Lee County Board Of County Commissioners Agenda Item Summary

Blue Sheet No. 20051482

- 1. ACTION REQUESTED/PURPOSE: Provide direction to County Attorney's Office and Department of Transportation staff, with respect to entertaining two (2) offers received; one from Talon Management and the other from Edison Farms, Inc., relating to alignment alternatives for the County Road 951 Corridor Study.
- 2. WHAT ACTION ACCOMPLISHES: Allows for determination of whether or not additional preferred corridors may be forwarded to Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) for evaluation against the no build alternative along with the "western alignment" selected at the August 2, 2005, Public Hearing.
- 3. MANAGEMENT RECOMMENDATION: Provide direction.

4. Departmental Category	y:Q	AIZC		5. Meeting Da	te: 10-25-2005
6. Agenda:	7. Req	uirement/Purpos	e: (specify)	8. Request Ini	
Consent		Statute		Commissioner	
X Administrative		Ordinance		Department	County Attorney
Appeals		Admin. Code		Division	General Services
Public	X	Other	PD & E Study	By: Dav	id M. Owen
Walk-On	, and the second			Cou	nty Attorney
9. Background:					72

On August 2, 2005, at a Special Public Hearing, the Board of County Commissioners (BOCC) provided direction to the Department of Transportation after adopting the "western alignment" as the preferred corridor for the alignment of County Road 951 Corridor Study for the purpose of forwarding the selected alignment to the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) for evaluation against the no build alternative. Based on public input at the Special Public Hearing that there was another alternative to the "western alignment", the BOCC indicated they would consider additional information on other alignments if brought forward within sixty (60) days from the Public Hearing.

On September 23, and 29, 2005, Edison Farms, Inc. and Talon Management respectively, submitted letters and information to the County with proposals for the BOCC's consideration relating to alternative alignments for CR 951 corridor study.

ATTACHMENTS: September 23, 2005, Correspondence from Edison Farms, Inc.

September 29, 2005, Correspondence from. Talon Management

10. Reviev	v for Sched	uling:				
Department Director	Purchasing or Contracts	Human Resources	Other	County	Budget Services	County Manager/P.W. Director
10.12-05			(Di-	Analyst Risk Grants Mgr.	/ Karena c
11. Com	mission ActApprovecDeferredDeniedOther	d		COU LO COU FOR	EIVED BY NTY ADMIN: -12-CS -07 NTY ADMIN WARDED TO:	
951 Alignme	ent - BOCC d	lirection	·		12-65 30 R.K.	



SEP 2 9 2005

September 29, 2005

Commissioner Doug St. Cerny Commissioners' Offices Old Lee County Courthouse 2120 Main Street Fort Myers, FL 33901

Subject: CR 951 PD&E Recommendations

Dear Commissioner St. Cerny;

I attended and spoke at your BCC meeting on August 2nd, 2005 where you directed your staff to proceed with the 951 corridor study and identified the Western alignment as your preferred alignment. Also at that meeting based on input from the majority of speakers, you indicated that you would consider additional information and asked that it be brought forward in the next 60 days.

My client who owns property in the study area has engaged professionals in a variety of fields to review your staff recommendation and actions and has developed information that we would like to share with you.

What was not entirely clear in the direction given on August 2nd was the manner in which you would like information to be brought back for review. The purpose of this letter is to request an appropriate forum in which to share this information. I would be pleased to meet with you individually to review the data if you like, or we can assemble our team for a comprehensive presentation should you wish us to do so.

We have worked diligently to prepare this information within the time frame given and now seek your counsel on the most appropriate manner in which to proceed. I thank you for your time and attention and await your response.

Sincerely.

Tim Hancock

Edison Farms, Inc.

September 23, 2005

Mr. David M. Owen, Esq. Lee County Attorney P.O. Box 398 Ft. Myers, FL 33902

Via Hand Delivery

Re: CR 951 Alignment

Dear Mr. Owen:

We are the owners of property that is being proposed by Lee County for the alignment of County Road 951.

The following offer to assist is in the event that the "power line alignment" or a variation of the power line alignment, which I will set forth below, is chosen.

We have looked closely at the currently planned choices and suggest the variation of the alignment along the power line shown in the attached exhibit "A" will decrease the length of the road, lessen the environmental impacts, and result in a safer route than the currently suggested route by eliminating the 90 degree turns.

The Panther-Endangered Species Habitat Mitigation costs, as shown on the July 12, 2005 Evaluation Matrix, of the most westerly alignment is \$10,940,000.00 and the power line alignment is \$60,856,100.00.

