Mail: info@cecif.tco
		ENGINEERING	CONSULTANTS CONSULTANTS INC. 3106 SOUTH HORSESHOE DRIVE NAPLES, FLORIDA 34104

## APPENDIX 4

POST-CONSTRUCTION GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS SAMPLES



## Presented to Coastal Engineering Consultants Inc.



## Blind Pass Maintenance Dredging 2017

CEC Project No. 16.180



## **Organic Content Testing**

Project Name: Blind Pass Maintenance Dredging 2017											
<b>AVS Project Num</b>	<b>ber:</b> 17-0145										
Client Name: Coa	astal Engineering Cor	sultants									
Tested By: Dave	May										
Test Dates: 7/03	/2017										
Test Method: C-	Ash Content By Igniti	on at 440°C ± 22°C									
Sample Nu	mber/Location	Percent Organic									
1-2	R-112	0.8									
2-2	2-2 R-112+487 1.10										
3-2 R-112+800 1.20											
4-2	R-113	0.90									
5-2	R-113+406	0.90									
6-2	R-114	1.00									
7-2	R-114+190	1.00									
8-2	R-116	1.40									
9-2	R-116+500	1.10									
10-2	R-117	1.00									
11-2	R-117+380	1.50									
12-2	R-117+800	1.10									
13-2	R-118	1.50									

			gIN	T Gran	ularmet	trics				No. No. No.	Mun	sell Color
Tantian	County No.	S	ize Clas	ss (wt%		Desci	riptive		-			Wet
Location	Sample No.	Connel	Cond	UUC#~	UCCH/	Mean	Vodeol	nsc	Carbonates	Organics	1-1-11	1.11
		UIAVCI	DUIDE	14200	NC7#~	(mm)	V CEDAI				Verbal	Value
R-112	1-2 13919	0.34	98.9	0.76	0.75	0.35	Μ	SP	35.10%	0.80%	Gray	10YR-6/1
R-112+487	2-2 13920	7.39	91.73	0.88	0.77	0.60	C	SW	58.40%	1.10%	Gray	10YR-6/1
R112+800	3-2 13921	7.42	91.67	0.91	06.0	09.0	c	SW	47.60%	1.20%	Gray	10YR-6/1
R113	4-2 13922	4.07	95.03	0.9	0.85	0.46	Μ	SW	48.10%	0.90%	Gray	10YR-6/1
R113+406	5-2 13923	4.45	94.58	0.97	0.94	0.44	Μ	SW	32.00%	0.90%	Gray	10YR-6/1
R114	6-2 13924	5.08	93.84	1.08	1.06	0.49	Μ	SW	48.50%	1.00%	Gray	10YR-6/1
R114+190	7-2 13925	3.02	96.09	0.89	0.88	0.51	c	SW	53.60%	1.00%	Gray	10YR-6/1
R116	8-2 13926	5.31	93.9	0.79	0.78	0.95	С	SW	77.30%	1.40%	Gray	10YR-6/1
R116+500	9-2 13927	1.79	97.91	0.3	0.28	0.40	Μ	SW	40.60%	1.10%	Gray	10YR-6/1
R117	10-2 13928	1.44	98.26	0.3	0.28	0.40	Μ	SW	40.40%	1.00%	Gray	10YR-6/1
R-117+380	11-2 13929	8.08	91.48	0.44	0.40	0.87	ပ	SW	67.00%	1.50%	Gray	10YR-6/1
R117+800	12-2 13930	12.58	86.89	0.53	0.50	1.06	VC	SW	79.90%	1.10%	Gray	10YR-6/1
R118	13-2 13931	2.53	96.51	0.96	0.95	0.51	С	SW	64.50%	1.50%	Gray	10YR-6/1

Blind Pass Maintenance Dredging 2017

Gra Depths and o	elevations ba	etric R	eport neasured va	alues									
Project Name:	Blind Pas	s Maint	enance D	Dredgin	ig 201	7	ANIE	ERV	I C E	S			
Sample Name:	13919				-		America	an Vibra	cores Se	ervices, Ind	с.		
Analysis Date:	07-03-17						De	elray Bea	ach, FL	33444			
Analyzed By: D	M							fax 561	-372-050	01			
Latitude:		Longitude:			Coor	rdinate Syster	n:		f	Elevation (ft):			
USCS:	Munsel	l:		Comments	:								
SP		Wet -	10YR-6/1			Sam	nple 1-2 / F	R-112 Vi	isual Sh	nell 25%			
Dry Weight (g):	Wash Weight (g	g): I	Pan Retained (g	3):	Sieve Los	s (%):	Fines (%): #200 - 0	.76 Organ	ics (%):	Carbonates (	%):	Shells (%):	
326.66	324.2	21	0.01		0	0.00	#230 - 0	.75 (	0.80	35.10	)		
Sieve Number	Sieve S (Phi	Size )	Sieve S (Millimet	Size ters)	G Re	rams tained	% We Retai	eight ned	Cum. Reta	Grams ained	C.	% Weight Retained	
3/4"	-4.2	5	19.03	3			0.0	0	0.	.00		0.00	
3/8"	-3.25	5	9.51		C	0.00	0.0	0	0.	.00		0.00	
3.5	-2.50	D C	5.66	5	C	0.00	0.0	0	0.	.00		0.00	
4	-2.25	5	4.76	;	1	.10	0.3	4	1.	10		0.34	
5	-2.00	C	4.00	)	C	).20	0.0	6	1.	30		0.40	
7	-1.50	D	2.83		1	.31	0.4	0	2.	61		0.80	
10	-1.00	0	2.00		1	.44	0.4	4	4.	05		1.24	
14	-0.50	)	1.41		2	2.79	0.8	5	6.	84		2.09	
18	0.00	)	1.00		5	5.4	1.7	0	12	.38		3.79	
25	0.50	)	0.71		1:	2.60	3.8	6	24	.98		7.65	
35	1.00		0.50	1	3	0.28	9.2	7	55	.26		16.92	
45	1.50		0.35	•	76.88		23.54		132	2.14		40.45	
60	2.00		0.25		11	6.69	35.72		248.83			76.17	
80	2.50		0.18		6	1.41	18.8	80	310	0.24	94.97		
120	3.00		0.13		1:	2.66	3.8	8	322	2.90		98.85	
170	3.50		0.09		1	.20	0.3	7	324	4.10		99.22	
200	3.75		0.07	s.	0	.07	0.0	2	324	4.17		99.24	
230	4.00		0.06		0	.03	0.0	1	324	4.20		99.25	
						Jones 192 *							
Phi 5	Phi 1	6	Phi 2	5	Pł	ni 50	Phi 7	75	Phi	84		Phi 95	
2.50	2.21		1.98		1	.63	1.1	7	0.9	95		0.16	
Moment	Mean	Phi	Me	ean mm	n	So	orting	Sk	ewness	;	Kı	urtosis	
Statistics	1.5	52		0.35		0	.75		-1.46		50	7.38	
			-					l					



