Lee County Board Of County Commissioners Agenda Item Summary Blue Sheet No. 20070399										
Agenda item summary Port of the summary 1. ACTION REQUESTED/PURPOSE: 1000000000000000000000000000000000000										
That the Board of County Commissioners accept the annual Lee County Financial Assistance Report (FAR).										
2. WHAT ACTION ACCOMPLISHES: Provides public notice of the availability of the Lee County Financial Assistance Report to the citizens of Lee County.										
3. MANAGEMENT RECOMMENDATION:										
4. Departr	nental Cate	gory:	C	150		5. N	leeting Da	te: Marc	ch 27, 2007	
6. Agenda					se: (specify		equest Ini			
	isent		X Stat		215.97		missioner	·····		
Adi	ministrative		Ordinance			Dep	Department		Clerk of Circuit Court/ Finance & Records Department	
	peals			nin. Code		Divi				
Put	olic		X Oth	her	OMB A-13	3	By: Donna G. Ha Finance Direc			
Wa	lk-On						<u></u> F1112	ince Dire		
2006, - and Re Act, as <i>and No</i> a repre	hedules for were prepar cords Depa amended, (<i>on-Profit Or</i> sentation of	ed by the C rtment, and Office of M ganizations federal and	Grants Acco audited by anagement , and Florid	ountant in VKPMG, I and Budg da Single A	the Genera LLP. The au et Circular	l Accou adit is re A-133, 4	nting Offic equired pur <i>Audits of Si</i>	te of the Grant to the tates, Loca	September 30, Clerk's Finance ne Single Audit al Governments res. The FAR is	
	10. Review for Scheduling: County Department Purchasing Human									
Department Director	or Contracts	Human Resources	Other	County Attorney	Director			Manager/P.W.		
22					Analyst	Risk	Grants	Mgr.		
11. Commission Action: Approved Deferred Denied Other										



Single Audit Reports

Year ended September 30, 2006

(With Independent Auditors' Report Thereon)



KPMG LLP Suite 1700 100 North Tampa Street Tampa, FL 33602

Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*

Board of County Commissioners Lee County, Florida:

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of Lee County, Florida (County) as of and for the year ended September 30, 2006, which collectively comprise the County's basic financial statements and have issued our report thereon dated December 21, 2006. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the County's internal control over financial reporting in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the basic financial statements and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting. However, we noted a certain matter involving the internal control over financial reporting and its operation that we consider to be a reportable condition. Reportable conditions involve matters coming to our attention relating to significant deficiencies in the design or operation of the internal control over financial reporting that, in our judgment, could adversely affect the County's ability to initiate, record, process, and report financial data consistent with the assertions of management in the financial statements. The reportable condition is described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as item 2006-1.

A material weakness is a reportable condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that misstatements caused by error or fraud in amounts that would be material in relation to the financial statements being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. Our consideration of the internal control over financial reporting would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be reportable conditions and, accordingly, would not necessarily disclose all reportable conditions that are also considered to be material weaknesses. However, we consider the reportable condition described in item 2006-1 to be a material weakness.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the County's basic financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those



KPMG LLP Suite 1700 100 North Tampa Street Tampa, FL 33602

Report on Compliance with Requirements Applicable to Each Major Federal Program and State Project and on Internal Control Over Compliance in Accordance with OMB Circular A-133 and Chapter 10.550, *Rules of the Auditor General*

Board of County Commissioners Lee County, Florida:

Compliance

We have audited the compliance of Lee County, Florida (County) with the types of compliance requirements described in the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133 *Compliance Supplement* and the requirements described in the Executive Office of the Governor's *State Projects Compliance Supplement*, that are applicable to each of its major federal programs and state projects for the year ended September 30, 2006. The County's major federal programs and state projects are identified in the summary of auditors' results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. Compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to each of its major federal programs and state projects is the responsibility of the County's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the County's compliance based on our audit.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations* and Chapter 10.550, *Rules of the Auditor General.* Those standards, OMB Circular A-133 and Chapter 10.550, *Rules of the Auditor General* require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program or state project occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the County's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of the County's compliance with those requirements.

