Lee County Board Of County Commissioners Agenda Item Summary Blue Sheet No. 20061436

1. ACTION REQUESTED/PURPOSE: Award B-06-26 CYPRESS LAKE DRIVE LANDSCAPE AND IRRIGATION PROJECT FROM SUMMERLIN ROAD TO MCGREGOR BOULEVARD to the lowest responsive/responsible bidder, Greenwerx Groundskeeping, Inc., in the not-to-exceed amount of \$478,920.96. Also, authorize Chairwoman to execute contract upon receipt. This project was anticipated and funds will be available upon approval of Carryovers.

2. WHAT ACTION ACCOMPLISHES: Provides Lee County with a contractor for the installation of plant material and underground irrigation system, including maintenance of traffic, soil, sod (repairs), pump station, electrical, directional boring and landscape and irrigation maintenance.

3. MANAGEMENT RECOMMENDATION: Approval recommended.

4. Dep	partmental Category:	09 Trans	5. Meeting Date:	NOV 0 1 2000			
6. Agenda:		7. Requirement/Purpose: (specify)			5. Meeting Date: 8. Request Initiated: NOV 2 1 2006		
X	Consent	Statute			Commissioner		
	Administrative		Ordinance		Department	Transportation	
	Appeals	X	Admin. Code	AC-4-4	Division		
	Public		Other		By: Scott C	Gilbertson, Director	
	Walk-On		-				

9. Background:

The Department of Transportation submitted a request to Contracts Management to obtain bids for the Cypress Lake Drive Landscape & Irrigation Project From Summerlin Road To McGregor Boulevard project. The anticipated cost of the project required the use of the formal, sealed bid procedure.

On the bidding deadline of August 16, 2006, Contracts received three (3) bids. Upon review of the bids, the bid submitted by Hannula Landscaping, Inc. was declared non-responsive due to additional pricing being provided on a separate sheet. On September 21, 2006, upon receipt of notification that his bid was declared non-responsive, Mr. Dale Hannula, President of Hannula Landscaping, Inc., informed Contracts of his intent to file a protest. The intent was received by Lee County Contracts within the required time frame of 72 hours after notification, along with the "Formal Written Protest" dated October 2, 2006.

- CONTINUED ON PAGE TWO -

10. Review for Scheduling:							
Department Director	Purchasing or Contracts	Human Resources	Other	County Attorney	Budget	Services	County Manager/P.W. Director
11 06	L'and	N/A	60000	42112/00	Analyst Risk	Grants Migr.	10.27.06
SW Thele	2/0/18/2/			0	Mr. 1.60	1/1/10 11/100	~ 10.21.06
11. Commission Action:							
	Approved	d			RECEIVED BY	Rec. by CoAtt	v
	Deferred				11606		
	Denied				8:45 mg	Date: 1306	
	Other				COUNTY ADMIN	Time:	
				ŀ	FORWARDED TO:	NO: SOTTA	
······································		-			ZOM	11/3/16	
						5,000m	8

PAGE TWO BLUE SHEET #20061436

A Bid Protest Committee was formed, consisting of Jim Lavender, Public Works Director, Chairman, Roland Ottolini, Director of Natural Resources and Pete Winton, Assistant County Manager. Following discussions, it was the consensus of the committee to reject the bid protest, as it was decided it would be unfair to accept Mr. Hannula's bid because of the extra sheet with pricing for rock removal. The additional pricing was not a set price and therefore could not be considered comparable to other bids, whose cost for rock removal was included within unit prices. The motion was made by Jim Lavender, seconded by Roland Ottolini and then called and carried with no further questions. Mr. Hannula stated that he would not proceed any further with the Bid Protest.

Funds will be available upon approval of Carryovers in the following account: 40602400100.503490.

Attachments: 1) Bid Tabulation

- 2) Department/Consultant Recommendation
- 3) Notice of Intent to File A Protest from Hannula Landscaping, Inc., dated 9/22/06.
- 4) Formal Written Protest from Hannula Landscaping, Inc., dated 10/2/06.