Gra Depths and	elevations ba	etric R ased on n	Report neasured va	alues								
Project Name:	Blind Pas	s Maint	tenance D	Dredging	2017	AMERI S E	R V I C	E S	KE			
Sample Name:	13920					American	Vibracores	Services	s, Inc.			
Analysis Date:	07-03-17					Delr	ay Beach, F	L 33444				
Analyzed By: D	DM					fa	ax 561-372-	0500				
Latitude:		Longitude:			Coordinate System:			Elevation	(ft):			
USCS:	Munse	1;		Comments:	1			1				
SW		Wet -	10YR-6/1		Sample	2-2 / R-112	+487 Visu	al Shell	25%			
Dry Weight (g):	Wash Weight (	g):	Pan Retained (g	g): Sie	eve Loss (%):	Fines (%): #200 - 0.88	Organics (%):	Carbon	nates (%):	Shells (%):		
303.83	301.5	50	0.01		0.00	#230 - 0.7	7 1.10	5	8.40			
Sieve Number	Sieve S (Phi	Size )	Sieve S (Millimet	Size ters)	Grams Retained	% Weig Retaine	ht Cur d R	n. Gram etained	ns C	2. % Weight Retained		
3/4"	-4.2	5	19.03	3		0.00		0.00		0.00		
3/8"	-3.2	5	9.51		0.00	0.00		0.00		0.00		
3.5	-2.5	C	5.66		18.87	6.21		18.87		6.21		
4	-2.2	5	4.76		3.59	1.18		22.46		7.39		
5	-2.0	C	4.00		3.67	1.21		26.13		8.60		
7	-1.5	C	2.83		9.65	3.18		35.78		11.78		
10	-1.00	C	2.00		9.42	3.10		45.20		14.88		
14	-0.5	0	1.41		11.89	3.91		57.09		18.79		
18	0.00	0 1.00		16.55	5.45		73.64		24.24			
25	0.50	)	0.71		25.93	8.53	5	99.57		32.77		
35	1.00	)	0.50		38.16	12.56	1	37.73		45.33		
45	1.50	)	0.35		56.71	18.67	1	94.44		64.00		
60	2.00	)	0.25		55.54	18.28	3 249.9			82.28		
80	2.50		0.18		31.09	10.23	2	81.07		92.51		
120	3.00	1	0.13		14.45	4.76	2	95.52		97.26		
170	3.50		0.09		5.11	1.68	3	00.63		98.95		
200	3.75		0.07		0.54	0.18	3	01.17		99.12		
230	4.00		0.06		0.32	0.11	3	01.49		99.23		
	230 4.00 0.06											
Phi 5	Phi 1	6	Phi 2	5	Phi 50	Phi 75	P	hi 84		Phi 95		
2.76	2.08		1.80		1.13	0.04		0.86		-2.84		
Moment	Mear	Phi	Me	an mm	Sor	ting	Skewne	ss	K	Curtosis		
Statistics	0.7	'3		0.60	1.	52	-0.9			3.15		