In our opinion, the County complied, in all material respects, with the requirements referred to above that are applicable to each of its major federal programs and state projects for the year ended September 30, 2006.

Internal Control Over Compliance

The management of the County is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to federal programs and state projects. In planning and performing our audit, we considered the County's internal control over compliance with requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program or state project in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance and to test and report on the internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133 and Chapter 10.550, *Rules of the Auditor General*.

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards and State Financial Assistance

Fiscal year ended September 30, 2006

Federal/State grantor/pass-through grantor	CFDA CFSA number	Grant number	Federal/State award amount	Expenditures
U.S. Department of Agriculture:				
Passed through Florida Department of Agriculture: Urban & Community Forestry Grant – Deep Lagoon Natural Resources Conversation Service:	10.664	10430	\$ 131,250 \$	131,250
Natural Resource Manager Salary	10.902	65-4209-6-0113	73,008	73,008
Total U.S. Department of Agriculture				204,258
U.S. Department of Education: Passed through Florida Department of Education: 21st Century Community Learning Center (FY07) 21st Century Community Learning Center (FY06)	84.287 84.287	817-2447A-7RCC1 817-2446A-6RCC1	160,000 160,000	27,598 84,889
Total U.S. Department of Education				112,487
U.S. Department of Energy: Passed through Florida Department of Community Affairs: Weatherization Assistance Program (WAP) Weatherization Assistance Program (WAP)	81.042 81.042	05WX-00-09-46-01-515 06WX-6D-09-46-01-015	22,064 51,505	5,509 2,949
Total U.S. Department of Energy				8,458
U.S. Department of Environmental Protection Agency: Passed through SW Florida Regional Planning Council Effectiveness of Best Management Practices	66.456	CE-97456002-2	250,000	145,753
Total U.S. Department of Environmental Protection				145,753
Federal Emergency Management Agency: Subrecipient of United Way of Lee County: FEMA Food & Shelter FY06 Passed through Florida Department of Community Affairs:	83.523	None	45,000	45,000
EMPA Base Performance Grant FY06	83.552	06BG-04-09-46-01-090	55,671	55,671
Total Federal Emergency Management Agency				100,671
U.S. Elections Assistance Commission: Passed through the Florida Department of State: Poll worker Recruitment and Training Voters Education FY04-05 Voters Education FY05-06	90.401 39.011 39.011	None None None	88,806 91,036 88,806	31,819 72,263 30,179
Total U.S. Elections Assistance Commission				134,261
U.S. Department of Health & Human Services: Passed through Florida Department of Revenue: Child Support Enforcement Program (Federal Initiative) Passed through Florida Department of Community Affairs:	93.563	CC336	N/A	676,639
Low Income Home Energy Assistance Prg. (LIHEAP FY05) Low Income Home Energy Assistance Prg. (LIHEAP FY06) Weatherization Assistance Program (LIHEAP) CSBG FY05 Passed through Florida Department of State:	93.568 93.568 93.568 93.569	05EA-5K-09-46-01-015 06EA-5K-09-46-01-015 06LH-5K-09-46-01-015 06SB-4N-09-46-01-015	503,317 860,492 45,641 215,870	256,428 697,572 18,698 211,874
Polling Place Accessibility Grant	93.617	None	48,870	26,865
Total U.S. Department of Health & Human Services				1,888,076
U.S. Department of Homeland Security: Passed through Florida Department of Community Affairs: Citizens Corps Council	97.067	06-CC-4K-09-46-01-141	16,624	16,324
Regional Domestic Security Task Force Homeland Security Initiative Homeland Security Homeland Security Region 6 Specialty Team Training Region 6 SWAT/Bomb Build Out Disaster Relief Funding – TS Bonnie & Hurricane Charley	97.004 97.004 97.004 97.004 97.004 97.004 97.004 97.036	05-DS-2N-09-46-01-256 05-CL-L2-06-46-23-033 05-DS-2N-09-46-01-314 05-DS-2N-09-46-01-105 2006-SHSP-LEE-1-N1-003 05-PA-C%-09-46-01-617	64,583 151,950 109,339 312,122 47,687 106,665 N/A	3,257 91,990 3,489 20,381 42,214 81,144 37,384
Disaster Relief Funding – I's Bonnie & Hufricane Charley Disaster Relief Funding – Hurricane Wilma – Federal	97.030	06-WL-&L-09-46-01-579	N/A	8,033,892
(Hurricane Wilma-State Portion-\$931,185) Disaster Relief Funding – Hurricane Wilma – Federal (Hurricane Wilma-State Portion-\$21,263)	97.036	None	N/A	757,935
Deep Water Vessels SHSGP State Homeland Security Grant	97.067 97.067	06-DS-3W-13-00-16 06-DS-3W-09-46-01-240	106,393 68,861	106,393 21,143
Homeland Security Grant DCA	97.067	06-DS-3W-09-45-01-288	12,628	5,296
Emergency Response Vehicle SHSGP Region 6	97.067 97.067	05-CJ-L2-06-46-01-171 06-DS-3W-13-00-21-347	246,550 75,000	246,550 44,041
Region 6 Domestic Security Grant	97.067	06-DS-3W-09-46-01-325	321,125	44,041 265,939
Passed through Florida Department of Financial Services:				
Urban Search and Rescue Task Force Total U.S. Department of Homeland Security	97.004	None	416,157	<u>340,349</u> 10,117,721