LEE COUNTY BID TABULATION SHEET FOR CYPRESS LAKE DRIVE LANDSCAPE & IRRIGATION PROJECT FROM SUMMERLIN ROAD TO McGREGOR BOULEVARD

BID NO.: B-06-26

DATE: 08/16/06

BID BOND	TOTAL NOT-TO- EXCEED AMOUNT
\$28,956.00	\$478,920.96
\$28,956.00	\$403,105.78**
\$28,956.00	\$619,746.10
	BOND \$28,956.00 \$28,956.00

gan, Cindy S.

From:	Moore, Patrick A.						
 Sent:	Monday, September 11, 2006 9:47 AM						
To:	Cindy S. Logan						
 Cc:	Simmons, Clay; Jonathan Romine; Racquel M. Benedict						
Subject	: RE: B-06-26 Cypress Lakes Landscape & Irrigation						
1 H							
н	Hi Cindy,						
· Be	Below is our consultants recommendation. We agree with their recommendations as Clay mentioned earlier.						
Be	est regards,						
רב בני דז <u>אני</u> דז	ATRICK A. MOORE, RLA, ASLA, CLARB, ISA TAFF ENGINEER/LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT, CERTIFIED ARBORIST THE COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION 560 ZIP DRIVE T. MYERS, FLORIDA 33905 <u>COREPA@LEEGOV.COM</u> HONE: (239) 694-3334 TX: (239) 694-3332						
Se To Co St	om: Jonathan Romine [mailto:JLR@johnsoneng.com] ent: Monday, September 11, 2006 8:46 AM e: Moore, Patrick A. e: Simmons, Clay ibject: RE: B-06-26 Cypress Lakes Landscape & Irrigation						
Ge	bod morning Pat,						
W irr	e have reviewed the bids and have concluded our recommendation for the Cypress Lake Drive Landscape and igation Project is Greenwerx Groundskeeping. They are the low bidder since Hannula's bid is disqualified.						
	e feel confident Greenwerx Groundskeeping can complete the work as bid and complete the project based on the hedule spelled out in the contract documents.						
√ lf	/ou have any questions please let me know.						
Th	anks,						
	nathan L. Romine, ASLA nior Landscape Designer						
21 Fo Ph Fa	hnson Engineering, Inc. 58 Johnson Street rt Myers, Florida 33901 one 239.461.2476 x 239.334.3661 mine@johnsoneng.com						

"Peace of Mind by Design"

The information transmitted in this communication is intended only for the person or entity to which it is addressed and may contain confidential and/or privileged material. Any review, retransmission, dissemination or other use of, or taking of any action in reliance upon, this information by persons or entities other than the



October 2, 2006

Mr. Jim Lavender Public Works Director Lee County, Southwest Florida P.O. Box 398 Ft. Myers, Florida 33902 Sent Via Fax: 239-335-2335 On 10-02-06

Re: Solicitation No: B-06-26

Cypress Lake Drive Landscape And Irrigation Project From Summerlin Road To McGregor Boulevard.

"FORMAL WRITTEN PROTEST"

Dear Mr.Lavender,

This letter will serve as our "Formal Written Protest" of the decision to declare the above referenced bid non-responsive due to the fact that additional pricing was provided on separate sheets".

In accordance with the directions provided to me by Lee County Contracts Management on 9-29-06 the following is the information as required by the "Administrative Code

AC-4-4 Lee County Contract Manual, Volume I Bid protest Procedures."

- County Bid Identification Number And Title: Bid Number B-06-26: Cypress Lake Drive Landscape And Irrigation Project From Summerlin Road To McGregor Boulevard.
- Affected Party: Hannula Landscaping, Inc. 28131 Quails Nest Lane, Bonita Springs, Florida. 34135 Dale F. Hannula President: Protest Submitter.
- 3. Statement Of Disputed Facts, Rules, Regulations: See Attached: "Notice Of Intent To File A Protest" The information and documentation contained in the "Notice Of Intent To File A Protest" shall apply as the documentation for the basis of the Formal Protest. See Attachment "A"
- 4. Relief Sought: Award the contract to Hannula Landscaping.
- 5. Bond: Bid Bond submitted with bid shall apply.

Thank you for your review of this matter. I will wait for your response.

