Lee County Board Of County Commissioners			
1. REQUESTED MOTION:	Agenda Item Summary	Blue Sheet No. 20021072	
ACTION REQUESTED: Concur with the COUNTY-WIDE ENVIRONMENTAL Conegotiate hourly rates and independent cost Ardaman & Associates Inc.; ECT Environ Professional Services Industries (PSI); TK with the option of renewal for one (1) additional negotiations.  WHY ACTION IS NECESSARY: Pursuis required to approve all Consultant selections.	sts with the following eight (8) selected firm mental Consulting & Technologies; GFA In W Consulting Engineers; and Water Resountional year. Also, authorize Chairman on but to Lee County Contract Manual approxitions.	sessments, Site Remediation), and authorize staff to is: American Management Resource Corp. (AMRC);	
for environmental hydrological impacts, si	te assessments and remediations.	on contract that can provide processional services	
2. <u>DEPARTMENTAL CATEGORY</u> :		3. MEETING DATE:	
02 Independent COMMISSION DISTRICT #:	CQB	10-08-2002	
4. AGENDA:	5. REQUIREMENT/PURPOSE: (Specify)	6. REQUESTOR OF INFORMATION:	
X CONSENT ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS	STATUTE ORDINANCE x ADMIN. CODE AC-4-4	A. COMMISSIONER B. DEPARTMENT Public Works C. DIVISION	
PUBLIC WALK ON	OTHER	BY: _Jim Lavender, Director	
TIME REQUIRED:			
7. BACKGROUND:			
Letters of Interest were solicited on behalf of the Board of County Commissioners for professional environmental services, for Lee County.  The deadline for receipt of the Letters of Interest was August 22, 2002. A total of twenty (20) Letters of Interest were considered at the Competitive Negotiations Committee meeting held on September 4, 2002. The Competitive Negotiations Committee consisted of the following staff members: Jim Lavender, Public Works Chairman, Roland Ottolini, Natural Resources Director and Tony Pellicer, Natural Resources. Based on the information submitted by the Consultants in their Letters of Interest, it was the consensus of the Committee to invite eight (8) firms for telephone interviews.  On the basis of the information submitted by the firms in their Letters of Interest and after conducting the telephone interviews on August 27, 2002, with the "short list" firms, it was the consensus of the Committee to recommend to the Board the selection of eight (8) firms and request Board approval for staff to commence contract negotiations with the eight (8) firms as follows: : American Management Resource Corp. (AMRC); Ardaman & Associates Inc.; ECT Environmental Consulting & Technologies; GFA International; HSA Engineers & Scientists; Professional Services Industries (PSI); TKW Consulting Engineers; and Water Resource Solutions, for a contract period of two (2) years with the option of renewal for one (1) additional year. Also, authorize Chairman on behalf of the Board to execute the agreements upon final negotiations.  Continued page 2  8. MANAGEMENT RECOMMENDATIONS:			
9. RECOMMENDED APPROVAL:			
A B C Department Purchasing Or Contracts Resources	D E County Attorney QA O	F Budget Services  Cがかりなう M Risk GC	
9.18.02 2/8 N/A	9/19/02 9/23/02 9/25	102 998500 957 Sander	
10. COMMISSION ACTION:  APPRODENIES DEFINITION OTHER	RED <b>CO. ATTY.</b>	COUNTY ADMIN.  G. 19.00  COUNTY ADMIN.  FORWARDED TO:  PR 925 120	

## Page 2

Per Section 6 of the Contracts Manual for annual type master contracts the Board can concur with the selection of consultants and authorize staff to negotiate hourly rates and independent costs within the same blue sheet request. This will eliminate the need for an additional blue sheet requesting Board approval of agreements.

Because of the large amount and variety of environmental services expected to be needed and because many projects may required environmental services during the same period of time, the Committee agreed that the County's interests will be served best by developing Professional Services Agreements with several consulting firms. Accordingly, the Committee recommends that the Board of County Commissioners authorize the Committee to negotiate two year contracts with the eight (8) firms to provide environmental services (hydrological impacts, site assessments and remediation) on a project-by-project basis with work assignments confirmed, authorized and accounted for by the issuance of Supplemental Task Authorizations.

Funds will be available within specific project budgets.

## COMPETITIVE NEGOTIATIONS COMMITTEE OVERALL RANKING SHEET FOR

CN-02-24 COUNTY-WIDE ENVIRONMENTAL CONTRACT (Hydrological Impacts, Site Assessments, Site Remediation) WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 4, 2002 @ 2:00 P.M.

## **COMPANY NAME NUMBER RANKED** American Mgmt Resources Corp Ardaman & Associates 2 **ATC Associates** ZEast Bay Group LLC ECT Environmental Consulting & Tech 2 GeoSyntec Consultants GFA International Z **GLE Associates HAS Engineers & Scientists** 2 Jacques Whitford Co Inc. Z Johnson Engineering Inc. Malcolm Pirnie Co. 2 Metcalf & Eddy 2 Mostardi Platt 2 OHC Environmental Engineering Professional Service Ind (PSI) 2 The Institute for Regional Conservation **TKW Consulting Engineers** Vanasse Daylor Water Resource Solutions

COMMITTEE MEMBER (PROJECT MGR) SIGNATURE:

## COMPETITIVE NEGOTIATIONS COMMITTEE OVERALL TELEPHONE INTERVIEWS RANKING SHEET FOR

CN-02-24 COUNTY-WIDE ENVIRONMENTAL CONTRACT (Hydrological Impacts, Site Assessments, Site Remediation) SEPTEMBER 16, 2002 @ 9:00 A.M.

COMPANY NAME	NUMBER RANKED
American Mgmt Resources Corp	
Ardaman & Associates	
ECT Environmental Consulting & Tech	
GFA International	/
<b>⊬≴A</b> Engineers & Scientists	
Professional Service Ind (PSI)	/
TKW Consulting Engineers	
Water Resource Solutions	
COMMITTEE MEMBER PROJECT MGR) SIGNATURE:	·,