FY 2017 CoC Application Ranking Tool

This portion of the ranking tool will be completed by the Collaborative Applicant (Lee County) for Ranking Committee consideration during review and scoring.					
Does the project fulfill a service gap, as identified in the annual gaps analysis?					
How many CoC Meetings has the agency attended? (Total Meetings Held in 8/1/2016-6/1/2017 = 10)					
How many Coalition Meetings has the agency attended? (Total Meetings Held in 8/1/2016-6/1/2017 = 9 General Mtgs & 41 Task Force Mtgs)					

Review - Section 1					
Assign a score to each question based on the responses noted above and/or in the ranking committee and monitoring summary sheets. ***10 POINTS MAX FOR EACH ITEM***					
Overall Agency Performance					
Does the agency have adequate representation at Coalition/CoC meetings?					
Project Prioritizations					
Does the project indicate that they adhere to the CoC Written Standards?					
Does the project follow a housing first model?					
Does the project prioritize specialized populations such as youth, chronically homeless persons, families with children, victims of domestic violence, and/or veterans?					
Monitoring Criteria					
Does the project have adequate means for ensuring client file compliance, bed utilization and HMIS bed coverage?					
Did financial monitoring reveal any shortfalls in timely spending, utilization of funds, match requirements or any other financial issues? (If new project, is there adequate match/leverage, and a reasonable project budget?)					
Did the agency have any findings on the last monitoring of the project? If so, were the findings satisfactorily resolved in the time period allowed? (If no findings, assign 10 Points)					

Does the project meet or exceed CoC prescribed performance levels for % of exits to permanent housing destinations as indicated in the Monitoring Summary? (If new project, is there a plan to exit to permanent housing destinations?)						
Does the project meet or exceed CoC prescribed performance levels for % of persons who Maintain/Increase Income as indicated in the Monitoring Summary? (If new project, is there a plan to increase/maintain income?)						
Does the project meet or exceed system CoC prescribed performance levels for % of Returns to Homelessness after PH Exit w/in 12 months as indicated in the Monitoring Summary?						
Review Subtotal	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Review - Section 2						
Assign points based on the overall quality of the agency/project as a whole based on the project overview. ***10 POINTS MAX FOR EACH ITEM***						
Agency Overview: Assign points based on agency's use of grant funds and ability to leverage funds,						
Project Overview: Assign points based on the projects goals to meet an unmet community need, the number of beds available, bed utilization, services provided to specific populations (i.e. Youth, Families with Children, Chronically Homeless, etc.), and overall impression of the project.						
Review Subtotal	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
BONUS POINTS: Does this project increase the number of beds available in the CoCs Geographic area? (10 Pts Yes, 0 Pts No)						
Total	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Rank:	1	1	1	1	1	1