Gra Depths and e	nularmetric elevations based on	Report measured values										
Project Name:	Blind Pass Mai	ntenance Dredo	ging 2017	S	ERVICE	S						
Sample Name:	13921			America	Nibracores S	ervices, In	с.					
Analysis Date:	07-03-17			Del	ray Beach, FL	33444						
Analyzed By: D	M				ph 561-372-05 fax 561-372-05	500 501						
Latitude:	Longitud	e:	Coordinate Syste	m:		Elevation (ft):						
USCS:	Munsell:	Comme	ents:									
SW	Wet	- 10YR-6/1	Samp	le 3-2 / R-11	2+800 Visua	Shell 35	%					
Dry Weight (g):	Wash Weight (g):	Pan Retained (g):	Sieve Loss (%):	Fines (%): #200 - 0 (	Organics (%):	Carbonates (	(%): Shells (%):					
346.54	343.44	0.02	0.00	#230 - 0.9	1.20	47.60	D					
Sieve Number	Sieve Size (Phi)	Sieve Size (Millimeters)	Grams Retained	% Wei Retain	ght Cum. ed Ret	Grams ained	C. % Weight Retained					
3/4"	-4.25	19.03		2.68	9	.27	2.68					
3/8"	-3.25	9.51	3.22	0.93	1:	2.49	3.60					
3.5	-2.50	5.66	9.78	2.82	22	2.27	6.43					
4	-2.25	4.76	3.43	0.99	2	5.70	7.42					
5	-2.00	4.00	3.69	1.06	29	9.39	8.48					
7	-1.50	2.83	7.76	2.24	37	7.15	10.72					
10	-1.00	2.00	8.20	2.37	45	5.35	13.09					
14	-0.50	1.41	10.55	3.04	55	5.90	16.13					
18	0.00	1.00	14.59	4.21	70	0.49	20.34					
25	0.50	0.71	23.27	6.71	93	3.76	27.06					
35	1.00	0.50	37.04	10.69	9 13	0.80	37.74					
45	1.50	0.35	80.28	23.1	7 21	1.08	60.91					
60	2.00	0.25	94.98	27.4	1 30	6.06	88.32					
80	2.50	0.18	31.09	8.97	33	7.15	97.29					
120	3.00	0.13	5.46	1.58	34	2.61	98.87					
170	3.50	0.09	0.68	0.20	34	3.29	99.06					
200	3.75	0.07	0.11	0.03	34	3.40	99.09					
230	4.00	0.06	0.02	0.01	34	3.42	99.10					
		1					The Part of Process					
Phi 5	Phi 16	Phi 25	Phi 50	Phi 7	5 Ph	ii 84	Phi 95					
2.37	1.92	1.76	1.26	0.35	-0	.52	-2.88					
Moment	Mean Phi	Mean n	nm Se	orting	Skewnes	s	Kurtosis					
Statistics	0.73	0.60		1.32	-1.14		4.26					



Gra Depths and	anularm elevations b	etric F ased on r	Report measured v	alues		AVS							
Project Name:	Blind Pas	ss Main	tenance l	Dredgin	g 20'	17	AMER	ERV	I C E	S			
Sample Name:	13922						America	n Vibra	cores Se allace D	ervices, l rive	nc.		
Analysis Date:	07-03-17						De	Iray Be	ach, FL 3	33444			
Analyzed By: [	DM	1						fax 561	-372-05	01			
Latitude:		Longitude:			Co	ordinate System	ι.			Elevation (ft):			
USCS:	Munse	ell:		Comments	:					199 K			
SW		Wet -	10YR-6/1			Sam	ple 4-2 / R	-113 V	isual Sh	nell 35%	b		
Dry Weight (g):	Wash Weight	(g):	Pan Retained (	g):	Sieve Lo	ss (%):	Fines (%): #200 - 0.	90 Organ	nics (%):	Carbonate	s (%):	Shells (%):	
352.48	349.	52	0.02	2		0.00	#230 - 0.	85	0.90	48.1	10		
Sieve Number	Sieve (Ph	Size i)	Sieve : (Millime	Size eters)	Re	Brams etained	% We Retair	ight ned	Cum. Reta	Grams ained	С	. % Weight Retained	
3/4"	-4.2	25	19.0	3			.0.00	C	0.	.00		0.00	
3/8"	-3.2	:5	9.5	1		0.00	0.00	С	0.	.00		0.00	
3.5	-2.5	0	5.66	6		11.89	3.37	7	11	.89		3.37	
4	-2.2	5	4.76	6		2.44	0.69	9	14	.33		4.07	
5	-2.0	0	4.00	D		2.28	0.65	5	16	.61		4.71	
7	-1.5	0	2.83	3		5.16	1.46	6	21	.77		6.18	
10	-1.0	0	2.00	)		5.73	1.63	3	27	.50		7.80	
14	-0.5	0	1.41	1		7.68	2.18	3	35	.18		9.98	
18	0.0	0	1.00	1.00		12.02	3.41	1	47	.20		13.39	
25	0.5	0	0.71	1	2	21.09	5.98	3	68	.29		19.37	
35	1.0	0	0.50	)	3	37.70	10.70		105	5.99		30.07	
45	1.5	0	0.35	5	7	79.20	22.47		185	5.19		52.54	
60	2.0	0	0.25	5	1	08.46	30.7	30.77		3.65		83.31	
80	2.5	0	0.18	3	4	12.35	12.0	1	336	5.00	95.32		
120	3.0	0	0.13	3	1	0.68	3.03	3	346	5.68		98.35	
170	3.5	0	0.09	•		2.38	0.68	3	349	9.06		99.03	
200	3.7	5	0.07	7		0.24	0.07	7	349	9.30		99.10	
230	4.0	D	0.06	3	1	0.20	0.06	6	349	9.50		99.15	
Phi 5	Phi 1	16	Phi 2	5	Ρ	hi 50	Phi 7	5	Phi	i 84		Phi 95	
2.49	2.03	3	1.86	3	-	1.44	0.76	6	0.	22		-1.90	
Moment	Mea	n Phi	M	ean mr	ı	So	rting	Sk	ewness	3	Kurtosis		
Statistics	1.	11		0.46		1.	.23		-1.61			5.61	