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards and State Financial Assistance Fiscal year ended September 30, 2006

iscai	year	enaea	September	30, 200

Federal/State grantor/pass-through grantor	CFDA CFSA number	Grant number	Federal/State award amount	Expenditures
Passed through Florida Department of Transportation: Value Price Queue Jump (Metro Pkwy @ Colonial Blvd) LAP Interchange Imprvmt. (Pine Ridge Rd @ San Carlos SR865) Traffic Operations Imprvmt. (Veterans Pkwy @ Del Prado Blvd) Local Agency Natural Disaster Emergency – Hurricane Wilma USC 5303 Planning FV06 USC 5311 Nonurbanized Area Program FV06 Lee County Pedestrian & Bicycle Enforcement Program	20.205 20.205 20.505 20.505 20.505 20.509 20.600	ANR10 AN580 ANM54 H1166 AN562 AN681 AN850	\$ 1,069,000 152,796 87,500 980,000 102,661 172,658 24,500	\$ 244,834 38,978 30,283 555,122 68,968 172,658 22,103
Total U.S. Department of Transportation				3,230,483
U.S. Department of Transportation: Federal Aviation Administration: Runway Rehab Construction – RSW AARFF Vehicle & Midfield Building – RSW Runway 6/24 & Taxiway Rehab – RSW Extend Taxiway C Construction Taxiway D Phase 1 Midfield Construction – RSW – Equipment and Enhancements Letter of Intent ASO-98-01	20.106 20.106 20.106 20.106 20.106	3-12-0135-037-2005 3-12-0135-040-2006 3-12-0135-038-2005 3-12-0027-013-2005 3-12-0135-029-2002	10,833,899 2,291,049 6,961,434 4,124,680 8,138,666	10,465,867 1,728,549 349,163 131,415 418,222
(Grant amount to be received in future years) RSW – 175 Access Design	20.106 20.205	None AN P76	N/A 2,485,250	279,724 1,031,056
Total U.S. Department of Transportation/FAA	20.200	/4(1)0	2,403,230	14,403,996
U.S. Department of the Treasury: Federal Forfeiture – Treasury Federal Forfeiture – Justice	21.000 21.000	None None	N/A N/A	49,319 5,987
Total U.S. Department of the Treasury				55,306
Total expenditures of federal awards				\$37,856,214
Department of Children and Families: State of Florida Homeless Challenge Grant:	60.014	HFZ16	100,000	\$
Total Department of Children and Families				100,000
Department of Community Affairs: FCT Wild Turkey Strand 2020 EMPA Base Performance Grant FY06 Hazardous Material Emergency Response Plan Florida Housing Finance Corporation: SHIP YR9 (State FY03-04) SHIP YR10 (State FY03-05) SHIP YR11 (State FY05-06) SHIP YR12 (State FY06-07) Hurricane Recovery Housing Assistance Plan	52.002 52.008 52.023 52.901 52.901 52.901 52.901 52.902	05-CT-E5-04-F4-A1-031 06BG-04-09-46-01-090 06CP-11-09-46-01-053 LB007 LB008 LB009 LB014 None	2,529,995 102,959 10,344 2,475,257 2,402,063 2,404,932 3,218,464 3,337,194	3,330 102,959 10,344 896,387 694,440 213,932 49,733 646,652
Total Department of Community Affairs				2,617,777
