

Agenda Item Summary

1. ACTION REQUESTED/PURPOSE: Concur with the ranking of Consultants by the Competitive Negotiations Committee for CN-05-15 LEE COUNTY SHERIFF EVIDENCE FACILITIES, in the following order of ranking, and authorize contract negotiations to commence with the number-one ranked firm: 1) Architectural Concepts Inc.; 2) Architects Design Group; 3) Harvard Jolly; 4) Schenkel Shultz.

2. WHAT ACTION ACCOMPLISHES: Authorizes negotiations for design services contract for the project known as Lee County Sheriff Evidence Facilities.

3. MANAGEMENT RECOMMENDATION: Staff recommends approval.

4. Departmental Category: 02

C2C

5. Meeting Date: 08-02-2005

6. Agenda:
 Consent
 Administrative
 Appeals
 Public
 Walk-On

7. Requirement/Purpose: (specify)
 Statute
 Ordinance
 Admin. Code AC-4-4
 Other

8. Request Initiated:
 Commissioner
 Department Public Works
 Division Construction & Design
 By: Jim Lavender, Director

9. Background:

Letters of Interest were solicited on behalf of the Board of County Commissioners for professional services for the project known as CN-05-15 LEE COUNTY SHERIFF EVIDENCE FACILITIES.

The deadline for receipt of Letters of Interest was June 24, 2005. A total of ten (10) letters of Interest were received by the established deadline. The Competitive Negotiations Committee consisted of the following staff members: Jim Lavender, Public Works Director, Chairperson, Ayoub Al-Bahou, Project Manager and Captain Richard Joslin, Sheriff's Office. On the basis of the information submitted by the ten (10) firms in their Letters of Interest, it was the consensus of the committee to invite four (4) firms for interviews/presentation: Architects Design Group, Architectural Concepts Inc, Harvard Jolly and Schenkel Shultz.

On the basis of the information submitted by the firms in their Letters of Interest and after conducting the interviews/presentations on July 1, 2005, with the "short list" firms, the Committee agreed to recommend to the Board the following order of ranking of Consultants: 1) Architectural Concepts Inc.; 2) Architects Design Group; 3) Harvard Jolly; 4) Schenkel Shultz.

The negotiated agreement with the number-one ranked firm will be brought back to the Board for their approval. It is anticipated that these costs will be funded through the issuance of bonds.

Attachment: Committee Overall Ranking Sheet

10. Review for Scheduling:

Department Director	Purchasing or Contracts	Human Resources	Other	County Attorney	Budget Services				County Manager/P.W. Director
		N/A			Analyst	Risk	Grants	Mgr.	
					SP/15/05			7/18/05	

11. Commission Action:

- Approved
- Deferred
- Denied
- Other

RECEIVED BY COUNTY ADMIN: [Signature]
 7-14-05
 4:45
 COUNTY ADMIN FORWARDED TO: [Signature]
 7/14/05
 9:30 AM

CO. ATTY.
 3:00 pm
 CO. ATTY.
 FORWARDED TO:
 CO. MGR.
 7-14-05

COMPETITIVE NEGOTIATIONS COMMITTEE
OVERALL RANKING SHEET
INTERVIEWS/PRESENTATIONS
FOR
CN-05-15 SHERIFF EVIDENCE FACILITIES
FRIDAY, JULY 1, 2005
9:00 A.M. – 11:00 A.M.

COMPANY NAME

NUMBER RANKED

ARCHITECTS DESIGN GROUP

2

ARCHITECTURAL CONCEPTS INC.

1

HARVARD JOLLY

3

SCHENKEL SHULTZ

4

COMMITTEE MEMBER
SIGNATURE: _____

PROJECT MANAGER

DATE: _____

7/1/05