Gra Depths and	anularn elevations	netric I based on	Report measured v	alues		AVS								
Project Name:	Blind Pa	ass Mair	tenance	Dredain	a 20'	17	AME	RICAN	I C E	S				
Sample Name:	13923				3 7 -		America	an Vibrac	ores Se	ervices, In	c.			
Analysis Date:	07-03-1	7					De	elray Bea	ch, FL	33444				
Analyzed By: D	M							ph 561- fax 561-	372-05	00				
Latitude:		Longitude	n.		Co	ordinate System	:			Elevation (ft):				
11000				1										
OSCS:	Mun	sell:		Comments										
SW Dry Weight (g):	Wash Weigh	Wet -	Pan Retained (	(a):	Sieve Lo	Sample	Eines (%)	13+406 Organic	Visual	Shell 30	%	Shells (%)		
372 75	360	25	0.0	0	0.010 20	0.00	#200 - 0.	.97		32.0	0	Shells (70).		
012.10	Sieve	Size	Sieve !	Size	G	o.oo	#230 - 0. % We	ight	Cum	Grams		% Weight		
Sieve Number	(P	hi)	(Millime	eters)	Re	etained	Retai	ned	Reta	ained		Retained		
3/4"	-4.	25	19.0	3			2.4	8	9	.26		2.48		
3/8"	-3.	25	9.5	1		2.40	0.6	4	11	.66		3.13		
3.5	-2.	50	5.66	6		3.37	0.9	0	15	5.03		4.03		
4	-2.	25	4.76	6		1.57	0.4	2	16	6.60		4.45		
5	-2.	00	4.00	0		0.90	0.2	4	17	.50		4.69		
7	-1.	50	2.83	3		1.72	0.4	6	19	.22		5.16		
10	-1.	00	2.00	C		1.93	0.5	2	21	.15		5.67		
14	-0.	50	1.41	1		2.99	0.8	0	24	.14		6.48		
18	0.0	00	1.00			6.68	1.7	9	30	.82		8.27		
25	0.8	50	0.71	1	1	5.91	4.2	7	46	5.73		12.54		
35	1.(	00	0.50	D	3	32.96	8.8	4	79	.69		21.38		
45	1.8	50	0.35	5	1	02.20	27.42		18	1.89		48.80		
60	2.0	00	0.25	5	1.	40.75	37.76		322.6			86.56		
80	2.5	50	0.18	3	4	0.35	10.8	32	362	2.99	97.38			
120	3.0	00	0.13	3		5.53	1.48	8	368	8.52		98.87		
170	3.5	50	0.09	9		0.56	0.1	5	369	9.08		99.02		
200	3.7	75	0.07	7		0.06	0.02	2	369	9.14		99.03		
230	4.(	00	0.06	6		0.11	0.03	3	369	9.25		99.06		
Phi 5	Phi	16	Phi 2	25	P	hi 50	Phi 7	75	Ph	i 84		Phi 95		
2.39	1.9	97	1.85	5		1.52	1.07	7	0.	70		-1.67		
Moment	Mea	an Phi	М	ean mn	า	So	rting	Ske	wness	6	K	urtosis		
Statistics	1	.17		0.44		0.	.94	-	2.08		1	10.76		



Gra Depths and e	nularmetric elevations based or	Report measured v	alues								
Project Name:	Blind Pass Mai	intenance [	Dredging 2	2017	S	ERV	ICE	S			
Sample Name:	13924			]	America	n Vibrace 1215 Wa	ores Se llace D	ervices, Ind rive	D.		
Analysis Date:	07-03-17				De	Iray Bea	ch, FL 372-05	33444			
Analyzed By: D	M	1000 C			0.5	fax 561-	372-05	01			
Latitude:	Longitud	de:		Coordinate System	1.			Elevation (ft):			
USCS:	Munsell:		Comments:								
SW	Wet	- 10YR-6/1		Sam	ple 6-2 / R	-114 Vis	sual Sh	nell 35%			
Dry Weight (g):	Wash Weight (g):	Pan Retained (	g): Siev	re Loss (%):	Fines (%): #200 - 1.	08 Organic	cs (%):	Carbonates (	%): Shells (%):		
290.49	287.44	0.04	1	0.00	#230 - 1.	06 1	.00	48.50	)		
Sieve Number	Sieve Size (Phi)	Sieve S (Millime	Size ters)	Grams Retained	% We Retair	ight ned	Cum. Reta	Grams ained	C. % Weight Retained		
3/4"	-4.25	19.0	3		0.0	0	0.	.00	0.00		
3/8"	-3.25	9.51		5.16	1.7	В	5.	.16	1.78		
3.5	-2.50	5.66	6	7.42	2.5	5	12	.58	4.33		
4	-2.25	4.76	6	2.19	0.7	5	14	.77	5.08		
5	-2.00	4.00	)	2.73	0.94	4	17	.50	6.02		
7	-1.50	2.83	3	6.20	2.13	3	23	.70	8.16		
10	-1.00	2.00	)	7.68	2.64	4	31	.38	10.80		
14	-0.50	1.41		10.03	3.4	5	41	.41	14.26		
18	0.00	1.00	)	14.44	4.97	7	55	.85	19.23		
25	0.50	0.71		20.54	7.07	7	76	.39	26.30		
35	1.00	0.50	)	28.44	9.79	9	104	4.83	36.09		
45	1.50	0.35	5	48.11	16.56		152	2.94	52.65		
60	2.00	0.25	5	64.20	22.10		217		74.75		
80	2.50	0.18	5	41.51	14.29		258.65		89.04		
120	3.00	0.13	5	21.95	7.56	6	280	0.60	96.60		
170	3.50	0.09		6.35	2.19	9	286	6.95	98.78		
200	3.75	0.07		0.39	0.13	3	287	7.34	98.92		
230	4.00	0.06	i	0.06	0.02	2	287	7.40	98.94		
		1									
Phi 5	Phi 16	Phi 2	5	Phi 50	Phi 7	5	Phi	84	Phi 95		
2.89	2.32	2.01		1.42	0.41		-0.	32	-2.28		
Moment	Mean Phi	Me	ean mm	So	rting	Ske	wness	\$	Kurtosis		
Statistics	1.02		0.49	1	.49	-	1.24		4.31		