Respectfully Salutta Dale Hannula 239-992-2210



September 22, 2006

Mr. Jim Lavender Public Works Director Lee County, Southwest Florida P.O. Box 398 Ft. Myers, Florida 33902 Sent Via Fax: 239-335-2335 On 9-22-06 Certified Mail On 9-25-06 (Within 72 Hrs. After weekend) 3EP 25 CE

Re: Solicitation No: B-06-26

Cypress Lake Drive Landscape And Irrigation Project From Summerlin Road To McGregor Boulevard.

"NOTICE OF INTENT TO FILE A PROTEST"

Dear Mr. Lavender,

This letter will serve as our "Notice Of Intent To File A Protest" for the decision to declare the above referenced bid "non-responsive due to the fact that additional pricing was provided on separate sheets." Referenced by the following "Page C-3, Paragraph 3.5 of the Lee County Bid Documents which states that "Any blank spaces on the Bid Proposal Form (Part D), qualifying notes, exceptions, counter offers, lack of required submittals, signatures or failure to submit a bid on the County form may cause Bidder to be declared non-responsive."

Our response is as follows:

- 1. See attachment that was submitted with our bid.
- 2. The intent of the attachment is to provide the County with a cost for rock removal in the event it is encountered while excavating for the landscaping and irrigation work. This pertains to rock over and above the normal size encountered with our typical work. Example: (Rock that would require large backhoes, rock trenchers, and dump trucks to remove. This work would be normally done by a "Site Contractor," not a Landscape and Irrigation Contractor.
- 3. In speaking with Cindy Logan, while reviewing the pre-bid tapes I inquired as to what the County would do if such rock was encountered by another contractor who did not include a price in his bid as an alternate. She indicated that he would be issued a change order to remove the rock. As there are no line items for rock removal in the bid form, the County would be subject to whatever price the other contractor chose at the time. The <u>only difference</u> between the two situations is that the County has preliminary pricing for such a change order at bid time based on our attachment and would be subject to additional pricing by someone else at a later date who did not submit a cost with their bid. The ultimate decision to

approve or accept any change order pricing is left up to the County to determine whether it is an acceptable cost or whether they would have to bid out the work if they feel it is over inflated. The attachment we submitted does not have to be accepted but rather evaluated for reasonable costs and either accepted or rejected.

- 4. Please refer to section 2.1 "Bidder Examination / Investigation of site. (Copy Attached) During the pre bid meeting it was noted that we should go and pot hole the areas to determine the sub soil conditions, and refer to reports of investigation of the subsurface, which are <u>Not</u> guaranteed as to their accuracy or completeness, nor are they part of the contract documents. As a reply to this provision, pot holes with a post hole digger is not sufficient to determine the subsurface conditions. Trenching the length of the medians to a depth which the irrigation would be installed is not feasible prior to the bid and we do not have a Right Of Way Permit as a Bidder to perform such work. The cost of such an investigation would prohibit such work. If we went to that extent we might as well be performing the actual work. Also the reports are not guaranteed so they are of little or no value.
- 5. The Letter also stated that the contract was to be awarded to Greenwerx Groundskeeping in the amount of \$478,920.96. I do not understand why the County would choose to spend and additional \$75,815.18 with another contractor who would quote a price for rock removal and be issued a change order in the event it became necessary to remove the rock. Please explain the basis for such additional expenditures?

In closing I would like to state that I understand the provisions of the contract documents. However, I feel that the interpretation of our "attachment" is taken out of contex when applying it to provision.3.5. On bids numbered B-06-24 and B-06-23 contracts were awarded to contractors who did not sign and seal the Bid Bonds. Section 3.5 states that "signatures" are required as a condition of the bid. Please explain how exceptions can be made for some contracts and not for others. It would seem that the provisions should be viewed in the "strictest sense" for all contracts to be fair to all bidders.

Thank you for review of this matter. I will wait for your response.

Respectfully Dale Hannula 239-992-2210

cc Cindy Logan: Contracts Manager

HANNULA LANDSCAPING, INC. HANNULA IRRIGATION, INC. 28131 QUAILS NEST LANE BONITA SPRINGS, FL 34135 239-992-2210 239-498-6818 FAX

BID NUMBER B-06-26 CYPRESS LAKE DRIVE LANDSCAPE & IRRIGATION PROJECT FROM SUMMERLIN ROAD TO MCGREGOR BLVD.