Department of Environmental Protection: Lee County Shore Protection Projects Bonita Beach Renourishment Project Blind Pass Ecozone Restoration Project FRDAP Veterans Park Gator Slough Watershed Management Improvements Ten Mile Canal Filter Marsh	37.003 37.003 37.003 37.017 37.039 37.039	99LE1 01LE1 05LE1 F40064 WAP037 S0096	9,041,936 868,724 72,702 200,000 1,000,000 507,000	21,802 9,274 21,843 200,000 9,306 15,351
Total Department of Environmental Protection				277,576
Department of Health: EMS Bicycle Safety Automatic External Defibulators Emergency Medical Services County Award	64.003 64.003 64.005	M6063 M6062 C5036	16,988 9,950 113,451	16,988 9,950 44,077
Total Department of Health				71,015
Department of Management Services: Wireless 911 Board: Wireless Emergency Telephone Systems Trust Fund	72.001	N/A	N/A	624,703
	72.001	INT.	IN T	624,703
Total Department of Management Services				024,703

Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards and State Financial Assistance

Fiscal Year ended September 30, 2006

(1) Basis of Presentation

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards and state financial assistance (Schedule) summarizes the expenditures incurred under all federal programs and state projects by Lee County, Florida (County) for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2006 and are recognized on the accrual basis of accounting. The information in this Schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*, Section 215.97, Florida Statutes, and *Rules of the Auditor General*, Chapter 10.550. For purposes of this Schedule, federal programs and state projects include all grants and contracts entered into directly between the County and agencies and departments of the federal or state government. Federal programs or state projects passed through other government agencies, if any, are also included in the Schedule.

(2) Subrecipients

Federal program	CFDA#		Transfers to subrecipients
CDBG Urban County Entitlement – Year 12	14.218	\$	63,977
CDBG Urban County Entitlement – Year 13	14.218		17,847
CDBG Urban County Entitlement – Year 14	14.218		90,700
CDBG Urban County Entitlement – Year 15	14.218		343,477
CDBG Urban County Entitlement – Year 16	14.218		97,289
Emergency Shelter Grant	14.231		89,287
Supportive Housing Program (SHP-FY00)	14.235		34,147
Supportive Housing Program (SHP-FY02)	14.235		130,096
Supportive Housing Program (SHP-FY03)	14.235		44,637
Supportive Housing Program (SHP-FY05)	14.235		1,293,622
Supportive Housing Program (SHP-FY06)	14.235		408,692
Shelter Plus Care	14.238		67,403
HOME – Year 13 (FY05)	14.239	-	61,750
Total federal program transfers to subrecipients		\$	2,742,924