Gra Depths and e	anularmetric elevations based or	Report measured value	es								
Project Name:	Blind Pass Mai	ntenance Dre	dging 20	017	AMER	E R V I	C E S	RE			
Sample Name:	13925				Americar	Vibracore	es Service	es, Inc.			
Analysis Date:	07-03-17				Del	ray Beach,	FL 3344	4			
Analyzed By: D	M					fax 561-37	2-0500 2-0501				
Latitude:	Longitud	e:	0	Coordinate System	n:		Elevatio	on (ft):			
USCS:	Munsell:	Cor	nments:								
SW	Wet	- 10YR-6/1		Sample	e 7-2 / R-11	4+190 Vis	sual She	40%			
Dry Weight (g):	Wash Weight (g):	Pan Retained (g):	Sieve	Loss (%):	Fines (%): #200 - 0.8	Organics (%	6): Carb	onates (%):	Shells (%):		
271.51	269.12	0.00		0.00	#230 - 0.8	1.00	o e	53.60			
Sieve Number	Sieve Size (Phi)	Sieve Size (Millimeter	e s) F	Grams Retained	% Weig Retain	ght Cr ed	um. Gra Retaine	ms ( d	C. % Weight Retained		
3/4"	-4.25	19.03			0.00		0.00		0.00		
3/8"	-3.25	9.51		3.00	1.10		3.00		1.10		
3.5	-2.50	5.66		3.56	1.31		6.56		2.42		
4	-2.25	4.76		1.65	0.61		8.21		3.02		
5	-2.00	4.00		2.27	0.84		10.48		3.86		
7	-1.50	2.83		4.16	1.53		14.64		5.39		
10	-1.00	2.00		5.12	1.89		19.76		7.28		
14	-0.50	1.41		5.96	2.20		25.72		9.47		
18	0.00	1.00		11.51	4.24		37.23		13.71		
25	0.50	0.71		23.07	8.50		60.30		22.21		
35	1.00	0.50		40.25	14.82	2	100.55		37.03		
45	1.50	0.35		70.14	0.14 25.83		170.69		62.87		
60	2.00	0.25		69.65	25.65	240.3			88.52		
80	2.50	0.18		23.75	8.75		264.09		97.27		
120	3.00	0.13		4.48	1.65		268.57	1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-	98.92		
170	3.50	0.09		0.47	0.17		269.04		99.09		
200	3.75	0.07		0.04	0.01		269.08		99.11		
230	4.00	0.06		0.04	0.01		269.12		99.12		
					1						
Phi 5	Phi 16	Phi 25		Phi 50	Phi 75	5	Phi 84		Phi 95		
2.37	1.91	1.74		1.25	0.59		0.13		-1.63		
Moment	Mean Phi	Mear	n mm	So	rting	Skewr	ness	ŀ	Kurtosis		
Statistics	0.97	0.5	51	1	.17	-1.7	-1 7		6.5		



Gra Depths and	anularm elevations b	etric I ased on	Report measured va	alues	AVS							
Project Name:	Blind Pas	s Mair	ntenance D	Dredging	2017	AMER	E R V	I C E	S			
Sample Name:	13926					Americar	Vibrac	ores Se	ervices, In	с.		
Analysis Date:	07-03-17	2				Del	ray Bea	ch, FL	33444			
Analyzed By: [	MC	1					ax 561-	-372-05	60 1			
Latitude:		Longitude	:		Coordinate Syste	em:			Elevation (ft):			
USCS:	Munse	   :		Comments:								
SW		Wet -	10YR-6/1		Sai	mple 8-2 / R-	116 Vi	sual St	nell 50%			
Dry Weight (g):	Wash Weight (	g):	Pan Retained (g	)): S	ieve Loss (%):	Fines (%): #200 - 0.7	9 Organie	cs (%):	Carbonates (	%):	Shells (%):	
189.32	187.	86	0.01		0.00	#230 - 0.7	8 1	.40	77.30	)		
Sieve Number	Sieve : (Ph	Size i)	Sieve S (Millimet	Size ters)	Grams Retained	% Weig Retain	ght ed	Cum. Reta	Grams ained	C.	% Weight Retained	
3/4"	-4.2	5	19.03	3		0.00		0	.00		0.00	
3/8"	-3.2	5	9.51		5.90	3.12		5	.90		3.12	
3.5	-2.5	0	5.66		2.81	1.48		8	.71		4.60	
4	-2.2	5	4.76	13	1.34	0.71		10	0.05		5.31	
5	-2.0	0	4.00		1.92	1.01		11	.97		6.32	
7	-1.5	0	2.83		7.75	4.09		19	.72		10.42	
10	-1.0	0	2.00	N)	14.44	7.63		34	.16		18.04	
14	-0.50 1.41			23.04	12.17		57	.20		30.21		
18	0.00	)	1.00		24.43	12.90		81	.63		43.12	
25	0.50	)	0.71		28.42	15.01		11(	0.05		58.13	
35	1.00	)	0.50		27.44	14.49		137	7.49		72.62	
45	1.50	)	0.35		26.02	13.74		163	3.51		86.37	
60	2.00	)	0.25		17.07	9.02		180.			95.38	
80	2.50	)	0.18		5.04	2.66	185.6		.62		98.05	
120	3.00	)	0.13		1.43	0.76		187	7.05		98.80	
170	3.50	)	0.09		0.74	0.39		187	7.79		99.19	
200	3.75	5	0.07		0.04	0.02		187	7.83		99.21	
230	4.00	)	0.06		0.02	0.01		187	7.85		99.22	
Phi 5	Phi 1	6	Phi 25	5	Phi 50	Phi 75		Phi	84		Phi 95	
1.98	1.41		1.09		0.23	-0.71		-1.	13		-2.36	
Moment	Mear	n Phi	Me	an mm	S	orting	Ske	wness	;	Kι	urtosis	
Statistics	0.0	8		0.95		1.34	-	0.68		;	3.61	