We propose to be responsible for the difference between the cost of the Evaluation Matrix of the most westerly alignment of \$10,940,000 and the actual cost of the Panther-Endangered Species Habitat Mitigation for the power line alignment. We would take responsibility for negotiating the amount and providing the cost of the mitigation above \$10,940,000.00.

Edison Farms, Inc. stands ready to assist in any other way feasible and can meet any time to discuss this matter further.

Edison Farms, Inc.

President

CC: John Renner, Esq. Tim Jones, Esq.

951 Alternatives Analysis

ROW & Construction Costs and Wetland Impacts

Proposed 951 Alignment

From Edison Farms Southern Boundary to Corkscrew Road Only

	Blue Alignment (Adjacent to I-75)	Yellow Alignment (West Side of Power Line)	Green Alignment (Angled Across Edison Farms)
ROW Length			
Crossing Edison Farm's Property	20.056	15.001	
Crossing Adjacent Property	20,856	15,931	11,952
Total ROW Length	9,898	8,198 24,129	8,198
ROW Area (Acres, assuming 250' ROW) [1]	30,734	24,129	20,150
Crossing Edison Farm's Property	119.70	91.43	68.60
Crossing Adjacent Property	56.81	47.05	47.05
Total ROW Area	176.50	138.48	115.65
Acquistion Costs (County Pricing of \$50k) [1]			
Crossing Edison Farm's Property 50,000	5,984,848	4,571,568	3,429,752
Crossing Adjacent Property 50,000	2,840,335	2,352,502	2,352,502
Total Acquisition Costs	8,825,184	6,924,070	5,782,254
Road Construction Costs (\$625/lineal foot) [1]			
Crossing Edison Farm's Property 625	13,035,000	9,956,875	7,470,000
Crossing Adjacent Property 625	6,186,250	5,123,750	5,123,750
Total Road Construction Panther Crossings [1] [2]	19,221,250	15,080,625	12,593,750
Total # of Crossings	_	_	
la	2	3	3
Crossing Edison Farm's Property 2,000,000 Crossing Adjacent Property	4,000,000	6,000,000	6,000,000
Total Panther Crossings	0	0	0
Vetlands Impacted (Acres) [3] [4]	4,000,000	6,000,000	6,000,000
Crossing Edison Farm's Property [3]	60,29	51.26	25.53
Crossing Adjacent Property [4]	33.48	51.36	27.53
Total Impacted Wetlands	93.77	19.51 70.87	19.51
Vetland Mitigation Credits Required (1.5:1) [1]	33.11	70.67	47.04
Crossing Edison Farm's Property 1.50	90.44	77.04	41.30
Crossing Adjacent Property 1,50	50.22	29.27	29.27
Total Impacted Wetlands	140.66	106.31	70.56
litigation Expenses (@ \$37,500/credit) [1]	1,0,00	100.51	70.50
Crossing Edison Farm's Property 37,500	3,391,313	2,889,000	1,548,563
Crossing Adjacent Property 37,500	1,883,250	1,097,438	1,097,438
Total Mitigation Costs	5,274,563	3,986,438	2,646,000
			-,-,-,-
otal ROW, Construction & Wetland Mitigation	İ		
Crossing Edison Farm's Property	26,411,161	23,417,443	18,448,315
Crossing Adjacent Property	10,909,835	8,573,690	8,573,690
Total ROW, Construction & Wetland Mitigation	37,320,996	31,991,133	27,022,004
ost Difference Compared to Blue Alginment	NA	(5,329,863)	(10,298,992)
ROW Widths of 250', ROW Acquisition Costs of \$50,000 \$2.0 million each & Wetland Mitigation Costs of \$37,500 Matix, North Central Study Area, as completed by Lee Co	per credit are based u	on Costs of \$625/foot, Pa pon the CR 951 PD&E S	other Crossings of Study Evaluation
For the purpose of this analysis, it is assumed that all pant	her crossings are loca	ted on the Edison Farm's	Property.
ROW wetland Impact Areas within the Edison Farms Proposition of Florida criteria and accepted in the Conceptual Pewith GPS data, and a 45 foot margin of deviation was included construction to ensure that the wetland limits are in accordadministrative Code. At present time, these are non-bindinactual SWM or ERP permit.	rmit from the SFWM uded to be adjusted w lance with the Wetlan	D in June 1996. The line here necessary in the field d Delineation Rule. Char	es were delineated d during oter 62-340 Florida
The wetland lines to the north of Edison Farms are those p (FGDL) updated in 2003, using the Florida Land Use, Cov	rovided by SFWMD rer, and Forms Classif	to the Florida Graphics I	Oata Library