State project	CSFA#		Transfers to subrecipients
SHIP YR9 (State FY03-04)	52.901	\$	896,387
SHIP YR10 (State FY04-05)	52.901		629,440
SHIP YR11 (State FY05-06)	52.901		54,000
Hurricane Recovery Housing Assistance Plan	52.902		299,047
State of Florida Homeless Challenge Grant	60.014	_	66,666
Total state projects transfers to subrecipients		\$_	1,945,540

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs

Fiscal Year ended September 30, 2006

(1) Summary of Auditors' Results

- (a) The type of report issued on the basic financial statements: Unqualified opinion
- (b) There was one reportable condition disclosed by the audit of the basic statements, which is considered to be a material weakness in internal control.
- (c) Noncompliance which is material to the basic financial statements: No
- (d) Material weaknesses in internal control over major programs: No; Reportable conditions: None reported
- (e) The type of report issued on compliance for major programs: Unqualified opinion
- (f) Any audit findings which are required to be reported under Section 0.510(a) of OMB Circular A-133: No
- (g) Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with *Rules of the Auditor* General: No
- (h) Major programs/projects:

CFDA numbers	Federal programs			
14.218	Community Development Block Grant			
14.239	HOME			
20.106	Airport Improvement Program			
16.606	State Criminal Alien Assistance (SCAAP)			
16.588	STOP Violence Against Women			
16.738	Edward Byrne Justice Grant			
10.756				

CSFA numbers	State projects
55.004	Aviation Development Grants
55.026	Transportation Regional Incentive Program (TRIP)
52.902	Hurricane Recovery Housing Assistance Plan

(i) Dollar threshold used to distinguish between Type A and Type B programs/projects:

\$1,135,686 for major federal programs \$981,015 for major state projects

(j) Auditee qualified as a low risk auditee:

Yes

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Fiscal Year ended September 30, 2006

Management's Response:

We do not agree with the statement that we have a material weakness in our financial reporting. It is unfortunate that our accounting environment has gotten to the point that we are no longer depending on documentation and professional judgment but demand zero tolerance in the application of accounting standards written to cover a variety of situations and consequently vague in the actual application.

We questioned our accounting treatment for impact fees at the time we implemented GASB 33, but felt the requirement to refund the monies to the fee payer if certain criteria was not met, overrode the provision to declare the revenue in the year of collection. This interpretation has been commonly used by governments and continues to be argued as the proper treatment. We researched the issue again when an article by the Director of Technical Services Center of the GFOA was published on this issue in the October 2006 *GAAFR Review*. The article starts with "In practice, there has been some confusion about when revenue should be recognized in connection with developer fees." By talking with GASB and GFOA personnel and analyzing the GASB statement, we felt we needed to change our application of GASB 33 for impact fees. This of course, resulted in a "correction of an error in reporting."

The restatement did not impact any revenues pledged to debt, nor any state or federal contracts or grants and is less than 5% of the current value of our total net assets.

(3) Findings and Questioned Costs Relating to Major Federal Programs: None

(4) Findings and Questioned Costs Relating to Major State Projects: None



matters involving the internal control over compliance and its operation that we consider to be material weaknesses.

Schedule of Passenger Facility Charges Collected and Expended

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund and the aggregate remaining fund information of the County as of and for the year ended September 30, 2006, and have issued our report thereon dated December 21, 2006. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the County's basic financial statements. The accompanying schedule of passenger facility charges collected and expended is presented for purposes of additional analysis as specified in the Guide and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Board of County Commissioners, management, and the Federal Aviation Administration and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.



February 23, 2007 (except for the schedule of passenger facility charges collected and expended which is as of December 21, 2006) Certified Public Accountants

Notes to Schedule of Passenger Facility Charges Collected and Expended

Fiscal Year ended September 30, 2006

(1) General

The accompanying schedule of passenger facility charges collected and expended presents the activity of all passenger facility charges of Lee County, Florida.

(2) Basis of Accounting

The accompanying schedule of passenger facility charges collected and expended is presented using the cash basis of accounting.