<b>Gr</b> Depths and	<b>anula</b> elevatio	rmetr	<b>ic R</b> d on m	eport neasured va	alues	AVS								
Project Name:	Blind	Pass I	Maint	enance [	Dredain	a 2017		AME	RICAN	VIBR	ACORE			
Sample Name	: 1392	27				5		America	an Vibra	cores S	ervices, In	c.		
Analysis Date:	07-03	8-17						De	elray Be	allace Deach, FL	33444			
Analyzed By:	DM								ph 56 fax 56	1-372-05 1-372-05	00 501			
Latitude:		Lor	ngitude:			Coordina	te System				Elevation (ft):			
USCS:	1	Munsell:			Comments:									
SW		١	Vet - 1	10YR-6/1		S	ample	9-2 / R-1	16+50	0 Visual	Shell 35	%		
Dry Weight (g):	Wash We	eight (g):	F	Pan Retained (g	g): S	Sieve Loss (%)	k:	Fines (%): #200 - 0	30 Orga	nics (%):	Carbonates (	(%):	Shells (%):	
275.96	2	75.18		0.00	)	0.0	D	#230 - 0.	28	1.10	40.60	)		
Sieve Number	Sie	ve Sizo (Phi)	e	Sieve S (Millime	Size ters)	Gran Retair	ns ned	% We Retair	ight ned	Cum. Ret	Grams ained	C	. % Weight Retained	
3/4"	-	-4.25		19.03	3			0.0	0	0	.00		0.00	
3/8"	-	-3.25		9.51		1.44	4	0.52	2	1	.44		0.52	
3.5	-	2.50		5.66		3.20	)	1.10	6	4	.64		1.68	
4	-	2.25		4.76		0.29	Э	0.1	1	4.	.93		1.79	
5	-	2.00		4.00	i i	0.31		0.1	1	5.	24		1.90	
7	-	1.50		2.83		1.31		0.47	7	6.	55		2.37	
10	-	1.00		2.00		1.65	5	0.60	)	8.	20		2.97	
14	-0.50 1.41			4.02	2	1.46	6	12	.22		4.43			
18	(	0.00 1.00		9	7.38	3	2.67	7	19	.60		7.10		
25	(	0.50		0.71		13.0	8	4.74	1	32	.68		11.84	
35		1.00		0.50		28.8	1	10.44		61	.49		22.28	
45		1.50		0.35		71.2	7	25.83		132	2.76		48. <mark>1</mark> 1	
60	2	2.00		0.25		97.8	4	35.4	5	230.60		83.56		
80	2	2.50		0.18		36.94	4	13.3	9	267	7.54	96.95		
120	3	3.00		0.13		6.18		2.24	ŀ	273	3.72		99.19	
170	3	3.50		0.09		1.28		0.46	;	275	5.00		99.65	
200	3	3.75		0.07		0.13		0.05		275	5.13		99.70	
230	4	1.00		0.06		0.05		0.02		275	i.18		99.72	
									1					
Phi 5	Pł	hi 16		Phi 25	;	Phi 5	C	Phi 7	5	Phi	84		Phi 95	
2.43	2	2.02		1.88		1.53		1.05		0.7	70		-0.39	
Moment	M	ean Ph	ni	Me	an mm		Sort	ting	Sk	ewness		Ku	ırtosis	
Statistics		1.33			0.40		0.9	96		-2.23			10.6	