ROCK EXCAVATION:

ROCK EXCAVATION FOR THE IRRIGATION SYSTEM, LANSCAPE PLANTINGS, DIRECTIONAL BORE, PAVERS, AND OTHER RELATED WORK SHALL BE AT AN ADDITIONAL RATE OF \$65.00 PER LINEAR FOOT FOR EXCAVATION AND \$275.00 PER TON FOR LOADING AND HAULING FROM THE SITE.

LANDSCAPE MATERIALS:

CONTRACTOR DOES NOT GUARANTEE AVAILABILITY OF MATERIALS AS SPECIFIED DUE TO THE SHORTAGE OF TREES AND SHRUBS IN THE STATE OF FLORIDA FROM PAST HURRICANES. OWNER / ARCHITECT TO PROVIDE ALTERNATES IF MATERIAL IS NOT AVAILABLE AT TIME OF INSTALLATION.

) A Hom

DALE F. HANNULA PRESIDENT 8-16-06

2. BIDDER EXAMINATION/INVESTIGATION OF SITE

2.1 Before submitting bids, BIDDER must carefully examine the site of the proposed work and make all necessary investigations to inform itself thoroughly as to all difficulties involved in the completion of all work required pursuant to the requirements of this bid package. No plea of ignorance of conditions or difficulties that may hereafter exist, or of conditions or difficulties that may be encountered in the execution of the work pursuant to this bid package as a result of failure to make the necessary examinations and investigations will be accepted as an excuse for any failure or omission on the part of the successful BIDDER to fulfill, in every detail, all of the requirements of the Contract Documents, nor will they be accepted as a basis for any claims whatsoever for extra compensation or for an extension of time.

2.2 Reference is made to the Supplementary Conditions for the identification of those reports of investigation and test of subsurface and latent physical conditions at the site or otherwise affecting cost, progress or performance of the Work which have been relied upon by the County and/or its Consultant in preparing the Drawings and Specifications. COUNTY will make copies of such reports available to any BIDDER requesting them. These reports are not guaranteed as to their accuracy or completeness, nor are they part of the Contract Documents. Before submitting its Bid, each BIDDER will, at its own expense, make such additional investigations and tests as the BIDDER may deem necessary.

2.3 BIDDER shall not be entitled to compensation beyond its bid price when required to incur expenses because of tolls, weight limits of trucks, access to the site, permanent or temporary power at the job site, delivery of materials, temporary utilities, or compliance with OSHA requirements when examination and/or investigation of the site conditions and access routes would have revealed the extra expense involved. The above list is intended to be illustrative and not all-inclusive.

3. PREPARATION OF BID

3.1 Each BIDDER shall submit the completed Bid Form No.CMO:003 (provided in Part D) and indicate the total lump-sum, or total unit price base bid, and any total price(s) of any alternative(s) requested as part of the bid solicitation. BIDDER must furnish all requested information in the space provided in the Bid Proposal Form (Part D). The BIDDER is solely responsible for reading and completely understanding the requirements and the specifications of the items bid.

3.1.1. A disk of the bid schedule is provided for BIDDER use. The completed disk must be submitted back to the Contracts Office along with the submitted bid at the prescribed date and time of bid opening. Once the disk has been completed, the BIDDER shall print out a finished Bid Schedule. Both the printed paper copy and disk shall be submitted with the bid.

3.2 Signatures shall be required as follows:

3.2.1 Bids by a corporation must be manually executed in the corporate name, by the President or Vice President (or other corporate officer, accompanied by written evidence of authority to so sign). The corporate seal must be affixed and attested by the secretary or assistant secretary. The corporate address and State of incorporation must be shown below the signature. Non-resident corporations shall furnish to the COUNTY a duly certified copy of all required authorizations to transact business in the State of Florida along with the bid proposal.

3.2.2 Bids by a partnership must be manually executed in the partnership name and signed by a partner whose title must appear under the signature. The official address of the partnership must be shown below the signature.

3.2.3 Attorneys-in-Fact who sign bonds or other surety instruments must attach with each bond or surety instrument a certified and effectively dated copy of their power of attorney.

3.2.4 All names must be typed or printed below the signature.

09/25/01