Gra Depths and	anularmetric elevations based o	n measured value	es		A	N/S	ACODE				
Project Name:	Blind Pass Ma	intenance Dre	edging 2	2017 SERVICES							
Sample Name:	13928				American Vibr	acores Se	ervices, Inc	<b>).</b>			
Analysis Date:	07-03-17				Delray B	each, FL	33444				
Analyzed By: [	M	No. 14		pn 561-372-0500 fax 561-372-0501							
Latitude:	Longitu	ide:		Coordinate System: Elevation (ft):							
USCS:	Munsell:	Cor	mments:					- 10			
SW	We	t - 10YR-6/1		Sam							
Dry Weight (g):	Wash Weight (g):	Pan Retained (g):	Sieve	re Loss (%): Fines (%): #200 - 0.30			Carbonates (%	%): Shells (%):			
262.84	262.10	0.00		0.00	#230 - 0.28	1.00 40.4		í l			
Sieve Number	Sieve Size (Phi)	Sieve Size (Millimeter	e s) F	Grams Retained	% Weight Cum Retained Re		Grams ained	C. % Weight Retained			
3/4"	-4.25	19.03			0.00	0.	.00	0.00			
3/8"	-3.25	9.51		0.00	0.00 0		.00	0.00			
3.5	-2.50	5.66		2.67	1.02	1.02 2		1.02			
4	-2.25	4.76		1.12	0.43	3.	.79	1.44			
5	-2.00	4.00		0.64	0.24	4.43		1.69			
7	-1.50	2.83		2.16	0.82		59	2.51			
10	-1.00	2.00		2.01	0.76	8.	60	3.27			
14	-0.50	1.41		3.29	1.25		.89	4.52			
18	0.00	1.00		7.71	2.93	19	.60	7.46			
25	0.50	0.71		19.53	7.43	39	.13	14.89			
35	1.00	0.50		28.97	11.02	68	.10	25.91			
45	1.50	0.35		56.44	21.47	124	4.54	47.38			
60	2.00	0.25		91.61	34.85	216	6.15	82.24			
80	2.50	0.18		37.20	14.15	253	3.35	96.39			
120	3.00	0.13		7.03	2.67	260.38		99.06			
170	3.50	0.09		1.51	0.57	261.89		99.64			
200	3.75	0.07		0.16	0.06	262.05		99.70			
230	4.00	0.06	0.06 0.05		0.02 26		2.10	99.72			
Phi 5	Phi 16	Phi 25		Phi 50	Dhi 75	Phi	84	Dhi 05			
0 45	2.00	4.00		4 5 4				F111 90			
Z.40	Z.UO	1.90		1.54	0.96	0.55		-0.42			
woment	wean Phi	Mean	i mm	Sorting		Skewness		Kurtosis			
Statistics	Statistics 1.31 0.40		40	0	.95	-1.7		7.42			



Granularmetric Report Depths and elevations based on measured values						AVS							
Project Name:	Rlind Pag	e Maint		Irodair									
Sample Name: 13929 American Vibracores Services, Inc.													
Analysis Date:	07-03-17	0			-	1215 Wallace Drive Delray Beach, FL 33444							
Analyzed By: [	DM				ph 561-372-0500 fax 561-372-0501								
Latitude: Longitude:						Coordinate System: Elevation (ft):							
USCS: Munsell: Comments:				5:									
SW	Wet - 10YR-6/1				Sievelo	Sample 11-2 / R-117+380 Visual Shell 30%							
222.27	222	40			Sieve Lu	0 00	#200 - 0.	44	150 67/		6 (%):	Shells (%):	
233.37	Sieve S	+J Sizo	Siovo S		0	0.00	#230 - 0.	40	1.50	67.0	7.00		
Sieve Number	(Ph	)	(Millimet	ters)	Re	etained	% Weight Retained		Retained			. % Weight Retained	
3/4"	-4.2	5	19.03				0.00		0.00		0.00		
3/8"	-3.2	5	9.51			8.77	3.76		8.77		3.76		
3.5	-2.5	0	5.66		2	7.45	3.19		16.22		6.95		
4	-2.2	5	4.76			2.63	1.13		18.85			8.08	
5	-2.0	0	4.00		3.54		1.52		22.39			9.59	
7	-1.5	0	2.83			9.21	3.95		31.60			13.54	
10	-1.0	0	2.00		2	20.05 8.59		)	51.65			22.13	
14	-0.5	0	1.41	1		0.35	4.44	1	62.00			26.57	
18	0.00	0.00			1	8.45	7.91		80.45			34.47	
25	0.50	)	0.71		2	9.49	12.6	4	109	9.94		47.11	
35	1.00	1.00 0		).50		8.44	16.4	7	148	3.38		63.58	
45	1.50	)	0.35		4	5.59	19.5	4	193	3.97		83.12	
60	2.00	)	0.25		2	7.01	11.57		220.98			94.69	
80	2.50	)	0.18		8.00		3.43		228.98			98.12	
120	3.00	)	0.13		2	2.62 1.		1.12 231		31.60		99.24	
170	3.50	)	0.09		(	0.70 0.30		)	232.30			99.54	
200	3.75	;	0.07		0.04		0.02		232.34			99.56	
230	4.00	)	0.06		(	0.09		0.04		232.43		99.60	
Phi 5	Phi 1	6	Phi 28	5	Ρ	hi 50	Phi 7	5	Phi	84		Phi 95	
2.05	1.54		1.29		0	0.59	-0.68	3	-1.36			-2.96	
Moment	Mear	n Phi	Me	an mn	n	Sorting		Skewness			Kurtosis		
Statistics	0.	2		0.87		1.5			-0.89			3.27	



Gra Depths and e	Inularmetric elevations based or	Jes									
Project Name:	Blind Pass Ma	intenance Dr	edging 20	2017 SERVICES							
Sample Name:	13930				American Vit	racores S	ervices, In	с.			
Analysis Date:	07-03-17				Delray I	Beach, FL	33444				
Analyzed By: D	M			ph 561-372-0500 fax 561-372-0501							
Latitude:	Longitud	de:	C	Coordinate System: Elevation (ft):							
USCS:	Munsell:	C	omments:		127						
SW	Wet	- 10YR-6/1		Sample 12-2 / R-117+800 Visual Shell 50%							
Dry Weight (g):	Wash Weight (g):	Pan Retained (g):	Sieve I	e Loss (%): Fines (%): #200 - 0.53 Organics (%			Carbonates (%): Shells (%):				
220.16	219.06	0.01		0.00	#230 - 0.50	1.10	79.90	)			
Sieve Number	Sieve Size (Phi)	Sieve Siz (Millimete	ze ers) R	Grams Retained	% Weight Retained	Cum. Ret	Grams ained	C. % Weight Retained			
3/4"	-4.25	19.03			0.00	0	.00	0.00			
3/8"	-3.25	9.51		10.94	4.97	10	0.94	4.97			
3.5	-2.50	5.66		12.43	5.65		3.37	10.62			
4	-2.25	4.76		4.32	1.96	27	7.69	12.58			
5	-2.00	4.00		4.08	1.85	31	1.77	14.43			
7	-1.50	2.83		7.13	3.24	38	3.90	17.67			
10	-1.00	2.00		9.61	4.37	48	3.51	22.03			
14	-0.50	1.41		15.08	6.85	63	8.59	28.88			
18	0.00	1.00		28.30	12.85	91	.89	41.74			
25	0.50	0.71		39.44	17.91	13	1.33	59.65			
35	1.00	0.50		33.58	15.25	16	4.91	74.90			
45	1.50	0.35		27.78	12.62	19	2.69	87.52			
60	2.00	0.25		18.43	8.37	21	1.12	95.89			
80	2.50	0.18		5.84	2.65	210	6.96	98.55			
120	3.00	0.13		1.28	0.58	218	8.24	99.13			
170	3.50	0.09		0.60	0.27	218	3.84	99.40			
200	3.75	0.07		0.16	0.07	219	9.00	99.47			
230	4.00	0.06	0.06 0.05		0.02 21		219.05 99.50				
Phi 5	Phi 16	Phi 25	F	Phi 50	Phi 75	Ph	i 84	Phi 95			
1.95	1.36	1.00		0.23	-0.78	-1.	.76	-3.25			
Moment	Mean Phi	Mea	n mm	So	rting	Skewness	6	Kurtosis			
Statistics	-0.08 1.06		.06	1	.53	-0.79	3.05				



Granularmetric Report Depths and elevations based on measured values											
Project Name:	2017 SERVICES										
Sample Name:	13931					America	n Vibracore	s Service	es, Inc.		
Analysis Date:	07-03-17					De	elray Beach,	FL 33444	4		
Analyzed By: D		ph 561-372-0500 fax 561-372-0501									
Latitude:	Coordinate Syster	Coordinate System: Elevation (ft):									
USCS:											
SW	Sample 13-2 / R-118 Visual Shell 40%										
Dry Weight (g):	Wash Weight (g):	Pan	Retained (g	a): Si	eve Loss (%):	e Loss (%): Fines (%): Organics (%)			Carbonates (%): Shells (%		
209.15	207.17		0.00	)	0.00	#230 - 0.	95 1.50	) 6	64.50		
Sieve Number	Sieve Siz (Phi)	ze (I	Sieve S Millimet	Size ters)	Grams Retained	% We Retai	ight Cu ned	Cum. Grams Retained		2. % Weight Retained	
3/4"	-4.25		19.03	3		0.0	0	0.00		0.00	
3/8"	-3.25		9.51		2.87	1.3	7	2.87		1.37	
3.5	-2.50		5.66		2.15	1.0	3	5.02		2.40	
4	-2.25		4.76		0.28	0.1	3	5.30		2.53	
5	-2.00		4.00		0.50	0.24		5.80		2.77	
7	-1.50		2.83		0.82	0.3	9	6.62		3.17	
10	-1.00		2.00		2.16	1.0	3	8.78		4.20	
14	-0.50		1.41		4.69	2.2	4	13.47		6.44	
18	0.00		1.00		14.90	14.90 7.12		28.37		13.56	
25	0.50		0.71		9.63	4.6	0	38.00		18.17	
35	1.00		0.50		60.70	29.0	2	98.70		47.19	
45	1.50		0.35		44.86	21.4	5	143.56		68.64	
60	2.00		0.25		40.93	19.5	7	184.49		88.21	
80	2.50		0.18		18.32	8.7	6	202.81		96.97	
120	3.00		0.13		3.42	1.64	4	206.23		98.60	
170	3.50		0.09		0.79	0.38		207.02		98.98	
200	3.75		0.07	6	0.12	0.06		207.14		99.04	
230	4.00		0.06		0.03 0.01		1	207.17		99.05	
						2002 10 -					
Phi 5	Phi 16		Phi 2	5	Phi 50	Phi 7	'5	Phi 84		Phi 95	
2.39	1.89		1.66		1.07	0.62	2	0.26	,	-0.82	
Moment	Mean F	Phi	Me	ean mm	Sc	orting	Skewr	Skewness		Kurtosis	
Statistics	0.96			0.51	1	1.07		-1.75		8.22	

